(Translation)

Islands District Council Minutes of Meeting of the Community Affairs, Culture and Recreation Committee

Date: 4 March 2019 (Monday)

Time : 2:00 p.m.

Venue: Islands District Council Conference Room

Present

Ms YU Lai-fan (Chairman)

Ms TSANG Sau-ho, Josephine (Vice-Chairman)

Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, SBS

Mr YU Hon-kwan, Randy, JP

Mr YUNG Chi-ming, BBS

Mr CHAN Lin-wai

Ms LEE Kwai-chun

Mr TANG Ka-piu, Bill, JP

Ms YUNG Wing-sheung, Amy

Mr KWONG Koon-wan

Mr CHOW Ho-ding, Holden

Mr KWOK Ping, Eric

Ms FU Hiu-lam Sammi

Mr WONG Hoi-vu

Ms KWOK Wai-man, Mealoha

Mr ON Man-sang

Ms YIP Sheung-ching

Mr WONG Chun-kit

Attendance by Invitation

Mr CHAN Fai Senior Maintenance Surveyor (Hong Kong Island & Islands),

Housing Department

Mr HAU Chi Leung, Arnold Property Service Manager/Service (Hong Kong Island & Islands 4),

Housing Department

In Attendance

Ms WONG Ching Yi, Annie Assistant District Leisure Manager (District Support) Islands,

Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Ms KWOK Lai-kuen, Elaine Senior Librarian (Islands),

Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Ms WONG Fan-ni, Jasmine Senior Manager (New Territories South)Promotion,

Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Mr WONG Kin-sun, Frederick

Dr LEE Chi-on, Clement Ms WONG Fung Ha, Mary

Mr AU Sheung-man, Benjamin Ms YUEN Wai-kwan Mr LAM Kit-sing Ms CHOI Kwok-por Senior Community Relations Officer (Hong Kong West/Islands), Independent Commission Against Corruption

Senior School Development Officer (Islands)1, Education Bureau

Acting Assistant District Social Welfare Officer (Central Western/Southern &Islands)2, Social Welfare Department Assistant District Officer (Islands)1, Islands District Office Representative, Association of School Heads of Islands District

Representative, Islands District Sports Association

Representative, Hong Kong Islands Cultural & Art Association

Secretary

Miss LAM Po-yan, Eloisa

Executive Officer (District Council)1, Islands District Office

Absent with Apologies

Mr WONG Hon-kuen, Ken Mr LAI Tsz-man

Welcoming Remarks

<u>The Chairman</u> welcomed representatives of government departments and organisations as well as Members to the meeting and introduced the following department representatives who attended the meeting:

- (a) Ms WONG Fung-ha, Mary, Acting Assistant District Social Welfare Officer (Central Western/Southern &Islands)2 of Social Welfare Department, who stood in for Mr NG Wai-lung, David; and
- (b) Ms WONG Ching-yi, Annie, Assistant District Leisure Manager (District Support) Islands of Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD), who stood in for Ms CHAN Sok-fong, Cherry.
- 2. Members noted that Mr Ken WONG and Mr LAI Tsz-man were unable to attend the meeting due to other commitments.

I. Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meeting held on 7.1.2019

- 3. <u>The Chairman</u> said that the above minutes had incorporated the amendments proposed by government departments and organisations and had been distributed to Members for perusal prior to the meeting.
- 4. The captioned minutes were confirmed unanimously without amendments.

- II. Question on recreational and sports facilities in Tung Chung West (Paper CACRC 11/2019)
 - 5. <u>The Chairman</u> welcomed Ms Annie WONG, Assistant District Leisure Manager (District Support) Islands of LCSD to the meeting to present the paper. The written reply of LCSD had been distributed to Members for perusal prior to the meeting.
 - 6. <u>Mr WONG Chun-kit</u> briefly presented the question.
 - 7. <u>Ms Annie WONG</u> briefly presented the written reply.
 - 8. Mr WONG Chun-kit raised his views has follows:
 - (a) He was pleased to learn that LCSD would conduct site inspections with the representatives of Hong Kong Federation of Trade Unions (HKFTU) and accept the proposals raised by the group to optimise space utilisation, install more fitness facilities and provide entrances on Yu Tung Road to facilitate access by the residents. He proposed that the department should study relocation of some facilities in the park to free up space for installation of fitness facilities.
 - (b) According to Hong Kong Planning Standard and Guidelines, a sports centre should be provided per 50 000 to 65 000 persons. The Islands District had a population of 180 000 so 5 sports centres should be provided. However, he opined that the standard should not be rigidly followed. The Islands District included outlying islands such as Lantau Island, Cheung Chau and Peng Chau. It was unreasonable to ask residents of Tung Chung to travel long distances to Cheung Chau and Peng Chau for using the facilities. To count in the above sports centres was an act that disregarded the well-being of the community. The provision of a sports centre in Cheung Chau and Peng Chau aptly reflected that the uniqueness and geographical setting of the Islands District were considered by the department.
 - (c) He pointed out that a study would be launched regarding reservation of Tung Chung Area 107 for construction of a sports centre according to the 2017 Policy Address. It was expected that around 2 years would be required for district consultations on top of the time for design, funding application and works implementation so the sports centre would only be completed in 2025. As estimated by LCSD, the population of Tung Chung had reached 110 000. He could not understand why the sports centre could only open in 2023 or even 2025.

9. <u>Mr Eric KWOK</u> raised his views as follows:

(a) He raised questions regarding construction of a community hall and an indoor sports centre near Mun Tung Estate in Area 107 at the meeting of Islands District Council (IsDC) on 25 February this year. If the Lantau Tomorrow project was smoothly implemented, the reclamation works would commence in 2025 and be completed in 2028.

Construction of transport infrastructure would be conducted concurrently so the first batch of resident intake was expected in 2032. In other words, it would only take 3 years for land reclamation of 1 000 hectares and construction of transport and the first batch of residents could move into the flats after only 13 years of waiting. However, for the community hall and indoor sports centre project, the department already consulted the public on construction of the facilities in 2000 according to the development framework for Tung Chung, but after 19 years, the department indicated that 5 more years were required for further study. Even if the project was feasible, so that the planning for preliminary work would commence in 2024 and the works could be completed in 3 or 4 years, the community hall and indoor sports centre could only be completed in 2028 at the earliest, meaning that 28 years would be spent in total. He didn't understand why such a long time was required for constructing the community hall and indoor sports centre while the "Lantau Tomorrow" project could be completed in 13 years. He hoped that the Government could be people-oriented and implement the project as promised.

- (b) He was not opposed to development of infrastructure and other projects, but hoped that measures to improve people's livelihood could be implemented together. He opined that the Government paid scant attention to the public's livelihood but attached great importance to infrastructure, thus confused the public. As suggested by Mr WONG Chun-kit, construction of the community hall had been under discussion for years. However, according to the department's plan, the community hall could only be completed in 28 years at the earliest. He urged the department to relay relevant views to the Secretary and adopt a practical and people-oriented approach to avoid public discontent.
- (c) He hoped that the scale of Tung Chung Community Hall would be similar to that of the theatre in Tsuen Wan (i.e. Tsuen Wan Town Hall) to provide performance opportunities for artists, performers, singers and dancers in Tung Chung and nurture arts talents.
- 10. Mr Holden CHOW pointed out that according to the written reply of the department, it was stated in the Policy Address in January 2017 that the sports centre would be constructed and 5 years was required for a feasibility study. He opined that it would take too long if 8 to 10 years were spent on the project. In addition, the provision of a town park in Tung Chung had been under planning for a long time but the works had yet to be commenced. He raised questions at District Council meetings earlier and the representative of Planning Department (PlanD) was arranged to respond to the questions. Although no representative of PlanD was invited to the current meeting, he hoped that the views could be put into record. He urged the department to commence the works as soon as possible to satisfy the residents' needs.
- 11. <u>Ms Amy YUNG</u> said that there were similar problems in Discovery Bay. Although the Government signed an agreement with the developer to confirm the construction of a sports centre in Discovery Bay, no progress was made over the past 19 years. Given a population of 20 000 in Discovery Bay at the moment, she enquired when the sports centre would be completed. She also requested government

departments to provide an implementation timetable for all kinds of facilities so that Members could explain to the residents.

- 12. <u>Mr Bill TANG</u> was discontented with the department's response that 5 years was required for conducting the feasibility study, and questioned if it was only a bluff. He said that the department mentioned the scale of the planning and other specific information at the previous meeting, and enquired whether further information could be provided at later meetings for consultation purpose.
- 13. <u>Ms Annie WONG</u> thanked Members for their views and undertook to relay the views to the Planning Section of the department.
- 14. Mr Benjamin AU said that regarding construction of a community hall in Area 107, Islands District Office (IsDO) had secured policy support from Headquarters of Home Affairs Department, and had liaised with LCSD. As LCSD was the lead department, the actual implementation timetable would be confirmed by it.
- 15. Mr Eric KWOK thanked IsDO and LCSD for the replies, and proposed that a paper be submitted to the Secretariat by LCSD before the next meeting setting out a specific timetable for construction of the facilities and relevant details for Members' perusal and follow-up.
- 16. <u>The Chairman</u> asked LCSD to report matters regarding recreational and sports facilities in Tung Chung West, the town park in Tung Chung and the sports centre in Discovery Bay at the next meeting.
- III. Question on noise nuisance at Tung Chung Road Soccer Pitch at night (Paper CACRC 12/2019)
 - 17. <u>The Chairman</u> welcomed Ms Annie WONG, Assistant District Leisure Manager (District Support) Islands of LCSD to the meeting to present the paper. The written reply of LCSD had been distributed to Members for perusal prior to the meeting.
 - 18. <u>Mr Eric KWOK</u> briefly presented the question.
 - 19. Ms Annie WONG briefly presented the written reply.
 - 20. Mr Eric KWOK raised his views as follows:
 - (a) He thanked LCSD for implementing a series of measures to address the problem including posting notices. However, he found the measures ineffective as people creating noise at the soccer pitch at late night were lacking in civic virtues and might ignore the notices. As for deployment of security guards, he enquired whether the department would arrange the security guards to work overnight from 11 p.m. to 7 a.m. the next day. As most residents had to work in the morning, he believed that noise created at the soccer pitch during daytime would have little impact on the community.

- (b) The soccer pitch was adjacent to Mun Tung Estate. He proposed that the department should seek help from the management company working for Housing Department (HD). Requesting the management office to lock the gate of the soccer pitch from 11 p.m. to 7 a.m. the next day would be the simplest practice which could also save energy.
- (c) HD mentioned at the previous District Council meeting that public housing would be built in Tung Chung East Area 99 and 100 and a soccer pitch would be provided nearby. He was concerned that similar problems would occur in the future.
- (d) As there was a large piece of vacant land outside the soccer pitch, he proposed that the department should grow tall plants which could beautify the environment and achieve noise reduction effect.
- 21. Mr WONG Chun-kit said that some residents lodged complaints about the noise created at the soccer pitch. He supported LCSD to study the deployment of security guards and suggested setting their working hours at 11 p.m. to 7 a.m. to minimise the noise nuisance in the early hours. In addition, he proposed that the department should cooperate with the Police to step up patrol, which was believed to be an effective measure to tackle the noise problem in the vicinity.
- 22. Mr WONG Hoi-yu said that to his understanding, the opening hours of pitches in Kowloon and on Hong Kong Island, such as Southorn Playground, were 7 a.m. to 11 p.m. Lights of the pitches would be switched off automatically and the gates would be locked. He proposed that application of the same lighting and gate locking arrangements to the soccer pitch in Tung Chung should be considered.
- 23. <u>Ms Annie WONG</u> made a consolidated response as follows:
 - (a) The security guards were deployed to address the noise problem at night, and would therefore be requested to work overnight.
 - (b) With regard to seeking help from HD, LCSD would try to discuss the feasibility of the proposal concerned with HD and assistance from Members might be required.
 - (c) The department would study the proposal of growing tall plants on the vacant land.
 - (d) She said that the soccer pitch concerned was using the lighting system with automatic switch-off function. At hard pitches under LCSD, the floodlights, if any, would usually be switched off at 11 p.m. but part of the park lights would remain illuminated for security needs.
- 24. Mr WONG Hoi-yu believed that not many pitches provided lighting round the clock, and therefore proposed installation of a timer for the lighting system of the soccer pitch so that the lights would be automatically switched off at 11 p.m. The gate should also be locked at that time to tackle the noise problem.

- 25. <u>Ms Annie WONG</u> said that the lighting system of the above soccer pitch was installed with a timer to switch off the floodlights at 11 p.m., and some lights in the park remained on only for security needs.
- 26. The Chairman hoped that LCSD would consider Members' views.
- IV. Question on security vulnerability in metal gates of Yat Tung (I) Estate and Mun

 Tung Estate
 (Paper CACRC 13/2019)
 - 27. <u>The Chairman</u> welcomed Mr CHAN Fai, Senior Maintenance Surveyor (Hong Kong Island & Islands) of HD to the meeting to present the paper.
 - 28. <u>Mr WONG Chun-kit</u> briefly presented the question.
 - 29. <u>Mr CHAN Fai</u> made a consolidated response as follows:
 - (a) The department had always strived to provide effective security measures for the residents and 3 tiers of security measures were administered in most public housing estates: The first tier consisted of security gates with digital locks at the entrances at the ground floor lobby and fire escape staircases, as well as 24-hour security guards, who monitored all CCTVs and the inter-communication system of a block; the second tier was the metal gate of each unit and the third was the entrance door of each unit. The 3-tier security measures functioned properly and had been proven effective. Under normal circumstances, it would be difficult for burglars to overcome all 3 tiers of security measures without being noticed by residents in the blocks and security guards. Yat Tung (I) Estate was completed over 18 years ago and no burglary case occurred in the past 3 years.
 - (b) As for the successive occurrence of burglary cases in Pak Tin Estate in 2018, according to information, although a unit involved had been installed with protective metal strips before the incident, the gate was forcibly damaged by hard objects for break-in.
 - (c) Since most collapsible metal gates were in good condition, the department said that it had no plan to replace this type of gate or install additional anti-theft devices. Residents with safety concerns over their metal gates could contact estate offices for on-site checking. The department could install additional protective metal strips at the bottom of the gates to improve security. Details could be obtained from the estate offices.
 - (d) The department had conducted a burglary simulation test in Yat Tung (I) Estate in the presence of the representatives of HD. The scenario was a burglar without the key attempting to open the metal gate from outside. However, the test might not reflect the genuine situation as it was conducted when the entrance door of the unit was opened. The entrance door was an important part of the 3-tier security measures and

should remain closed. There was only a narrow gap of 4 inches between the door and the metal gate if they were properly closed so particular skills and tools were required to open the metal gate for burglary within a short period of time. The department had been closely monitoring the actual situation of the estate. No burglary case occurred in Yat Tung (I) Estate in the past 3 years, which proved that the security situation thereat was satisfactory. However, the issue would not be taken lightly and the department would continue to strengthen the security measures in the estates. Residents with safety concerns over the metal gates could request HD to deploy staff to conduct on-site checking, assess the wear and tear condition and to provide maintenance service.

(e) As for gate design, sliding metal gates were used in Mun Tung Estate and Kai Ching Estate. The department had deployed staff for site visits according to the question raised by Mr WONG Chun-kit but did not spot any wide door gaps. If the residents or Members found the door gap of a unit too wide, they could report to the department for immediate follow-up.

30. Mr WONG Chun-kit raised his views as follows:

- (a) Burglaries involved threat to human life and loss of properties and every case was one too many. A drop in the number of burglary cases over the past 3 years did not imply that the Government did not have to further improve security. According to the information of the Police, there were 10 and 5 burglary cases in Yat Tung (I) Estate in 2003 and 2004 respectively. In 2003, 8 cases occurred in Hong Yat House and Yung Yat House and 2 in Fuk Yat House, while in 2004, all cases occurred in Hong Yat House and Yung Yat House. In his opinion, the figures suggested that the problem of burglary had existed after resident intake but the department did not face it squarely.
- (b) He pointed out that the successive occurrence of burglary cases in 2 estates in Sham Shui Po involved property worth over \$300,000. It was reported that the burglar opened the gate by hand, but still, the department did not make prompt improvements. As one of the units involved had already installed the protective metal strips provided by the department, he cast doubt on the security design and enquired about the standards in defining the security level in the design.
- (c) When public housing was built in the past, the department said that grade-A security design was adopted so metal gates were not installed in the new public housing. The incident sparked strong reaction from the public and the department installed the metal gates eventually. He questioned whether the department was unwilling to tackle existing problems of metal gates because the gates could complement other security devices. He hoped that the department would contact the households of Harmony and Concord units in Yat Tung (I) Estate and listen to their views, especially the latter, to find out the number of households installing protective aluminium sheets on their own. He

cast doubt on the effectiveness of the department's security devices and hoped that the department would follow up on the matter after the meeting.

- 31. Mr Bill TANG said that metal gates had not been installed for Yat Tung (II) Estate for years after resident intake. Over the decade, many residents of Yat Tung (I) Estate installed metal gates on their own, and hundreds of households installed antitheft aluminium sheet with the assistance of HKFTU, showing their concerns over security. He proposed that the department should examine the anti-theft functions of different types of metal gates. He was pleased to learn that the department was willing to install security devices for the residents in need but hoped that it could enhance transparency by posting relevant information in the estates to explain the security functions of the measure and examine whether there were similar security vulnerabilities in Mun Tung Estate.
- 32. Mr WONG Chun-kit supplemented that on the day of the burglary simulation test, an accompanying staff demonstrated how to open a metal gate when the wooden door was closed. The representative of the department was asked to open the metal gate in an easy way due to his lack of relevant experience. He mastered the skills in a short period of time and successfully opened the gate, showing that it was not difficult. The department said that it would be difficult to force open the gate when the wooden door was shut but it was not the case, implying that the metal gates had design defects. This explained why thousands of households installed anti-theft aluminium sheet one after the other.

33. <u>Mr CHAN Fai</u> made a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) He emphasised that appropriate arrangements had been made. Residents with safety concerns over the gates could request the estate offices for on-site checking, which included assessment of the wear and tear condition of the gates' components and maintenance service. The department could install additional protective metal strips for households using collapsible gates when requested to improve security.
- (b) The cases mentioned occurred 10 to 20 years ago and there was no burglary case in Yat Tung (I) Estate in the past 3 years. In response to the residents' concerns over security, the department would continue to closely monitor the situation. It would also urge the management company to step up patrol to enhance the security of the estates, strictly enforce visitor registration measures in the blocks and promote crime prevention in the estates.
- (c) On gate design, the department had deployed staff for inspections and found that there was only a narrow gap of 4 inches between the entrance door and the metal gate if the door was properly closed so it was difficult for someone to reach out and open the gate. However, it did not rule out the possibility of the burglar opening the gate with particular tools. To his understanding, the burglars of the cases in Sham Shui Po opened the lock at the back of the gate with particular tools, not bare hands. However, as shown by the number of burglary cases in the past 10 years, it was difficult for burglars to overcome all

- 3 tiers of security measures without being seen by residents in the blocks and security guards.
- (d) HD was conducting an old-type see-through gate replacement scheme in response to residents' concerns about worn-out old-type gates which required frequent maintenance with difficulties in opening and closing. However, collapsible gates were excluded from the replacement scheme. The residents could make enquiries to the estate offices regarding the arrangements of the scheme or problems of the gates so that staff would be deployed for inspection and maintenance.
- 34. <u>The Chairman</u> hoped that the department could take into account Members' views on the security vulnerability in metal gates of Yat Tung (I) Estate and Mun Tung Estate.
- V. Question on request for provision of smart lockers in Mun Tung Estate (Paper CACRC 15/2019)
 - 35. The Chairman welcomed Mr HAU Chi Leung, Arnold, Property Service Manager/Service (Hong Kong Island & Islands 4) of HD to the meeting to respond to the question. The written reply of S.F. Express (Hong Kong) Limited (S.F. Express) had been distributed to Members for perusal prior to the meeting.
 - 36. <u>Mr Bill TANG</u> briefly presented the question.
 - 37. Mr Arnold HAU said that the smart lockers as mentioned by Mr Bill TANG was generally known as parcel lockers. Contractors expressing interest in providing parcel locker services had already conducted site visits. The G/F access between Mun Hong House and Mun Shun House was considered more suitable for placing lockers at this stage and a preliminary feasibility study were being conducted. If the findings indicated that legal, structural as well as electrical and mechanical engineering standards were fulfilled, the department would arrange the tendering exercise though S.F. Express might not be the one being awarded the contract eventually. After completion of the tendering exercise, the successful tender had to provide a detailed design to the department for approval before the installation of parcel lockers at the location concerned.
 - 38. Mr Bill TANG said that the questions regarding smart lockers were raised to meet the needs of the times and society. He declared that similar questions had not been raised by him before and he had no financial interest in S.F. Express. The company name was just as an example, like HSBC and Standard Chartered Bank which were often cited as examples in questions related to bank branches. He respected the department for maintaining the existing tendering mechanism to outsource works projects.
 - 39. Mr WONG Chun-kit was pleased to know that HD had adopted the views of HKFTU, studied the location for installing smart lockers and conducted public tender, which would enable the residents in Mun Tung Estate to collect goods purchased online more conveniently in future.

- VI. Question on service expansion of Tung Chung Job Centre (Paper CACRC 14/2019)
 - 40. <u>The Chairman</u> said that the written reply of Labour Department had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.
 - 41. Mr WONG Chun-kit briefly presented the question.
 - 42. Mr Bill TANG said that he had requested Labour Department to set up a service branch in Tung Chung many years ago but only received the department's reply in 2015 or 2016 about its plan in setting up a job centre in Tung Chung. However, the unemployment rate in the past 5 to 6 years in Hong Kong was low and the number of job vacancies exceeded the job seekers, especially in the Airport area. To the public, they could not receive direct support from job centres, so he opined that their service areas should be adjusted. He said that in an employment relationship, conflicts of interests between the employer and the employee inevitably existed. If people working at the Airport, in Tung Chung and in Discovery Bay had to travel long distances to Kwai Hing, Tsuen Wan and Sai Wan respectively for conciliation of labour disputes, there was a resource mismatch. As a job centre was already set up in Tung Chung, its service scope should be expanded. Apart from providing employment assistance, the job centre should help conciliating in labour disputes and handling relevant requests for assistance to cope with the development needs of the Airport area in Tung Chung and Lantau Island.
 - 43. Mr WONG Chun-kit said that the Government was vigorously developing Tung Chung and the Airport. Therefore, it was necessary to set up a branch office of Labour Relations Division in Tung Chung for settling labour disputes. He agreed with Mr Bill TANG that it was time-consuming and inconvenient for people working in Lantau Island and at the Airport to go to Tsuen Wan Government Office and Kwai Chung respectively for the service concerned. Given that Labour Department had set up a job centre in Tung Chung, it should take a step further by providing the service concerned in the centre.

VII. <u>2019/2020 Visit arrangements to Community Involvement Projects</u> (Paper CACRC 16/2019)

44. Members noted and endorsed the visit arrangements set out in the paper and the list of proposed visits for 2019/2020.

VIII. Reports by Working Groups

(i) CACRC Vetting Group

45. <u>The Chairman</u> said that at the meeting on 31 January this year, the Vetting Group processed 18 funding applications for the Community Involvement Projects to be held in April to May this year. Funding proposals were submitted to the Committee for endorsement by circulation of paper.

46. The Vetting Group noted the content of the 16 activity evaluation reports.

(ii) CACRC Activities Working Group

(a) <u>Vita Green Cycling for Health Marathon Challenge 2019 – District Council Invitation Team</u>

Vita Green Charitable Foundation invited IsDC to participate in the Vita Green Cycling for Health Marathon Challenge 2019 – District Council Invitation Team to be held on 24 February 2019. The Islands District did not compete in the Challenge due to insufficient enrolment.

(b) Crossover Lab Initiative

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA) invited IsDC to co-organise "Crossover Lab Initiative" under the theme of cultural and arts activities in the Art Park in West Kowloon. The Working Group agreed to co-organise the events with WKCDA in 2019/20 or beyond.

47. Members noted and endorsed the reports of the above 2 working groups.

IX. Reports on the work of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department in Islands District

- (i) <u>Cultural Activities</u> (Paper CACRC 8/2019)
- 48. <u>The Chairman</u> welcomed Ms WONG Fan-ni, Jasmine, Senior Manager (New Territories South) Promotion of LCSD to the meeting to present the paper.
- 49. Ms Jasmine WONG briefly presented the paper.
- 50. Members noted the content of the paper.
- (ii) Extension Activities held in Public Libraries (Paper CACRC 9/2019)
- 51. <u>The Chairman</u> welcomed Ms KWOK Lai-kuen, Elaine, Senior Librarian (Islands) of LCSD to the meeting to present the paper.
- 52. <u>Ms Elaine KWOK</u> briefly presented the paper
- 53. Members noted the content of the paper.
- (iii) <u>Sports and Recreational Activities</u> (Paper CACRC 10/2019)
- 54. <u>The Chairman</u> welcomed Ms Annie WONG, Assistant District Leisure Manager (District Support) Islands of LCSD to the meeting to present the paper.
- 55. Ms Annie WONG briefly presented the paper.

56. Members noted the content of the paper.

X. Any Other Business

(i) "All for Integrity 45" Publicity Project for Islands District 2018/19

57. <u>The Chairman</u> welcomed Mr WONG Kin-sun, Frederick, Senior Community Relations Officer (Hong Kong West/Islands) of Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) to the meeting for a briefing.

58. <u>Mr Frederick WONG</u> reported the following:

- (a) He expressed gratitude to Members for their support for the "All for Integrity" programme all along, and reported that the launching ceremony of the "All for Integrity 45" Publicity Project for Islands District was held in Fu Tung Plaza in Tung Chung on 27 October 2018. The evils of corruption were publicised that day through virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) games modelled on some significant corruption cases. Promotional leaflets and materials were distributed to the public after the ceremony. He thanked Ms Josephine TSANG, Mr Holden CHOW, Ms YIP Sheung-ching and Assistant District Officer (Islands) for attending the event.
- (b) For district activities, 4 organisations including a housing estate participated in the "All for Integrity 45" Community Involvement Programme and Integrity Building Management Publicity Activities to promote integrity messages and distribute publicity materials. He also thanked the 7 Estate Management Advisory Committees in the Islands District for participating in the Integrity Activities for Public Housing by helping distribute promotional leaflets and materials to the residents.
 - (c) The ICAC Open Day was held on 23 and 24 February as well as 2 and 3 March this year. Apart from opening to the public the Exhibition Hall and facilities of ICAC, the ICAC officers also explained the work of the Commission and anti-corruption legislation to enhance public awareness. A district organisation arranged teenagers of the Islands District to participate in the Community Group Visit of the Open Day on 2 March.
- (d) Regarding "All for Integrity" school activities, worksheets with AR elements were distributed via the schools to instil in children positive values through interaction with their parents. Eight primary schools and 7 kindergartens in the Islands District took part in the activities. As for integrity promotion in the youth sector, the department organised "iTeen Leadership Programme", campus activities on integrity promotion, interactive drama performances and visits to the ICAC Building. Five secondary schools in the Islands District enrolled in the activities. As coordination took time, some activities would be held after April and the Commission would continue to follow up on

the progress. Around 12 000 people had participated in the above activities to date.

- 59. <u>Mr Holden CHOW</u> complimented the Commission for organising the promotional event in Fu Tung Plaza. Some residents reflected to him that it was effective to spread integrity messages through VR games and he shared the same view after first-hand experience. He encouraged ICAC to keep up the efforts.
- 60. <u>The Chairman</u> said that the content of ICAC's civil education programmes was commendable.

(ii) The 7th Hong Kong Games

- 61. <u>The Chairman</u> welcomed Ms Annie WONG, Assistant District Leisure Manager (District Support) Islands of LCSD to the meeting for a briefing.
- 62. <u>Ms Annie WONG</u> reported the following:
 - (a) The "18 Districts' Pledging cum Cheering Team Competition" was held in Queen Elizabeth Stadium on 24 February this year and the results of the competition were announced that night. The Islands District cheering team came second for the "Best Performance Award" and got third place for the "Best Local Characteristics Award".
 - (b) The "Islands District Flag Presentation cum Pledging Ceremony" was successfully held in Reflective Pond Plaza in Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park on 2 March this year. She thanked members of Islands District Hong Kong Games Working Group and Islands District Sports Association for their participation.

XI. <u>Date of Next Meeting</u>

63. The meeting was adjourned at 3:00 p.m. The next meeting would be held at 2:00 p.m. on 6 May 2019 (Monday).

-END-