

Sai Kung District Council
District Facilities Management Committee
Minutes of the First Meeting in 2017

Date: 10 January 2017 (Tuesday)
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Venue: Conference Room of the Sai Kung District Council

Present

From

To

Mr. CHAN Kai-wai (Chairman)	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. LI Ka-leung, Philip (Vice-Chairman)	9:35 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. AU Ning-fat, Alfred, MH	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. CHAN Pok-chi, Jonathan	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. CHAU Yin-ming, Francis, BBS, MH	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. CHEUNG Chin-pang, Edwin	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. CHEUNG Mei-hung	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. CHONG Yuen-tung	9:40 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. CHUNG Kam-lun	9:37 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. FAN Kwok-wai, Gary	9:30 a.m.	11:00 a.m.
Ms. FONG Kwok-shan, Christine	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. HIEW Moo-siew	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. HO Man-kit, Raymond	10:00 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. KAN Siu-kei	9:40 a.m.	10:50 a.m.
Mr. LAI Ming-chak	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. LAM Siu-chung, Frankie	9:36 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. LAU Wai-cheung, Peter, MH	9:40 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. LEUNG Li	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. LING Man-hoi, BBS, MH	9:30 a.m.	11:10 a.m.
Mr. LUI Man-kwong	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. LUK Ping-choi	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. NG Sze-fuk, George, GBS, JP	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. SING Hon-keung, BBS, MH	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. TAM Lanny, Stanley, MH	10:20 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. TSE Ching-fung	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. WAN Kai-ming	10:00 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. WAN Yuet-cheung, BBS, MH, JP	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. WONG Shui-sang	9:30 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Mr. YAU Yuk-lun	9:40 a.m.	11:15 a.m.
Miss WONG Ki-ying, Kitty (Secretary)	Executive Officer II (District Council) 5, Sai Kung District Office	

In Attendance

Mr. KWOK Chung-kai, Peter	Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung) 1, Sai Kung District Office
Mr. CHU Chi-ho, Marco	Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung) 2, Sai Kung District Office
Miss LAU Tang, Moira	Senior Executive Officer (District Council), Sai Kung District Office
Mr. POON Kwok-leung, Timmy	Senior Executive Officer (District Management), Sai Kung District Office
Mr. YAU Chun-fai	Senior Inspector of Works, Sai Kung District Office

Mr. LIU Chung-him, Michael	Executive Officer I (District Council), Sai Kung District Office (Designate)
Miss MAK Wai-man, Sandy	Senior Liaison Officer (1), Sai Kung District Office
Ms. LAM Yee-lai, Decem	Senior Liaison Officer (2), Sai Kung District Office
Ms. YIU Shuk-yan, Mona	Liaison Officer i/c (District Council/Tseung Kwan O (North))
Ms. HEUNG Ching-yee, Alice	Chief Leisure Manager (New Territories East), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms. LEE Kar-mei, Camay	District Leisure Manager (Sai Kung), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Mr. FUNG Kwok-cheung, Cliff	Deputy District Leisure Manager (District Support) Sai Kung, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Miss TSANG Mei-ying, May	Senior Manager (NTE) Promotion, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Miss NG Sheung-han, Alice	Manager (NTE) Marketing & District Activities, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms. YUEN Suet-fei, Agatha	Senior Librarian (Sai Kung), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Miss CHAN Shui-yan, Daphne	Senior Executive Officer (Planning), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms. MA Lai-yan	Social Work Officer 3, Wong Tai Sin/Sai Kung District Planning & Coordinating Team, Social Welfare Department
Mr. LAU Hon-wing	Senior Land Executive/Acquisition, District Lands Office, Sai Kung
Mr. LEE Wang-yui, Eddie	Architect (Works) 7, Home Affairs Department
Ms. TANG Suet-fan	Project Assistant, WCWP International Limited
[Transliteration]	

Welcoming Remarks

The Chairman welcomed all Members and representatives of government departments to the first meeting in 2017 of the District Facilities Management Committee (DFMC) under the Sai Kung District Council (SKDC). On behalf of the DFMC, the Chairman welcomed Ms. Alice HEUNG, Chief Leisure Manager (New Territories East), Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD), Ms. Camay LEE, District Leisure Manager (Sai Kung), the LCSD, and Mr. YAU Chun-fai, Senior Inspector of Works, Sai Kung District Office (SKDO), who attended the meeting for the first time.

2. The Chairman said that the Secretariat had not received any Notification of Absence from Meeting before the meeting.

I. Confirmation of Minutes of the Sixth Meeting in 2016

3. The Chairman said that the Secretariat had not received any proposed amendment before the meeting. If there were no amendments from Members, the minutes of the captioned meeting would be confirmed.

4. A Member enquired about the proposer, seconder and voting result of the extempore motion mentioned in paragraph 94.

5. Miss Moira LAU, Senior Executive Officer (District Council) of the SKDO said that in general, only the proposer, seconder and voting result of an extempore motion that had been endorsed would be recorded in the minutes of meeting. As the extempore motion in question was not included in the agenda and not endorsed, it was not recorded in detail in the minutes of the captioned meeting.

6. Members suggested that even though an extempore motion was not endorsed, it should still be recorded in the minutes of meeting.
7. Miss Moira LAU of the SKDO said that if Members considered it necessary, the Secretariat could record the proposer, seconder and voting result of an extempore motion that was not endorsed in the minutes of meeting in the future.
8. Some Members considered that the above information should be added to the minutes of the last meeting as well.
9. The Chairman noted the views of Members. He concluded that starting from the next meeting, the proposer, seconder and voting result of an extempore motion would be listed in the minutes of meeting. There being no other views, the Chairman announced that the minutes of the captioned meeting were confirmed.

II. Working Groups under the DFMC

10. The Chairman said that the Covered Walkway Working Group (the working group) was a non-standing working group, its tenure was eight months. The working group of the last term was established at the meeting of the DFMC on 10 May 2016, and its tenure had expired on 9 January 2017. At the DFMC meeting held on 8 November 2016, it was agreed that the “Covered Walkway Project” of the Transport Department (TD) would be referred to the working group for following up. The TD suggested that a meeting of the working group should be convened to discuss the pedestrian flow statistics, but it was estimated that the statistics on pedestrian flow would not be completed until end-February this year. In view of this, the Chairman asked Members to consider whether they agreed to the following items:

- To extend the tenure of the working group for eight months according to Order 41 (2) of the Sai Kung District Standing Orders, i.e. from today to 10 September 2017;
- To re-elect the existing Convenor and Deputy Convenor for another term; and
- To continue the current arrangement of convening meeting only when necessary.

11. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced that the above suggestions were endorsed.

12. The Chairman continued that the District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group was a standing working group. Its tenure would expire on 31 December 2017. During the discussion at the DFMC meeting on 15 March 2016, it was proposed that the District Works Working Group and the District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group be amalgamated to streamline the structure and to save the time spent on meetings. Although it was finally decided that the District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group would be set up, it was agreed that a review would be conducted one year after its operation. The Chairman asked Members to consider whether to keep the District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group until its tenure expired, or to incorporate its issues into the District Works Working Group for discussion, i.e. to dissolve the District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group.

13. Some Members said that the two working groups should be amalgamated to save resources.

14. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the amalgamation of the District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group into the District Works Working Group.

III. New Items

(i) **Funding proposal: “Upgrading of the PA System in Sai Kung Jockey Club Town Hall”**

(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 1/17)

15. Mr. Timmy POON, Senior Executive Officer (District Management) of the SKDO introduced the meeting paper. He said that the works to be carried out would replace the ageing PA System in the Sai Kung Jockey Club Town Hall. Under the new PA system, computer control devices were provided to allow the users of the town hall to choose different pre-set modes according to the needs of the activities. Better sound effect would be produced too. The works would be carried out by the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department and its contractor. LCD TV, Blu-ray player and projector lift, etc., would be installed. The works were expected to be carried out from July to August 2017, the budget was \$1.1 million.

16. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the funding of \$1.1 million to implement the works.

**(ii) Recreational and sports activities organised by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department from April 2017 to March 2018
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 2/17)**

17. Ms. Camay LEE, District Leisure Manager (Sai Kung) of the LCSD introduced the meeting paper. She said that the paper had been discussed at the meeting of District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group on 20 December 2016. The LCSD had improved the captioned activities after making reference to the views put forward by Members at that meeting. The LCSD expected that a total of 1 318 activities for about 73 400 participants would be organised between April 2017 to March 2018 if the activities were supported by the DFMC and the funding under application were approved in full by the Finance & Administration Committee (FAC). The LCSD hoped that the DFMC would endorse the funding application of \$6,585,000 and supported the relevant activities.

18. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the funding application of \$6,585,000.

**(iii) Proposed free local cultural programmes by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department in Sai Kung District in 2017/18
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 3/17)**

19. Miss May TSANG, Senior Manager (NTE) Promotion of the LCSD introduced the meeting paper. She said that the proposed programmes had been discussed at the meeting of the District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group on 20 December 2016. As some Members suggested that the Amphitheatre of the Hong Kong Velodrome Park in Tseung Kwan O should be used as a performing venue, the LCSD would conduct a site visit there. If the conditions of the Amphitheatre were found to be suitable, the LCSD would organise free cultural programmes there in the future.

20. Some Members said that it was mentioned in the Annex of the meeting paper that owing to the insufficient power supply, the performances in the Sai Kung Waterfront Park could only be held during daytime. Given that the LCSD had increased the power supply in the Tseung Kwan O Waterfront Promenade, Members hoped that the LCSD would consider using the relevant funding to increase the power supply of the Sai Kung Waterfront Park as well.

21. Miss May TSANG of the LCSD said that because of the power limitation in the Sai Kung Waterfront Park, the lighting there would not be strong enough to carry out performances at night. All performances had to be given during daytime. If an increase in power supply was required, it was necessary to explore the feasibility and cooperate with other departments.

22. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the funding application of \$680,000. The LCSD was asked to note the views of Members and to explore the feasibility of increasing the power supply in the Sai Kung Waterfront Park with other relevant departments.

**(iv) Promotion activities in public libraries of Sai Kung District by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department from April 2017 to March 2018
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 4/17)**

23. Ms. Agatha YUEN, Senior Librarian (Sai Kung) of the LCSD introduced the paper. She said that the captioned Programme Plan had been discussed at the meeting of the District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group on 20 December 2016. In response to Members' suggestions, the LCSD would increase the number of Interactive Workshops for Minority Groups. The additional workshops would be held in the Tseung Kwan O Public Library and Sai Kung Public Library to cater to the needs of people of different ages and categories. The LCSD hoped that the DFMC would endorse the funding application of \$262,910 and supported the Programme Plan.

24. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the funding application of \$262,910.

IV. Matters Arising

**(i) "Request to arrange for mobile library services at an appropriate location at LOHAS Park"
(paragraphs 55-62 of the minutes of last meeting) (SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 5/17)**

25. The Chairman asked Members to refer to the written response from the LOHAS Park Guest Service Centre, and enquired whether Members agreed that this issue should be deleted at the next meeting.

26. Members responded that according to the LOHAS Park Guest Service Centre, the issue could only be discussed after the establishment of LOHAS Park Development Owners Committee. As the third phase of the estate concerned had been occupied, it was expected that the estate could arrange for a suitable place for parking the mobile library van early next year. Therefore, Members hoped that the captioned issue would be kept until mobile library services were provided there.

27. The Chairman agreed to keep the issue for one more time.

V. Report Items

**(i) Progress Report of District Works Working Group
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 6/17)**

28. The Secretary reported that the following items were recommended for endorsement at the meeting of the District Works Working Group on 20 December:

- A funding allocation of \$80,000 to implement "SK-DMW289 Provision of seats near Block 5 of Hong Sing Garden, Tseung Kwan O";
- A funding allocation of \$200,000 to implement "SK-DMW222 Improvement works for the sitting-out facilities at Po Yap Road"; and
- A funding allocation of \$1.7 million to implement "SK-DMW277 Improvement works for the paving near Pak Kong Soccer Pitch of Sai Kung".

29. Some Members said that a few residents had expressed opinions on the construction and design of "SK-DMW277 Improvement works for the paving near Pak Kong Soccer Pitch of Sai Kung". Members of the Working Group had agreed to conduct a site visit at the location during the last meeting.

30. The DFMC endorsed the above report.

31. The Chairman asked the Secretariat to brief the DFMC on the proposed review of the pending District Minor Works Projects.

32. Mr. Michael LIU, Executive Officer I (District Council) of the SKDO said that the budget of the works “SK-DMW111 Construction of sitting-out area at Tui Min Hoi, Sai Kung” mentioned in paragraph 3 of the progress report was \$10 million. Although the funding would be allocated in three financial years, some Members were worried that the substantial expenditure of individual works might affect the implementation of other works. Therefore, the above works were not implemented up to now after being endorsed in 2011. Similarly, as indicated in the District Minor Works Progress Report, there were also some other proposed works items which were not implemented after being endorsed for many years due to various reasons. In view of this, the Secretariat would like to take this opportunity to invite Members to review the project endorsement procedures of pending District Minor Works Projects.

33. Mr. Michael LIU of the SKDO continued that regarding the project endorsement procedures, at present, all proposals for works would be discussed at the meetings of the DFMC first. After the works proposed were endorsed by the DFMC, they would be considered “endorsed projects”. Then, the departments responsible for the works would carry out feasibility studies. The works projects would be added to the District Minor Works Progress Report for following up. For those endorsed projects which were found not quite feasible, they would be in the status of pending approval for a prolonged period of time. In view of this, it was proposed that for new works projects, they would be approved by the DFMC only as “works proposals” for following up. Funding would be allocated to the relevant departments for conducting feasibility studies on these proposals when necessary. After confirming that the works proposals were feasible, the works departments would submit funding applications to the District Works Working Group. The works proposals would be considered “endorsed works projects” and then implemented only after the DFMC had endorsed the relevant funding applications. The Secretariat invited Members to consider whether or not to review the pending projects. If they agreed to revise the relevant procedures, the Secretariat would prepare a detailed revision proposal for discussion at the next DFMC meeting.

34. The views and questions of Members were as follows:

- Members enquired about the English expressions of “提案” and “立項”;
- Whether reference had been made to the procedures of other major government projects when preparing this review proposal;
- Apart from being confirmed feasible, whether there were other criteria to determine the endorsement of a project; and
- Members hoped that discussion would be held on the nature of projects that could be implemented under the District Minor Works funding.

35. Mr. Michael LIU of the SKDO said that the Secretariat would suggest the English expressions of the terms concerned in the detailed revision proposal to be prepared for the next DFMC meeting. As for the endorsement criteria, given that there were 24 and 16 projects pending approval under Part B “Project Subject to Feasibility Studies” and Part C “Projects Pending/Under Investigation by Relevant Departments” of the District Minor Works Progress Report, the Secretariat preliminarily suggested the following selection criteria. Projects that met one or more of the following criteria would be deleted:

- The pending time was 3 years or more;
- The budget of the whole project was \$5 million or above;
- The location of the project involved the lot on which large-scale development project would be carried out by government department within five years;
- The location of the project involved land title problem that could not be resolved within a short period of time;
- The project was strongly opposed by the local community, and the opposition was not settled three years after the project proposal was submitted;

- It was not suitable to carry out the project as a DC funded project, e.g. the project involved participation of private sector; and
- The project was found unfeasible by the feasibility study.

36. Mr. LIU continued that the Secretariat would keep a record of the projects deleted. It was preliminarily suggested that, when the difficulties encountered by the deleted project were confirmed to be solved, or the project had been deleted for two years, Members could submit works proposal for that project again. In addition, if the works project was supported by the majority of Members, even though it met the selection criteria mentioned above, it could still be implemented at the discretion of Members. If Members agreed the above selection criteria, the Secretariat would select the works projects to be deleted according to these selection criteria, and prepared detailed information for discussion at the next meeting.

37. The Chairman added that if Members agreed to conduct a review on the pending works projects, the Secretariat would prepare a proposal for detailed discussion at the next meeting.

38. The views and questions of Members were as follows:

- Members asked whether the briefing materials for this meeting could be circulated to Members by e-mail, so that Members could consult the local community before the next meeting;
- Members agreed in principle with this direction, and agreed to discuss it in detail at the next meeting; and
- Members hoped that the Secretariat could define the wordings such as “large-scale development project”, “strongly opposed by the local community” and “agreed by the majority of Members” that were used in the selection criteria.

39. The Chairman said that the briefing materials were the draft of the meeting paper for the next meeting, the content and wordings would be further refined. If Members agreed with the direction of the review, the Secretariat would prepare and circulate the official meeting document.

40. Mr. Peter KWOK, Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung)¹ of the SKDO said that the briefing materials or the review proposal to be discussed at the next meeting were internal papers. While Members could consult the local community on the direction of the review according to these papers, it should be noted that the papers were not public consultation documents.

41. A Member asked the Secretariat to prepare the review paper for the next meeting as soon as possible, and hoped that the paper could be circulated around the Lunar New Year holidays.

42. The Chairman said that Members agreed in principle to review the pending District Minor Works Projects. He asked the Secretariat to prepare the paper around the Lunar New Year holidays as far as possible for detailed discussion at the next meeting.

**(ii) Progress Report of District Facilities Management and Community Involvement Working Group
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 7/17)**

43. Members endorsed the above report.

**(iii) Progress Report of District Minor Works
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 8/17)**

44. The Chairman reminded Members that they should follow up on the progress of different District Minor Works at the meeting of the District Works Working Group. Generally speaking, the DFMC would not discuss the progress of individual works at its meeting.

45. The views of Members on the following works projects and the responses from the relevant

departments were as follows:

Works Project	Members' views	Responses from departments
SK-DMW255 Construction of cover between the main entrance of Tong Ming Court and Park Central at Tong Tak Street	Members hoped that the responsible departments could complete the works before the expected completion date as far as possible.	<u>Mr. Eddie LEE, Architect (Works) 7 of Home Affairs Department</u> noted the concern of Members. He said that efforts would be made to complete the works before the expected completion date.
SK-DMW288 Request to construct a hiking trail leading to High Junk Peak at the appropriate location near to the hillside at the back of Beaumont II	The SKDO said that it had received opposing views. However, as shown in the progress report, the District Lands Office, Sai Kung said that there was no objection. Confirmation of the result of consultation was requested.	<u>Mr. Marco CHU, Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung) 2 of the SKDO</u> said that the District Lands Office, Sai Kung had not received any opposing views while the relevant notice was posted. But Members had expressed their views at the relevant meetings, and the SKDO had received objections from residents. The SKDO would conduct local consultation again after the residents had moved into Beaumont II.
SK-DMW170 Convert the open space near Choi Ming Street in Tiu Keng Leng into a leisure ground	The representatives of the District Lands Office, Sai Kung had said at the Full Council Meeting that it did not oppose to converting the location in question into a leisure ground. Members hoped that the LCSD would consider conducting a feasibility assessment on the works.	<u>The Chairman</u> said that discussion on this works would be continued under the agenda item "Question Raised by Members".
SK-DMW222 Improvement works for sitting-out facilities at Po Yap Road	The works were submitted to and endorsed by the SKDC of the last term. But the residents in the nearby areas had different opinions on the works, and hoped that the SKDO would conduct a site visit at the location of the proposed works together with the newly established Mutual Aid Committees of Yee Ming Estate.	
SK-DMW237 Construction of seats with shelter at Shek Kok Road	Members enquired about the latest progress of the works.	

46. The Chairman asked the relevant departments or consultants to report proactively the latest progress of the works to the DC Members of the respective constituencies.

47. There being no objection from Members, the above report was endorsed.

**(iv) Financial estimate for funding district minor works
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 9/17)**

48. The Secretary reported that as at 30 December 2016, the estimated expenditure for the district minor works of the financial year 2016-2017 was about \$15,060,000.

49. Members endorsed the above report.

**(v) Report on the organisation of recreational and sports activities as well as the management of leisure facilities in Sai Kung by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department from November to December 2016
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 10/17)**

50. Some Members enquired why it was necessary to use the strongest level of floodlight in the Hong Kong Premier League as mentioned in the report. In addition, as the DFMC had endorsed funding to the LCSD for replacing the floodlight at Tseung Kwan O Sports ground, Members enquired whether the works would affect the matches of Hong Kong Premier League.

51. Ms. Camay LEE of the LCSD said that it was necessary to use the strongest level of floodlight for the Hong Kong Premier League to facilitate television broadcasting. It would not have any influence on other works or activities.

52. Some Members thanked the LCSD for informing the residents being affected and the DC of the special arrangements of different activities in advance, so that the influence of individual activity on residents could be minimised.

53. Members endorsed the above report.

**(vi) Report on free local cultural programmes by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department in Sai Kung District
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 11/17)**

54. Members endorsed the above report.

**(vii) Report on promotion activities in public libraries of Sai Kung District
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 12/17)**

55. Members endorsed the above report.

**(viii) Report on community halls/centres in Sai Kung
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 13/17)**

56. Members endorsed the above report.

VI. Motions Presented by Members

Voting arrangement

57. The Chairman said that the SKDC had reviewed the operations of the SKDC, its committees and working groups at the Full Council Meeting on 3 January 2017. He asked the Secretariat to brief Members on the follow-up of the voting arrangement.

58. Miss Moira LAU of the SKDO said that at the last Full Council Meeting of the SKDC, Members hoped that the voting time could be extended from the existing 15 seconds to 20 seconds, and that they could press the attendance button, as well as casting their vote within the voting time of 20

seconds. However, as the voting system could not receive two messages simultaneously within 20 seconds, the Secretariat suggested the following:

- Extended the voting time to 20 seconds;
- The seating plan of Members would appear on the screen during the voting time;
- After casting his/her vote, the seat of the relevant Member would turn green on the screen, indicating that the voted option of that Member had been received by the system;
- After the end of the voting time of 20 seconds, Members could no longer change their votes;
- After the end of the voting time, if Members found that despite they had cast the votes, their seats on the seating plan had not turned green, they could reflect this to the Chairman immediately; and
- Later, the screen would show the voting results. Members should verify whether their voted options had been indicated accurately in the voting results.

59. Miss Moira LAU of the SKDO invited Members to decide as to whether Members could clarify their votes verbally after the voting results had been indicated by the system.

60. The views and enquiries of Members were as follows:

- Whether the system allowed Members to change their voted options within the voting time of 20 seconds;
- The first screen only displayed whether Members had cast the votes, the voted options were not indicated. Therefore, when the voted options were shown on the screen, if Members found that what the system had indicated were different from their votes, Members should be able to clarify their votes verbally;
- According to the views expressed at the last Full Council Meeting, if a Member was absent during the voting time, the Member would not be allowed to vote verbally. However, if the voted option of the Member was not correctly reflected in the voting results due to technical problems, the Member would be allowed to clarify the vote verbally;
- The purpose of improving the voting system was to ensure that Members could not request for changing their votes for various reasons deliberately after knowing the voting results. Therefore, it would go against the original intention of improving the voting system if Members could still change their votes due to technical problems after the voting results had been displayed;
- Members were suggested to record their voted options with the mobile phones, so as to serve as evidence when the voting results displayed on the screen were different from their votes;
- It was suggested that the votes of Members would be indicated on the computer screen of the Secretary first. The large screen would only indicate whether a Member had cast a vote. After the voting results had been shown on the large screen, if any Members wanted to change the votes, the Secretary would first verify whether the mistakes in the voting results were caused by technical problems;
- The colours of the words on the screen were similar to the background colour, making it difficult to see the words clearly. It was suggested that colours that strongly contrasted with the background colour should be used; and
- After Members had pressed the voting button, the light next to the respective button would blink. It was suggested that the light should keep on blinking until the end of the voting time, so that the vote received by the system would be indicated to Members clearly.

61. Miss Moira LAU of the SKDO said that Members could change their votes within the voting time of 20 seconds. But they should note that if the changes were made too fast or frequent, the system might not be able to receive their final votes.

62. The Chairman asked the Secretariat to study Members' views together with the technical personnel, and suggested that the issue be further discussed at the next Full Council Meeting. The voting arrangement proposed by the Secretariat would be adopted for the time being.

(i) Four Works Proposals Presented by Members

**(1) Suggest to widen the bridge area next to Pak Kung Bridge at Sam Long Village, Tseng Lan Shue
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper 14/17)**

63. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. YAU Yuk-lun.

64. Some Members said that they supported the carrying out of improvement works at Sam Long Village, but they hoped that a site visit could be conducted at the location of the proposed works so as to gain a better understanding of the works proposal.

65. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the works proposal, and asked the Works Section of the SKDO to invite relevant departments to follow-up on this works proposal. The Secretariat would be asked to arrange for a site visit when necessary.

**(2) Provide a rain shelter at Tai Mong Tsai Road near the entrance of Wong Chuk Wan Village in Sai Kung
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 15/17)**

66. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. Philip LI.

67. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the works proposal, and asked the Works Section of the SKDO to follow up on the works proposal.

**(3) Suggest to resurface the basketball court and the passageway in Tai Po Tsai Village with concrete and to improve the drainage nearby
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 16/17)**

68. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. YAU Yuk-lun.

69. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the works proposal, and asked the Works Section of the SKDO to follow up on the works proposal.

**(4) Provide a time indicator at Tseung Kwan O Waterfront Promenade
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 17/17)**

70. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. TSE Ching-fung and Mr. LUK Ping-choi.

71. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the works proposal, and asked the LCSD to follow up on the works proposal.

(ii) Two Motions Presented by Members

**(1) “Request the Government to promptly construct the Tiu Keng Leng Park and to implement the layout plan and construction schedule”
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 18/17)**

72. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. Edwin CHEUNG, and seconded by he himself, Ms. Christine FONG and Mr. CHEUNG Mei-hung. He asked Members to refer to the written response from the LCSD, i.e. SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 25/17.

73. The Chairman continued that the scope of development of the works had been reported at the DFMC meeting on 17 April 2012, and Members’ views had been sought. However, five years had passed since then, and the works had made no progress. As residents would move into many estates in

Tseung Kwan O South in the future, coupled with the completion of the new Government Buildings, it was expected that the demand on open space would increase. Therefore, Members hoped that the relevant departments could implement the works as soon as possible.

74. A Member said that motions had been moved at the relevant meetings several times to construct the Tiu Keng Leng Park promptly. The location of the proposed park was not properly maintained, red fire ants were discovered there. The residents in the nearby areas had also broken the fencing to enter the location of the proposed works, which indicated that the residents in that area had a great demand for large-scale park. Members hoped that the relevant departments could confirm the construction timetable promptly.

75. Mr. Peter KWOK, Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung) 1 of the SKDO said that the Secretariat would reflect the views of residents to the relevant departments. He emphasised that it was illegal to enter government land without permission and destroy public facilities, and hoped that the DC Members of the respective constituencies would warn the residents concerned or report the case to the Police if similar incidents occurred again.

76. There being no objection or amendment, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the motion. He hoped that the LCSD and the relevant departments would follow up on this, and expected that the LCSD would make response within this year.

**(2) “Request to follow up on the construction and future operation of the Hong Kong Football Association’s football training centre in Area 77 and to make good preparation for its interfacing with the periphery leisure facilities to produce complementary and synergistic effects”
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 19/17)**

77. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Ms. Christine FONG, and seconded by he himself, Mr. Edwin CHEUNG and Mr. CHEUNG Mei-hung. He asked Members to refer to the written response from the Environmental Protection Department (EPD), i.e. SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 24/17, and said that the Hong Kong Football Association’s detailed written reply on this issue was not received before the meeting.

78. There being no objection or amendment, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the motion. He asked the Secretariat to write to the Hong Kong Football Association and hoped that a detailed written reply from the Association would be received before the next DFMC meeting.

(iii) Two Questions Raised by Members

**(1) “Request to expedite the construction of the water sports centre in Area 77 and to provide a works schedule”
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 20/17)**

79. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Ms. Christine FONG, he himself, Mr. CHEUNG Mei-hung and Mr. Edwin CHEUNG. He asked Members to refer to the written response from the LCSD, i.e. SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 23/17.

80. The views of Members on this motion were as follows:

- The LCSD introduced DC Members of the works at a meeting in 2011. Since then, no progress had been made. Members hoped that the LCSD could submit the preliminary timetable of the works to the SKDC as soon as possible; and
- The residents had great demand for the above water sports centre. Members suggested the LCSD to appoint a consultant to design and follow up on the above water sports centre.

81. Miss Daphne CHAN, Senior Executive Officer (Planning) of the LCSD said that the LCSD had prepared the Project Definition Statement in 2011, and conducted a technical feasibility study on the works with relevant works departments. However, it was found that flooding might occur at the location of the proposed works, and it was necessary to explore different options to solve the flooding problem. The relevant departments had been following up on the works for the past few years. The technical feasibility study was still in progress. The LCSD could only draw up the timetable of the works with the relevant works departments after the feasibility study was completed. The LCSD would report the latest progress of the works to Members in due course. The technical feasibility study was carried out by the Architectural Services Department (ASD) and the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD).

82. The views of Members on this motion were as follows:

- Members suggested that letters should be written to the ASD and the CEDD requesting them to speed up the progress of the technical feasibility study; and
- Concerning the flooding problem, Members said that such problem had occurred at the Waterfront Promenade when the typhoon signal no. 10 was hoisted last year. Later, the CEDD had constructed breakwaters at the Waterfront Promenade. Members therefore hoped that the CEDD would be invited to attend the next DFMC meeting to discuss on ways to solve the problem of flooding and site selection.

83. The Chairman hoped that the LCSD, as the leading department of the above works, would try its best to co-ordinate the relevant departments and draw up the timetable of the works. When progress was made, relevant departments were welcomed to attend the meeting, and to report the design of the works to Members.

**(2) “Enquire about and follow up on the application and approval process in respect of the open space at Choi Ming Street, Tiu Keng Leng”
(SKDC(DFMC) Paper No. 21/17)**

84. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. Edwin CHEUNG, Ms. Christine FONG and Mr. CHEUNG Mei-hung. He asked Members to refer to the written response from the District Lands Office, Sai Kung, i.e. SKDC(DFMC)Paper No. 26/17.

85. The Chairman continued that he found it strange that the District Lands Office, Sai Kung replied that it had not received the application for land allocation regarding the works proposal put forward by DC Member Mr. LEUNG Li. The DFMC had all along been discussing the issue. The District Lands Office, Sai Kung had clarified the difference between long-term lease and short-term tenancy (STT), and said that it usually took three to six months to handle the applications for STTs. However, the applications for the above open space had been assessed for many years. To optimise the use of land resources, it was hoped that the District Lands Office, Sai Kung could handle the applications for the above open space promptly, and ensure the fairness, impartiality and high transparency of the process.

86. Ms. Decem LAM, Senior Liaison Officer (2) of the SKDO added that the SKDO received the works proposal (i.e. “SK-DMW170 Convert the open space near Choi Ming Street in Tiu Keng Leng into a leisure ground”) from the SKDC and three applications for STTs from the District Lands Office, Sai Kung in 2013. Consultations on the above works proposal and the applications were carried out, and the results of consultations were submitted to the SKDC and the District Lands Office, Sai Kung respectively.

87. Mr. LIU of the SKDO said that at the meeting of the District Works Working Group on 11 June 2013, Members agreed that the three applications for STTs should be handled first. The DFMC would only continue following up on the works proposal “SK-DMW170 Convert the open space near Choi Ming Street in Tiu Keng Leng into a leisure ground” if all the three applications were rejected by the

88. The enquiries and views of Members on this motion were as follows:

- The District Lands Office, Sai Kung had conducted a consultation on the applications for STTs through the SKDO. Members remembered that some stakeholders had raised objections to the applications, and hoped that the Secretariat could check the relevant information;
- Members enquired whether they could discuss and express views on the applications for STTs at the DFMC meetings; and
- Members clarified that the discussion of the application from the G.T. (Ellen Yeung) College at the last meeting only aimed at pointing out the fact that the assessment of applications for STTs by the District Lands Office, Sai Kung were too slow. Members were not being biased in favour of any particular application.

89. The Chairman quoted the minutes of the meeting of the District Works Working Group on 11 June 2013, and said that Members were invited to put forward their views to the District Lands Office, Sai Kung regarding various proposed use of the above open space (including the three applications for STTs and one works proposal) at the meeting. In view of this, the Chairman considered that it was an established practice to discuss the applications for STTs at meetings. The Chairman also explained that before the last meeting, Members had been informed by e-mail that the issue would be discussed under “Any Other Business”. The issue was not added to the meeting on the spot. Besides, the Chairman said that the vacant substation at the above location was currently enclosed by fence. He was worried that safety problem would be caused, and asked the District Lands Office, Sai Kung to follow up promptly.

90. The enquiries and views of Members on this motion were as follows:

- Members enquired whether the DFMC had submitted any application for land allocation to the District Lands Office, Sai Kung regarding the above works proposal;
- Members hoped that the Secretariat would circulate the minutes of the meeting on 11 June 2013 after the meeting; and
- Members hoped that the District Lands Office, Sai Kung could complete the assessment of the applications as soon as possible so as to make better use of land resources and to improve the environment of the above open space.

91. The Chairman said that the District Lands Office, Sai Kung would assess the applications according to the sequence in which they were received. If all of the three applications for STTs were not successful, the works proposal put forward by the DFMC should be handled.

92. Mr. Peter KWOK, Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung)¹ of the SKDO said that he agreed that land resources were precious. He explained that if the applications for STTs failed to comply with the relevant regulations and policies, the relevant departments might refuse to approve the applications. He hoped that Members could understand that the assessment of applications needed time.

93. The Chairman asked the Secretariat to continue following up on this issue.

VII. Any Other Business

(i) City Dress Up Programme in Celebration of the 20th Anniversary of Hong Kong’s Return to the Motherland to use the logo of District Council

94. The Chairman said that to celebrate the 20th anniversary of Hong Kong’s return to the Motherland, the Home Affairs Department and the Information Services Department had tentatively decided that some 300 pairs of lamppost buntings of three designs would be displayed in each district during the period from April to July this year. One of the lamppost buntings would bear the logo of the respective DC. Members were asked whether they agreed with the use the SKDC logo on the lamppost

buntings.

95. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the above issue.

(ii) Replacement of Artificial Turf at Po Tsui Park

96. Some Members enquired about the artificial turf replacement works at Po Tsui Park. They said that the results of some experiments found that artificial turf contained carcinogenic substances, and enquired if this was the reason for the replacement of artificial turf at the park by the LCSD. They also enquired about the completion date of the works.

97. Ms. Camay LEE of the LCSD said that the works were expected to be completed in the third quarter of this year. She also said that the ASD would ensure that the materials of the artificial turf were safe and harmless to the human body.

98. The Chairman asked the LCSD to inform the DFMC when there was further information on the materials and specifications of the relevant artificial turf.

VIII. Date of Next Meeting

99. There being no other business, the meeting adjourned at 11:15 a.m.

100. The Chairman said that the next DFMC meeting would be held on 14 March 2017.

District Facilities Management Committee
Sai Kung District Council
March 2017