

**Sai Kung District Council
District Facilities Management Committee
Minutes of the Fourth Meeting in 2017**

Date: 11 July 2017 (Tuesday)
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Venue: Conference Room of the Sai Kung District Council

<u>Present</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Mr. CHAN Kai-wai (Chairman)	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. LI Ka-leung, Philip (Vice-Chairman)	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. AU Ning-fat, Alfred, MH	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. CHAN Pok-chi, Jonathan	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. CHAU Yin-ming, Francis, BBS, MH	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. CHEUNG Chin-pang, Edwin	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. CHEUNG Mei-hung	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. CHONG Yuen-tung	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. CHUNG Kam-lun	9:42 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. FAN Kwok-wai, Gary	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Ms. FONG Kwok-shan, Christine	9:35 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. HIEW Moo-siew	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. HO Man-kit, Raymond	9:30 a.m.	10:00 a.m.
Mr. KAN Siu-kei	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. LAI Ming-chak	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. LAU Wai-cheung, Peter, MH	9:30 a.m.	10:00 a.m.
Mr. LEUNG Li	9:32 a.m.	12:50 p.m.
Mr. LING Man-hoi, BBS, MH	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. LUI Man-kwong	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. LUK Ping-choi	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. SING Hon-keung, BBS, MH	9:30 a.m.	10:00 a.m.
Mr. TAM Lanny, Stanley, MH	9:55 a.m.	12:55 p.m.
Mr. TSE Ching-fung	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. WAN Kai-ming	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. WAN Yuet-cheung, BBS, MH, JP	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Mr. WONG Shui-sang	9:30 a.m.	11:03 a.m.
Mr. YAU Yuk-lun	9:30 a.m.	1:05 p.m.
Miss WONG Ki-ying, Kitty (Secretary)	Executive Officer (District Council) 5, Sai Kung District Office	

In Attendance

Mr. KWOK Chung-kai, Peter	Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung) 1, Sai Kung District Office
Mr. CHU Chi-ho, Marco	Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung) 2, Sai Kung District Office
Miss LAU Tang, Moira	Senior Executive Officer (District Council), Sai Kung District Office
Mr. POON Kwok-leung, Timmy	Senior Executive Officer (District Management), Sai Kung District Office
Mr. LIU Chung-him, Michael	Executive Officer I (District Council), Sai Kung District Office
Miss MAK Wai-man, Sandy	Senior Liaison Officer (1), Sai Kung District Office
Ms. LAM Yee-lai, Decem	Senior Liaison Officer (2), Sai Kung District Office
Mr. YAU Chun-fai	Senior Inspector of Works, Sai Kung District Office
Ms. HEUNG Ching-yee, Alice	Chief Leisure Manager (New Territories East), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms. LEE Kar-mei, Camay	District Leisure Manager (Sai Kung), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Mr. CHOI Yiu-kwok	Manager (Hong Kong Velodrome & Tseung Kwan O Sports Ground), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Mr. FUNG Kwok-cheung, Cliff	Deputy District Leisure Manager (District Support) Sai Kung, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Mr. LEUNG Chung-fat, Andy	Assistant District Leisure Manager (District Support) Sai Kung, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Miss TSANG Mei-ying, May	Senior Manager (NTE) Promotion, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Miss NG Sheung-han, Alice	Manager (NTE) Marketing & District Activities, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms. YUEN Suet-fei, Agatha	Senior Librarian (Sai Kung), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Miss YUEN Mong-ting, Mona	Senior Executive Officer (Planning) (Acting), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms. LAM Yuen-ting, Heidi	Assistant District Social Welfare Officer (Wong Tai Sin/Sai Kung) 3
Mr. LAU Hon-wing	Senior Land Executive/Acquisition, District Lands Office, Sai Kung
Mr. LEE Wang-yui, Eddie	Architect (Works) 7, Home Affairs Department
Ms. Joy TANG	Project Assistant, WCWP International Limited

Absent

Mr. LAM Siu-chung, Frankie
Mr. NG Sze-fuk, George, GBS, JP

Welcoming Remarks

The Chairman welcomed all Members and representatives of government departments to the fourth meeting in 2017 of the District Facilities Management Committee (“DFMC”) under the Sai Kung District Council (“SKDC”).

2. The Chairman said that Mr. Frankie LAM and Mr. George NG could not attend the meeting because of other commitments. They had submitted the Notifications of Absence from Meeting in advance as required. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced that the applications for absence from meeting were approved in accordance with Order 51 (1) of the Sai Kung District Council Standing Orders.

I. Confirmation of Minutes of the Third Meeting in 2017

3. The Chairman said that as the Secretariat had not receive any amendment proposal before the meeting, and no amendment was proposed by Members at the meeting, the minutes of the above meeting were confirmed.

II. New Items

(i) Proposal for Reserving Central Fund for District Minor Works (SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 70/17)

4. The Secretary introduced the meeting paper. She said that the Finance Committee of the Legislative Council endorsed the funding of \$340 million for the 18 District Councils on 18 March 2017 to implement district minor works in 2017-18. Of the funding, the Home Affairs Department recommended that a central fund of \$4.2 million would be reserved for emergency works or unforeseeable additional cash flow for the districts and \$20 million as unified payment for consultant fees and the expenses for the resident staff of construction sites. The funding arrangement above was the same as that in the past three years. Sai Kung District would therefore continue to be allocated \$20.29 million in payment of the construction fees for all works.

5. Members endorsed the funding arrangement concerned.

(ii) Suggest Providing a “Cycling Area” and a “Cycling Sharing Passage” in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park (SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 71/17)

6. Ms. Camay LEE, District Leisure Manager (Sai Kung) of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (“LCSD”) introduced the discussion paper and wished to seek Members’ endorsement for the funding of \$230,000 to launch a trial scheme to provide a “Cycling Area” and a “**Shared Cycling Path**” in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park. Upon completion of the six-month trial period, LCSD would

review and report the effectiveness of the scheme to DFMC. If the scheme gained support from the DFMC and public, LCSD would then seek DFMC’s approval for funding of \$350,000 for the long-term implementation.

7. In response to Members’ views and enquiries, Ms. Camay LEE of LCSD provided her feedbacks as follows:

Members’ views and enquiries	Responses from LCSD
<p>General Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Members supported the scheme and appreciated the effort of LCSD to make the proposal to echo with the public’s requests. However, a member held reservations on the “Shared Cycling Path” and worried about the conflict between the pedestrians and cyclists on the use of path; ● It was suggested that the department should clarify users’ rights and responsibilities for using the “Shared Cycling Path” and clearly indicated the path boundary.; ● Members suggested having a site visit before giving approval for the funding; and ● It was suggested that the department would provide more relevant information of the “Shared Cycling Path”, such as statistics of injuries case happened at the location. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● In response to the public demands for cycling in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park, LCSD had been proactively exploring proposals for improving the existing arrangement. Thus, LCSD put forward the above proposal; ● The proposed “Shared Cycling Path” would be 3.5 m to 4 m in width. It was believed that the width of the path was sufficient for both pedestrians and cyclists; and ● Members would be invited to conduct a site visit. If Members would have other views on the proposed route, they were welcome to provide their comments at the site visit for discussion.
<p>Arrangements during the trial period</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● For the sake of safety of pedestrians and cyclists, Members suggested shortening the trial period, and providing the permanent signages as soon as possible; ● As the long-term arrangement was scheduled to be commenced in March 2018, the department would further consult the views of SKDC beforehand. As the coming DFMC meetings would be held in mid-January and mid-March 2018, Members requested the department to report the effectiveness of the trial scheme to DFMC nearer the time; and ● The department was asked whether the new facilities provided during the trial period could 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Except the daily wear and tear during the trial period, all new signages would continue to be used under the long-term arrangement.

<p>continue to be used.</p>	
<p>Preparatory measures for the trial scheme</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The department was requested to collect views from the pedestrians, cyclists and cycling organisations before implementation of the scheme; ● Members suggested that the department should ensure that the surface of the cycling path in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park was smooth and suitable for cycling; and ● As one of the entrances of the Hong Kong Velodrome Park was connected to the cycle track in Man Kuk Lane, it was suggested that the department should liaise with the Transport Department (TD) to avoid overcrowding of the cyclists in that area. 	<p>(Post-meeting note by LCSD: LCSD would inform TD about the necessary arrangement before implementing the trial scheme.)</p>
<p>Safety measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● It was noted that the team athletes were allowed to ride their bikes in Hong Kong Velodrome Park during the 2017 UCI Track Cycling World Championships. Therefore, Members enquired whether this arrangement could be quoted as reference for the trail scheme; ● Members considered that pedestrians and cyclists should use separated path to avoid occurrence of accidents., or a cycling path should be provided for the cyclists to enter the Hong Kong Velodrome Park; ● Members suggested using planter boxes to separate the pedestrians from the cyclists; ● Members worried that the cyclists would knock against the lift users as the lift tower of Sheung Tak footbridge was located near the Shared Cycling Path; ● Members suggested that the zones for pedestrians and cyclists should be demarcated in different colour; ● Members suggested that the speed of cycling should be limited to avoid accidents; ● Members suggested that the department should 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● During the 2017 UCI Track Cycling World Championships, LCSD did not allow the team athletes to ride the bikes in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park. To have respect for the current usage pattern of the public and avoid affecting the design concept of the Hong Kong Velodrome, LCSD would not install railings or paint the Shared Cycling Path in colour. However, the department would display prominent signages along the path for the sake of public safety; ● As it was expected that some children would conduct cycling training in the Cycling Area, the department would provide planters as the dividers for the sake of safety; ● The signages of “Slow” would be displayed along the Shared Cycling Path to remind the pedestrians and cyclists about the safety; ● Clear briefings and training would be provided to the additional security guards

<p>install fluorescent and anti-bumping devices for the piles of the footbridge;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● It was suggested that the department should provide clear and sufficient number of signages to demarcate the cycling and pedestrian zones; ● Members suggested that signs of “Pedestrians First” should be provided in the Shared Cycling Path; ● Members enquired whether the LED signages could still be seen on the path during daytime; ● It was suggested that pattern of the LED signages could indicate the cycling directions to avoid crashes and accidents; ● Members enquired whether the light of LED signages would affect the sights of the cyclists; ● Members suggested using LED devices during the trial period and studying whether LED devices could project patterns of signage and logo; ● Members suggested the department to clearly brief the venue staff so as to explain to the public about the arrangement for Shared Cycling Path. ● Members suggested that cyclists could use the passage on the elevated platform of the Velodrome to enter and exit the Hong Kong Velodrome Park so as to avoid affecting the pedestrians on the ground. 	<p>before deploying them to monitor the operation of the Cycling Area and Shared Cycling Path, as well as provide assistance for the venue users;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● LCSD would explore the feasibility of using outdoor LED device, which also served as the beautification purpose during the trial period, if possible. The department would then liaise with the supplier to review its effectiveness and safety; ● Members were welcome to raise other suggestions during the site visit. The department would study the feasibility of the suggestions afterwards.
<p>Other ancillary facilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Members suggested organising cycling training courses in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park; ● Members enquired whether trees of the Hong Kong Velodrome Park would be required to be transplanted; and ● Members enquired about the expiry date of current tenancy of the bike rental kiosk and suggested increasing the monthly rent due to the high patronage rate after the implementation of the scheme in the next tender. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● As the proposed width of “Shared Cycling Path” was sufficient, relocation of the trees in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park was not required. <p>[Post-meeting note by LCSD: the tenancy of the bicycle rental kiosk in the Hong Kong Velodrome would be expired on 28 February 2019.]</p>

8. The Chairman appreciated the effort of LCSD initiated to submit the above proposal in response to the public's requests. He expected that the department would consider Members' views and optimise the above proposal. A site inspection would be arranged for discussion on the revised proposal. The trial scheme would be commenced after collecting further comments.. The Chairman also suggested that LCSD should report the outcome of the pilot scheme at DFMC meeting in January 2018. Then DFMC would consider the funding application for the long-term arrangement according to the result of the pilot scheme. The Chairman advised that during the 2017 UCI Track Cycling World Championships, LCSD did not allow team athletes to ride their bikes in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park. He suggested that the department would review the arrangements for future events.

9. Ms. Camay LEE of LCSD mentioned that she would report the effectiveness of the trial scheme to DFMC in due course and apply for funding of long-term arrangement. The amount of the funding application would depend on Members' views on the arrangement.

10. Ms. Alice HEUNG, Chief Leisure Manager (NTE) of LCSD supplemented that a cycle path had been set up in Tuen Mun Park to link up Yau Oi Estate with the West Rail Station for over ten years. On the other hands, there was only one accident occurred in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park in the past three years while the case was not related to the proposed location of the Shared Cycling Path. In view of the public interest, she hoped that the trial scheme could be successfully launched.

11. The Chairman asked LCSD to provide the Secretariat with the relevant information of the Shared Cycling Path, and announced that the above proposal was endorsed with funding of \$230,000 to launch the trial scheme. LCSD was requested to arrange a site visit as soon as possible and review the proposal after collecting Members' views. The trial scheme should be launched after gaining Members' support.

[Post-meeting note by LCSD: LCSD had provided the information on the Shared Cycling Path in the Tuen Mun Park for Members' reference. (Note: the Secretariat had sent the relevant information by email to Members on 4 September 2017.) Besides, LCSD had arranged a site visit for Members on 21 July 2017.)

(iii) Funding proposal: "Replacement of the Facilities of the Leisure Pool in the Sai Kung Swimming Pool"
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 72/17)

12. Mr. Cliff Fung, Deputy Leisure Manager (District Support) Sai Kung of LCSD introduced the Discussion paper, and hoped that Members would endorse the funding of \$480,000 to implement the replacement of the facilities of the leisure pool in the Sai Kung Swimming Pool.

13. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the works proposal and the funding of \$480,000 to implement the works.

(iv) **Proposal for Providing Additional Funding for the Recreation and Sports Programmes, Cultural and Entertainment Activities, and Activities in Public Libraries Organised by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department in 2017/18**
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 73/17)

14. The Chairman said that the captioned proposal was endorsed at the meeting of the District Works Working Group on 13 June 2017. Members were invited to consider whether to endorse the proposal for providing additional funding for the recreation and sports programmes, cultural and entertainment activities, and activities in public libraries organised by the LCSD in 2017/18, and the \$1 million funding applied.

15. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the captioned proposal and the related funding of \$1 million.

(v) **“The Scope of Development of the District Open Spaces at Areas 66 and 68 of Tseung Kwan O (revised proposal)”**
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 91/17)

16. Ms. Mona YUEN, Senior Executive Officer (Planning) (Acting) of LCSD introduced the Discussion paper with the aid of the Presentation slides played at the meeting, and invited Members’ views on the above revised proposal. LCSD would adopt Members’ views as long as they were financially and technically feasible, and would submit the revised proposal to the Home Affairs Bureau for approval, and then proceed with further preparatory work.

17. Members’ views and enquiries and the responses of Ms. Mona YUEN of LCSD were as follows:

Members’ views and enquiries	Responses from the LCSD
<p>Overall Theme and Design</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The provision of a park with a specific theme at the above location had been proposed many years ago; ● Members suggested that the department should refer to the design of the Urban Oasis at Kowloon Bay and the Grief Healing Garden in Taiwan, and invite Non-governmental Organisations (NGOs) to jointly design a unique park which had healing effect; ● Members suggested that landmark architecture should be provided to echo the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Art Promotion Office would be consulted on the view that work of art could be exhibited in the open space; and ● If Members hoped that there would be landmark architecture, LCSD would reflect their views to the Architectural Services Department (ASD), so that ASD would consider the views in future designs.

<p>Cross Bay Link; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● When designing the central pedestrian avenue and the town park, the facilities in the existing or future major parks in the District should be considered as a whole, so as to achieve complementarity of functions with other parks. 	
<p>Community Garden</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Members opposed the provision of community garden in the open space; ● Community garden occupied large area but would be used by a small number of people only; ● Members suggested the provision of a greenhouse to replace the community garden; and ● There was land in the restored landfill which could be utilised as community garden. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Consideration would be given to cancelling the community garden and turning it into landscape design or a cycling park instead.
<p>Ancillary Facilities for the Water Sports Park</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● To supplement the future Water Sports Centre, Members suggested that landing steps should be provided at the coastal locations to facilitate water sports players to enter or exit the above open space. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The coastal locations were under the purview of the Civil Engineering and Development Department (“CEDD”), so the suggestion of landing steps needed to be followed up by CEDD.
<p>Jogging Track</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Members hoped that the jogging track could be kept for connection to the waterfront promenade; ● Members enquired why the jogging track was cancelled; and ● Opinion poll showed that most residents hoped that the department would provide more facilities at the Waterfront Park in Area 68, and they objected the cancellation of jogging track in the above proposal. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● As residents currently could use the Tseung Kwan O Waterfront Park or the pavements nearby for jogging, the jogging track in the above works proposal was suggested to be cancelled. However, if Members found that it was necessary to keep the jogging track, LCSD would consider making such arrangement.
<p>Bicycle Park and Bicycle Rental Kiosk</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Members suggested that the department should either keep the Bicycle Park, or cancel the bicycle rental kiosk together with the Bicycle Park; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● As there were cycle tracks nearby for cycling activities, the Bicycle Park was suggested to be cancelled. In response to Members’ views, the department could consider to keep the Bicycle Park.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Opinion poll showed that nearly 80 per cent of residents opposed the cancellation of Bicycle Park; ● The Bicycle Park would go with the Cross Bay Link and water sports park, thus promoting the development of the Triathlon; ● There was a lack of practising area for the beginners in the District, so Members suggested that the department should keep the Bicycle Park; ● The department planned to set up a cycling area for beginners in the Hong Kong Velodrome Park. Therefore, Members agreed with the cancellation of the Bicycle Park at that location. Members also suggested the provision of bicycles self-help rental service to replace the bicycle rental kiosk. 	
<p>An Open-air Hard-paved Plaza</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Members worried that the Open-air Hard-paved Plaza would attract residents to assemble there for “Piazza Dance” and the noise might cause nuisance to the residents nearby. Therefore, it was hoped that the open-air plaza would be replaced by a covered plaza; ● Members suggested that notices should be posted at the open-air plaza to remind residents to keep their voice down; ● There was a lack of space for major events in the District. Therefore, Members suggested that a covered plaza facing the sea should replace the open-air plaza. The sea facing design could help reduce the noise causing nuisance to the residents nearby ; and ● It was hoped that the architectural design of the plaza would not have any visual impact on the waterfront promenade. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● On the premise that the overall design would not be affected, LCSD would study keeping the covered plaza or providing shade structure. It would also consider providing the facilities concerned at appropriate locations.
<p>Basketball Courts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Members agreed with the cancellation of the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Currently, there were 7 basketball courts in Tseung Kwan O. To avoid the noise

<p>basketball courts to reduce the noise nuisance caused to the residents nearby;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● There was a lack of public basketball courts in Tseung Kwan O South. Members hoped the department would strike a balance between noise reduction and provision of recreational facilities; ● To reduce the noise from the basketball courts at night, Members suggested that the opening hours of the basketball courts should be restricted; ● Members enquired whether <i>the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines</i> would be violated if the provision of basketball courts at the above location was cancelled, and whether LCSD would consider building basketball courts in other areas of the District; and ● Members suggested that the department should build covered basketball courts to ease residents' demands for such facilities in the District. 	<p>causing nuisance to the residents nearby, it was suggested that the basketball courts at the above location should be cancelled. However, if Members wanted to keep 1 to 2 basketball courts, the department would study appropriate design that could reduce the noise which might be caused by the basketball courts; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The department planned to provide basketball courts in the works proposal for the open space in Area 72.
<p>Other views</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Members supported the provision of lawn at the open space; ● Members suggested the provision of covered walkway to connect to the Tseung Kwan O MTR Station; and ● Members suggested that the department should hold a district consultation session for the above revised proposal to collect the residents' views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The department noted Members' views.

18. The Chairman said that LCSD had said at a meeting of SDKC many years ago that 6 basketball courts would be provided in Area 66 and Area 68 to reduce the negative impact of providing basketball courts on the residents nearby since the population in these areas were lower than that in Tiu Keng Leng. The Chairman enquired whether the department would provide basketball courts in other areas if the proposed basketball courts were cancelled. Besides, the representative of LCSD had mentioned in the past that the noise of basketball courts could be mitigated when segregated by the cycling park and jogging track. He enquired whether the cycling park and jogging track would be cancelled together with the basketball courts, and asked whether the department would consider keeping the cycling park and

jogging track if residents in the District had a strong demand for these facilities. In response to Members' views on the open-air plaza, the Chairman suggested that the department should consider the provision of large-scale shade structure.

19. Ms. Camay LEE of LCSD added that the department could consider replacing the community garden with Bicycle Park. However, she invited that Members would decide whether the nature of the Bicycle Park was a cycling area for children or a Bicycle Park for adults. LCSD would invite ASD to proceed with the design according to Members' views. Besides, Ms. LEE said that it was necessary to build fences for the basketball courts if the opening hours of the basketball courts were restricted. However, those higher structures might affect the waterfront view of the open space. In response to Members' views, Ms. LEE further said if Members hoped that a plaza would be designed for launching activities or performances in future. With reference to the design of plazas in other LCSD venues, sheltered for the seating areas may be considered. If Members might alert crowds or noise problems in future if the plaza is designed for holding major events.

20. Miss Mona YUEN of LCSD said that she hoped DFMC would accept the major direction of the above revised proposal, which could be fine-tuned in line with Members' views.

21. The Chairman hoped that the department would plan the basketball courts prudently to ensure that they could fully satisfy the needs of each community area. Moreover, the plaza would attract crowds no matter whether it was provided with a cover or not. However, if the plaza was provided with a cover, it would become another venue for holding major events in this District.

22. Members' enquiries and views were as follows:

- It was hoped that the department could fine-tune the proposal according to Members' views and consult DFMC again on the revised proposal;
- It was hoped that Members could reach a consensus on the adjustment of the proposal regarding the Bicycle Park, basketball courts and open-air plaza. Members suggested that the department should consult DFMC again before the implementation of the proposal;
- Members reiterated that DFMC could not represent the views of all residents, and hoped that the department would consult the public on the revised proposal; and
- The department could consider to provide basketball courts in LOHAS Park.

23. The Chairman said that DFMC had suggested in 2011 that the department should conduct more consultations on their works proposals. Therefore, it was hoped that the department would consult the residents nearby on the above proposal.

24. The Chairman said that DFMC's initial views on the revised proposal were summarised as follows:

- Exhibition of art pieces at the above open space should be considered ;
- Provision of landing steps at coastal locations should be considered ;

- Community garden should be cancelled and considered to be replaced with a theme garden;
- Bicycle Park should be kept;
- One or two basketball courts should be provided on the premise that residents nearby would not be affected;
- Jogging track should be kept; and
- Covered plaza should be kept as long as it would not affect the view of the waterfront promenade and causing noise nuisance.

25. Members' enquiries and views were as follows:

- On the arrangement of the provision of landing steps, LCSD needed to co-ordinate with CEDD for follow-up together as the above location was under CEDD's purview;
- Members suggested that the design of the covered plaza should focus on the daily convenient use of residents. Holding activities was a secondary consideration;
- Members suggested that the Bicycle Park should be designed for training beginners. With sufficient training for beginners, bicycle accidents would be reduced. Members also asked the LCSD to provide proper management of the Bicycle Park to avoid light and noise pollution at night; and
- It was hoped that the department would make slight adjustments in line with Members' views and submit the overall layout plan and proposals for Members' consideration at the next meeting.

26. Ms. Camay LEE of LCSD said that Members had reached a consensus on most of the items. The outstanding one was on the number of basketball courts. According to Members' views collected, the department would invite ASD to draft the design blueprint with slight adjustments. . Then DFMC would be consulted again on the preliminary design once available. Ms. LEE informed Members as this project had been included in the Five-Year Plan for Sports and Recreation Facilities in the 2017 Policy Address, DFMC could confirm the preliminary scope of the works at this meeting for the follow up action of LCSD.

27. The Chairman said that DFMC supported the department to implement the above plan as soon as possible. It was hoped that the department would note the views of all Members and would make corresponding adjustments. If the final design did not adopt the views put forward by Members at this meeting, DFMC reserved the right to reject it. Besides, DFMC suggested the provision of two basketball courts at the above location at most. The courts are also suggested to be segregated by other facilities to reduce the noise nuisance caused to the residents nearby. The Chairman asked the department to distribute brochures to the residents nearby or organise a briefing session to listen to their views.

III. Matters Arising

- (i) **“Request to Arrange for Mobile Library Services at an Appropriate Location at LOHAS Park”**

(Paragraphs 8 - 11 of the minutes of the last meeting) (SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 74/17)

28. The Chairman referred Members to the written response from the MTR Corporation Limited (MTR).

29. A Member said that the Chairman of the Hemera owners' committee said that MTR had not consulted it on the proposal and expressed objections to the above proposal through the Member as follows:

- The proposed location was near to a roundabout and a kindergarten. It was worried that accidents would happen easily;
- If the engine of the proposed mobile library van kept running, the air quality would be affected; and
- It was suggested that the mobile library van could be provided at the Capitol or Le Prestige.

30. The Chairman asked the Member concerned to invite the Chairman of the above owners' committee to express their views to MTR in writing.

- (ii) **“Request to Follow up on the Construction and Future Operation of the Hong Kong Football Association’s Football Training Centre in Area 77 and to Make Good Preparation for its Interfacing with the Periphery Leisure Facilities to Produce Complementary and Synergistic Effects”**
(Paragraph 12 of the minutes of the last meeting)

31. The Chairman said that no written reply was received from the Hong Kong Football Association before the meeting.

IV. Report Items

- (i) **Progress Report of the District Works Working Group**
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 75/17)

32. The Secretary reported that the District Works Working Group recommended the endorsement of following items at the meeting on 13 June 2017:

- A funding allocation of \$200,000 to implement “SK-DMW162 Improvement to footpath and open space in front of Tai Po Tsai Village Office, Hang Hau”;
- A funding allocation of \$70,000 to implement “SK-DMW250 Proposed information board at Pak A”;
- A funding allocation of \$250,000 to implement “SK-DMW279 Provision of directional signs along Wilson Trail at Ng Kwai Shan, Mau Wu Shan and Devil’s Peak in Tseung Kwan O”;
- A funding allocation of \$500,000 to implement “SK-DMW295 Improvement to the foot path from Tai Au Mun Road to the Tin Tau Temple, Hang Hau”;
- A funding allocation of \$1.3 million to implement “Beautification works for the parks and green belts in Sai Kung and Tseung Kwan O”;
- A funding allocation of \$1 million to implement “Improvement works for the facilities of the

children’s playground in Po Hong Park”; and

- A funding allocation of \$472,000 to implement “Improvement works for the central air-conditioning system and lifts in Tseung Kwan O Sports Centre”.

33. Members endorsed the above report.

(ii) Progress Report of the Endorsed District Minor Works Projects and Progress Report of the Feasibility Studies on District Minor Works Proposals (SKDC (DFMC) Paper Nos. 76/17 and 77/17)

34. Members’ views on the following projects and responses from the departments concerned were as follows:

Projects	Members’ Views	Department’s Responses
SK-DMW170(P) Convert the open space at Choi Ming Street of Tiu Keng Leng into a sheltered leisure ground	Members enquired the District Lands Office, Sai Kung (DLO/SK) about the progress of processing the application for the last short-term tenancy (STT).	<u>Mr. LAU Hon-wing, Senior Land Executive/Acquisition of DLO/SK</u> said that they were conducting departmental consultation on the application for STT. The policy bureau concerned asked the applicant organisation to provide more information. DLO/SK would continue to follow it up and inform Members of the result upon completion of the departmental consultation.
SK-DMW255 Construction of cover between the main entrance of Tong Ming Court and Park Central at Tong Tak Street	When the contractor was carrying out concrete placement on 8 July 2017, the pedestrian walkway and road ramp outside the main entrance of Tong Ming Court were temporarily closed, which caused inconvenience to elderly and wheel-chair users. Therefore, Members hoped that the contractor would notify the departments concerned before the next concrete placement and the pedestrian walkway should remain open in the course of construction. <u>The Chairman</u> also requested the project consultant to ask	<u>Ms. TANG Suet-fan, Project Assistant of the WCWP International Limited</u> said that they would enhance communication with the DC Member of the respective constituency and the estate representatives, and refer to their views in the course of construction.

	the contractor to replace the defective road ramp.	
SK-DMW266 Suggestions on facility improvement at Ap Tsai Shan	Members enquired about the progress of the study on the location of the newly proposed pavilion and the date of the relevant site visit.	<u>The Secretary</u> said that a site visit would be arranged after the works departments concerned completed the financial estimate of the provision of pavilion at the proposed locations.
SK-DMW304(P) “Addition of lighting system at the footpath from Po Lam Road North (near Hong Sing Garden) to To Lok Road”	Members enquired about the progress of the works.	<u>The Secretary</u> said that a letter had been sent to the Agricultural, Fisheries and Conservation Department for the provision of lighting system at the proposed location. There was no written reply from the department for the time being.

35. The Chairman reminded Members that they could follow up the progress of district minor works at the meeting of the District Works Working Group. Generally speaking, DFMC would not discuss the progress of individual works at its meeting.

36. Members endorsed the two reports above.

**(iii) Financial Estimate for Funding District Minor Works
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 78/17)**

37. The Secretary reported that as at 28 June 2017, the estimated expenditure for the district minor works of the financial year 2017-2018 was about \$14.36 million.

38. Members endorsed the above report.

**(iv) Report on the Organisation of Recreational and Sports Activities as well as the Management of Leisure Facilities in the Sai Kung District by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department from May to June 2017
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 79/17)**

39. Members endorsed the above report.

**(v) Report on Free Local Cultural Programmes by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department in the Sai Kung District
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 80/17)**

40. Members endorsed the above report.

**(vi) Report on Promotion Activities in Public Libraries of the Sai Kung District by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 81/17)**

41. Members endorsed the above report.

**(vii) Report on Community Halls/Centres in the Sai Kung District
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 82/17)**

42. Members endorsed the above report.

**(viii) Report on the Participation and Achievements of the Sai Kung District in the 6th Hong Kong Games
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 83/17)**

43. Mr. Cliff FUNG of LCSD introduced the meeting paper according to the Presentation Slides played at the meeting and invited Members to endorse the above report.

44. Members' views were as follows:

- The ranking of Sai Kung District in the competition had been rising. It was hoped that LCSD and SKDC would continue to cooperate closely; and
- Members appreciated LCSD for their endeavours in the co-ordination of the 6th Hong Kong Games.

45. The Chairman asked the Secretariat to send a letter of commendation to LCSD and hoped that the achievements in the coming year would be further improved.

46. Members endorsed the above report.

V. Motions Presented by Members

(i) Four Works Proposals Presented by Members

**(1) Request for the Addition of and Improvement to the Recreational Facilities in the Open Space behind and next to the Village Office of the Ma Yau Tong Village
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 84/17)**

47. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. YAU Yuk-lun.

48. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced that DFMC agreed to follow up the proposal, and asked the departments concerned to explore the feasibility of the works.

**(2) Installation of Signs at the Tseung Kwan O Waterfront Promenade
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 85/17)**

49. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Ms. Christine FONG and Mr. CHEUNG Mei-hung.

50. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced that DFMC agreed to follow up the proposal, and asked the departments concerned to explore the feasibility of the works.

**(3) Greening the Chui Ling Road
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 86/17)**

51. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. TSE Ching-fung, Mr. LUK Ping-choi and him.

52. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced that DFMC agreed to follow up the proposal, and asked the departments concerned to explore the feasibility of the works.

**(4) Provision of Rain Shelter and Seats next to the Bus Stop at the Pavement of Po Hong Road (near the Bus Stop at Finery Park)
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 87/17)**

53. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. Gary FAN, Mr. CHUNG Kam-lun and Mr. Frankie LAM.

54. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced that DFMC agreed to follow up the proposal, and asked the Works Section of SKDO to explore the feasibility of the works.

(ii) Two Motions Presented by Members

**(1) Request for Improving the Air Quality of the Study Rooms of Public Libraries in the District
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 88/17)**

55. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. WAN Kai-ming and seconded by Mr. Philip Li and Mr. Johnathan CHAN. He referred Members to the written response from LCSD, i.e. SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 92/17.

56. A Member said that the issue was reported in a newspaper some time earlier. The Member went to the libraries in the District for inspection and found that the air quality of the study rooms was poor when there were many people using them. Although LCSD replied that the air quality of the study

rooms met the standard, it was hoped that the department would improve the air circulation of the study rooms to ensure fresh air supply in all positions.

57. There being no objection or amendment, the Chairman announced that the motion was endorsed and asked LCSD to follow it up.

(2) Request for Prompt Implementation of the Project on the Beautification and Re-provision of Sitting-out Area at the Junction of Lam Shing Road and Po Lam Road North
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 89/17)

58. The Chairman said that the motion was moved by Mr. Stanley TAM and seconded by Mr. WAN Yuet-cheung and Mr. Alfred AU. The Chairman referred Members to the written responses from LCSD and the Planning Department (PlanD), i.e. SKDC (DFMC) Paper Nos. 93/17 and 94/17.

59. A Member supported the above works project and hoped that it could be implemented as soon as possible.

60. There being no objection or amendment, the Chairman announced that the motion was endorsed and asked LCSD and PlanD to follow-up.

VI. Any Other Business

**(i) The Issue Referred by the Working Group on Tourism and Economic Development:
“Improvement Works for the Tung Lung Chau Hiking Trail”**
(SKDC (DFMC) Paper No. 90/17)

61. The Chairman said that this issue was endorsed at the meeting of the Working Group on Tourism and Economic Development on 6 June 2017 and passed to DFMC for follow-up.

62. Mr. YAU Chun-fai, Senior Inspector of Works of SKDO introduced the meeting paper and hoped that Members would agree to the funding allocation of \$1 million to implement the improvement works for the Tung Lung Chau Hiking Trail.

63. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the captioned works proposal and the related funding allocation of \$1 million. The Chairman further said that the Working Group on Tourism and Economic Development should invite Member of DFMC to join the site visit before submitting similar works proposal the next time, so that Members could have a better understanding of the works proposal.

(ii) The Issue Referred by the Age-Friendly City Working Group under the Social Services & Healthy and Safe City Committee

64. The Chairman said that this issue was endorsed at the meeting of the Age-Friendly City Working Group on 26 April 2017 and passed to DFMC for follow-up.

65. The Secretary added that the representative of the elderly put forward two proposals at the meeting of the Age-Friendly City Working Group on 26 April 2017: restoration of the “Enquiry Rock” (問路石) at the Tseng Lan Shu exit of the Little Hawaii Trail; enquiry on the use of the notice board on Ap Tsai Shan; and suggestion of providing fire beaters at appropriate locations to prevent hill fire. Regarding the notice board on Ap Tsai Shan, it was one of the works items under “SK-DMW226 Suggestions on facility improvement at Ap Tsai Shan”. A map of Ap Tsai Shan had been posted on the notice board at present.

66. Members noted the suggestions of the representative of the elderly.

(iii) Continuation of the Covered Walkway Working Group

67. The Chairman said that the Covered Walkway Working Group was a non-standing working group, with a tenure of 8 months. The working group was established by DFMC at the meeting of 10 January 2017 and the term of office would expire on 9 September 2017. Therefore, the Chairman asked Members to consider whether they agreed to the following:

- To extend the term of office of the working group for eight months in accordance with Order 41(2) of the Sai Kung District Council Standing Orders, i.e. from today to 10 March 2018;
- To re-elect the existing Convenor and Deputy Convenor for another term; and
- To continue the current arrangement of convening meetings only when necessary.

68. There being no objection from Members, the Chairman announced that the above suggestion was endorsed.

69. A Member enquired about the follow-up of the current works proposals of covered walkway.

70. The Chairman said that SKDO had conducted consultation for all the works proposals of covered walkway. Upon provision of more information and views on the works proposals by the department concerned, the Covered Walkway Working Group would convene a meeting again for discussion and follow-up.

(iv) The Issue of Children’s Playrooms in Tiu Keng Leng and Hang Hau

71. The Chairman said that a resident reflected that children were suspected of being treated inappropriately in the children’s playrooms by other uses in Tiu Keng Leng and Hang Hau. He asked the representative of LCSD to respond.

72. Ms. Camay LEE of LCSD said that the behaviour concerned would be a criminal offence if substantiated. Currently, the staff at the playrooms did not find such problem. If such problem was found to exist, the staff would make a report to the Police.

73. The Chairman asked the department to proactively follow up the issue and step up inspections of children's playrooms.

VII. Date of Next Meeting

74. There being no other business, the meeting adjourned at 1:05 p.m.

75. The Chairman said that next DFMC meeting would be held on 12 September 2017.

District Facilities Management Committee
Sai Kung District Council
August 2017