

**(Translation)**

**Islands District Council**  
**Minutes of Meeting of District Facilities Management Committee**

Date : 14 November 2022 (Monday)  
Time : 2:00 p.m.  
Venue : Islands District Council Conference Room,  
14/F, Harbour Building, 38 Pier Road, Central, Hong Kong

**Present**

Mr WONG Hon-kuen, Ken (Chairman)  
Mr HO Chun-fai (Vice-Chairman)  
Mr YU Hon-kwan, Randy, MH, JP  
Mr WONG Man-hon, MH  
Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, SBS, MH  
Mr YUNG Chi-ming, BBS, MH  
Mr CHAN Lin-wai, MH (Left at around 3:10 p.m.)  
Ms WONG Chau-ping (Arrived at around 2:05 p.m.)  
Mr KWOK Ping, Eric  
Mr FONG Lung-fei  
Ms LAU Shun-ting (Left at around 2:30 p.m.)

**Attendance by Invitation**

Mr LEUNG Ka-kay, Gary	Senior Engineer/Special Duty 3, Drainage Services Department
Ms LAW Wing-yin, Wing	Engineer/Special Duty 8, Drainage Services Department
Mr LEUNG Ting-pong	Senior Resident Engineer, AECOM Asia Company Limited
Mr Francis KO	General Manager - Network Development and Management, Village Telephone Limited
Ms LEUNG So-ping, Selina	Senior Executive Officer (Planning)21, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Mr TSANG Wai-man	Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Islands), Lands Department
Mr LEE Sun-fu, Joe	Senior Executive Officer (District Management), Islands District Office
Ms WONG Yik-ting, Sarina	District Secretary, Islands District Office
Mr CHAN Chak-chung	Senior Inspector of Works, Islands District Office
Ms WONG Hoi-ming, Helen	Architect (Works)4, Home Affairs Department
Mr Ken TONG	Senior Architect, Ho & Partners Architects Engineers & Development Consultants Limited

**In Attendance**

Ms TSE Yik-ting, Ellie  
Ms LIM Ting-ting, Sylvia

Ms SIU Kit-ping, Currie

Ms CHU Po-yee, Polly

Assistant District Officer (Islands)2, Islands District Office  
Chief Leisure Manager (New Territories West),  
Leisure and Cultural Services Department  
District Leisure Manager (Islands),  
Leisure and Cultural Services Department  
Senior Librarian (Islands),  
Leisure and Cultural Services Department

**Secretary**

Ms KWONG Tsz-wing, Wing

Executive Officer (District Council)2, Islands District Office

**Absent with Apology**

Mr HO Siu-kei

~~~~~

**Welcoming Remarks**

The Chairman welcomed representatives of government departments and Members to the meeting.

I. **Confirmation of minutes of the meeting held on 19 September 2022**

2. The Chairman said that the captioned minutes had incorporated the amendments proposed by the government departments and Members and had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.

3. The captioned minutes were confirmed unanimously without further amendments proposed by Members.

(Members who voted in favour included: the Chairman Mr Ken WONG, the Vice-Chairman Mr HO Chun-fai, Mr Randy YU, Mr WONG Man-hon, Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, Mr YUNG Chi-ming, Mr CHAN Lin-wai, Mr Eric KWOK, Mr FONG Lung-fei and Ms LAU Shun-ting.)

(Ms WONG Chau-ping arrived the meeting at around 2:05 p.m.)

II. Proposed Temporary Use of Hung Shing Yeh Beach for Delivery of Construction Plants and Materials  
(Paper DFMC 37/2022)

4. The Chairman welcomed Mr Gary LEUNG, Senior Engineer/Special Duty 3 and Ms Wing LAW, Engineer/Special Duty 8 of the Drainage Services Department (DSD); and Mr LEUNG Ting-pong, Senior Resident Engineer of AECOM Asia Company Limited to the meeting to present the paper.

5. Mr Gary LEUNG briefly presented the paper.

6. Mr LEUNG Ting-pong briefly presented the construction schedule and details with the aid of PowerPoint presentation.

7. Mr CHAN Lin-wai said that Public Works Project No. 355DS “Outlying Islands sewerage stage 2 - Lamma village sewerage phase 2, package 2” (the project) had commenced in 2021. As the residents were getting harassed by the lengthy construction period, he hoped the project could be completed as soon as possible. He also said that the DSD together with the relevant departments and consultants had consulted the Lamma Island Rural Committee and nearby villagers on the location of the pumping station and the use of the Hung Shing Yeh Beach for delivery of construction plants and materials. Safety measures were discussed and consideration was given to carrying out the work during the winter months when users of the beach were low so as to minimise the impact on the residents. He said that the residents were supportive of the proposed temporary use of part of the Hung Shing Yeh Beach for delivery of construction plants and materials (the proposal).

8. Mr Eric Kwok said that item 7 of the Paper stated that safety precautionary measures would be implemented when delivery was in operation. He asked whether safety precautions against industrial accidents (e.g., heavy machinery operational problems) and oil spillage would also be formulated.

9. Mr Gary LEUNG said that the construction procedures and safety issues of the whole project had been taken into account during the design stage. He pointed out that all construction vehicles would be checked in advance to ensure that there would be no oil leakage. Besides, each operation session would last for a stretch of five consecutive days. The DSD was striving to complete the work on the fourth day, reserving one day for contingencies or emergencies. Should there be an incident involving oil spillage, emergency clean-up and remedial work would be arranged. Moreover, upon completion of the work, the DSD would conduct water quality tests in conjunction with the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) to ensure that the water quality of the reopened beach would meet the standards set by the Environmental Protection Department.

10. Mr CHOW Yuk-tong said that the residents supported the proposal mentioned above. He said that as the Hung Shing Yeh Beach was quite busy, the DSD should step up monitoring of the contractor’s work during the construction

period and maintain good communication with them on the safe delivery of construction plants and materials so as to minimise any possible errors.

11. Mr LEUNG Ting-pong added that for the purpose of lifting safety, the consultant had already designed to dismantle the parts to be lifted as far as possible to reduce the weight of the load, thereby increasing the safety factor and enabling the processes to be carried out safely.

12. Ms LAU Shun-ting said that the DSD, together with representatives of the consultant, had held meetings with the Lamma Island Rural Committee, District Representatives and the Village Head before the project was carried out. She said that the stakeholders should have understood the content of the project. Apart from the safety aspect, the villagers were also concerned about the impact of the project on the water quality of the Hung Shing Yeh Beach. As the water quality of the Hung Shing Yeh Beach was currently rated as Grade A, she hoped that the DSD would maintain close liaison with the LCSD to ensure that the water quality would not be affected. She also agreed with Mr CHOW Yuk-tong that more attention should be paid to road safety during the delivery of materials.

13. The Chairman asked the DSD to take note of Members' comments.

III. Question on the maintenance of manhole covers at 72-74 Mui Wo Rural Committee Road, Lantau Island  
(Paper DFMC 35/2022)

14. The Chairman welcomed Mr Francis KO, General Manager – Network Development and Management of the Village Telephone Limited (VTL) to the meeting to respond to the question. Written replies from the Highways Department (HyD) and the VTL had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.

15. Mr WONG Man-hon briefly presented the question.

16. Mr Francis KO elaborated on the written reply of the VTL.

17. Mr Francis KO added that as there was a recent change to the organisational structure of the VTL and a new receiver was appointed, the Lantau Closed Road Permit (the Permit) issued to the VTL earlier could no longer be used and a fresh application to the Transport Department (TD) was necessary. They would explain to TD the issue mentioned in the question and hoped to obtain the Permit as soon as possible in order to have the manhole cover concerned fixed.

18. Mr WONG Man-hon was dissatisfied with the HyD's written reply and regarded the HyD as irresponsible. He said that as the road section in question was a major access road for heavy vehicles, including fire engines, it was the duty of the HyD to monitor the situation and provide clear guidelines to remind road users and contractors to pay attention to the location of the manhole cover. He criticised that the problem was a design defect as manholes were often located at places where the

main traffic flowed. He also said that the HyD should follow up upon acceptance of the works and keep an eye on subsequent use, instead of just shifting the responsibility to a private company. He requested the HyD to review the above problem and suggested that the HyD, with priority needs of the locals in mind, should first complete the repair work under its purview and then charge back the company concerned later.

19. Mr Randy YU was discontented that the HyD had not sent any representatives to attend the meeting and considered the HyD's written reply perfunctory. He pointed out that the HyD had stated in its written reply that the uneven road surface was temporarily fenced off for the sake of public safety. However, as mentioned in the question, the road section in question was the main access route to and from three villages and was used by many pedestrians and various types of vehicles. Apart from the safety of pedestrians and motorists, consideration should also be given to the accessibility needs of the villagers. He also said that the HyD was in charge of road management. Even though the manhole cover was owned by a private company, the HyD should take follow-up actions like carrying out emergency repairs and recovering the costs from the company concerned afterwards, as opposed to just enclosing the affected areas. Given that the HyD was not one of the departments represented on the District Facilities Management Committee, he expressed disappointment that no representative from the HyD attended the meeting. He suggested that the Chairman should ask the Secretariat to write to the HyD requesting them to send representatives to attend the meeting of the Mui Wo Rural Committee to discuss the issue of manhole cover at the road section and explore possible solutions. The HyD could inform the VTL to follow up after a solution had been worked out.

20. Mr WONG Man-hon said that many works projects were often held back due to the long application time for the Permits. He hoped that the TD could streamline its procedures for situations such as maintenance works and speed up the approval process.

(Post-meeting note: The VTL advised that a contractor with a valid Permit had been appointed after the meeting to install a thicker concrete manhole cover at Mui Wo Rural Committee Road on 5 December 2022, which had been accepted by the HyD as an interim improvement measure upon completion. Moreover, the TD had contacted the VTL regarding the company's application for a Permit, saying that the application would be promptly approved as soon as the necessary documents were received).

21. The Chairman said that many public facilities in the rural areas had not undergone acceptance tests by government departments upon completion, thus causing many problems. Even though the HyD had fenced off the areas concerned now, removal of the fences was obligatory when emergency vehicles needed to get through, which was not appropriate. He also agreed that the TD was taking a long time to examine the application documents. He requested the Secretariat to write to the HyD and the TD after the meeting, requesting them to send their representatives to

attend the meeting of the Mui Wo Rural Committee to study Mr WONG Man-hon's suggestion and provide solutions to the issue mentioned in the question.

(Post-meeting note: The Secretariat had written to both the HyD and the TD on 7 December 2022 regarding the above issue. The TD had already contacted Mr WONG Man-hon to discuss the issue).

(Ms LAU Shun-ting left the meeting at around 2:30 p.m.)

IV. Question on the progress of the construction project of the sports centre in Area 107 in Tung Chung  
(Paper DFMC 36/2022)

22. The Chairman welcomed Ms Selina LEUNG, Senior Executive Officer (Planning)<sup>21</sup> of the LCSD and Mr TSANG Wai-man, Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Islands) (DLO, Is) of the Lands Department to the meeting to respond to the question. The consolidated written reply from the LCSD, the Islands District Office (IsDO) and the Social Welfare Department, and the written reply from the TD had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting, while the written reply from the DLO/Is was tabled.

23. Mr Eric KWOK briefly presented the question.

24. Ms Selina LEUNG elaborated on the content of the written reply from the LCSD.

25. Ms Selina LEUNG supplemented as follows:

- (a) The Culture, Sports and Tourism Bureau would brief the Legislative Council Panel on Home Affairs, Culture and Sport later today in connection with the 2022 Policy Address. According to the relevant LegCo paper, the joint-user complex in Area 107, Tung Chung, was one of the projects to be launched under Phase I of the 10-year development blueprint for sports and recreation facilities.
- (b) The Architectural Services Department (ArchSD) had conducted a technical feasibility study for the project and confirmed its viability. As the project covered certain major facilities, the ArchSD was now conducting further assessment on the overall layout and planning of the project, including the surrounding environment and traffic. The LCSD and the ArchSD would further consult the Islands District Council on the project in due course. Upon confirmation of the overall layout and completion of the relevant preparatory work, the departments concerned would prepare the tender documents and seek funding approval from the Legislative Council.

26. Mr TSANG Wai-man said that regarding the use of government land for

temporary car parks under short term tenancies, the DLO, Is could only give advice after the TD had provided preliminary information. The DLO, Is would continue to maintain close liaison with the TD on the issue.

27. Mr Eric KWOK made the following comments:

- (a) He was pleased to note that the joint-user complex in Area 107, Tung Chung had been included in the “10-year development blueprint for sports and recreation facilities” and requested the LCSD to provide the relevant paper for Members’ reference. He also hoped that LCSD would inform Members of the preliminary work schedule of the project once it was available, so that Members would be kept informed of its latest progress, in order to avoid the situation like ancillary facilities remained inadequate even after the residents had moved in at Yat Tung Estate happened in Tung Chung West again . He pointed out that the current population of Tung Chung West (including Yat Tung Estate, Mun Tung Estate, Yu Tai Court and villages in the vicinity) had reached 65 000. According to a HD paper, 25 000 residents would move into Area 23, Area 42 and Area 46 in the coming three to four years, and the population of the area would exceed 100 000 by then. He said that the joint-user complex in Area 107, Tung Chung would provide a number of facilities, including a sports centre, a small library, a community hall, social welfare facilities and a public car park, for the convenience of local residents. Amongst all, social welfare facilities such as the small library, day centre for the elderly and child care centre should be completed before resident intake in order to provide support for dual-income families and other needy residents and avoid causing community problems. He hoped that the project would be completed as soon as possible and wished that the IsDO would provide support to speed up its progress.
- (b) He was dissatisfied with TD’s written reply as it had not responded to his question about provision of additional parking spaces. He pointed out that although the TD had stated in its written reply that parking spaces were still available at the car park of Yat Tung Estate, the Estate was far away from Mun Tung Estate and it was inconvenient for residents of Mun Tung Estate to use the car park of Yat Tung Estate. He further said that the TD should provide a sufficient number of parking spaces, rather than advising residents to park their vehicles elsewhere when parking spaces were in acute shortage.

28. Ms Selina LEUNG said that the relevant paper had been uploaded onto the Legislative Council website and she would later forward the link to the website to Members through the IsDO. The 10-year development blueprint for sports and recreation facilities would be implemented in two phases, with Phase I running from 2022 to 2027 and Phase II from 2027 to 2032. The joint-user complex in Area 107, Tung Chung was scheduled under Phase I and construction works would commence once funding from the Legislative Council was approved.

29. Ms Ellie TSE said that the IsDO would forward the website link to Members upon receipt. Regarding the community hall, the IsDO would review the date of opening after the ArchSD completed the overall layout of the project.

(Post-meeting note: The IsDO had forwarded the website link to Members on 16 November 2022).

30. Ms WONG Chau-ping pointed out that Area 107 was just a street away from the rural area in Tung Chung. Therefore, inhabitants of Tung Chung rural villages (including Ha Ling Pei Village, Sheung Ling Pei Village, Wong Ka Wai Village and Lung Tseng Tau Village, etc.) attached great importance to the development of Area 107. She said the residents were supportive of community development, but the departments concerned should fully consult the village representatives and the local community before planning, designing and implementing the project, so that the stakeholders could give their views on the project. She said that the village representatives concerned had also provided their views during the preliminary ground investigation works earlier. She further said that Area 107 was located next to Tung Chung Road. As Tung Chung Road was already saturated with traffic, she advised the departments not to use Tung Chung Road as the entrance/exit of the project site to avoid aggravating the traffic load.

31. The Chairman asked the LCSD to take note of Ms WONG Chau-ping's views.

32. Mr Randy YU pointed out that the Buildings Department often ignored the local topography and needs when carrying out design work. In the last two years, the car park building at Mun Tung Estate designed by the HD was found to be incompatible with the actual environment, and similar case was also found in the design of the entrance/exit of Yu Tai Court. The problem was not identified until construction was about to start, resulting in a waste of resources. In order to avoid the recurrence of the above situation, he said that the Rural Committee and the residents of Mun Tung Estate and Yat Tung Estate should be fully consulted for their views when the design of this project was completed.

V. Reports on the Services of the Public Libraries in Islands District by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department between August 2022 and September 2022  
(Paper DFMC 31/2022)

33. The Chairman welcomed Ms Polly CHU, Senior Librarian (Islands) of the LCSD to the meeting to present the paper.

34. Ms Polly CHU briefly presented the paper.

35. Members noted the content of the paper.



VI. Report on the management of Leisure and Cultural Services Department's recreational and sports facilities in Islands District (August to September 2022)  
(Paper DFMC 32/2022)

36. The Chairman welcomed Ms Currie SIU, District Leisure Manager (Islands), LCSD to the meeting to present the paper.

37. Ms Currie SIU briefly presented the paper.

38. Members noted the content of the paper.

VII. Utilisation and improvement works of Community Halls in Islands District  
(Paper DFMC 33/2022)

39. The Chairman welcomed Mr Joe LEE, Senior Executive Officer (District Management) and Ms Sarina WONG, District Secretary of the IsDO to the meeting to present the paper.

40. Mr Joe LEE briefly presented the paper.

41. Mr Eric KWOK was pleased to note that the overall improvement works for the audio-visual system of the Discovery Bay Community Hall were scheduled to be completed by this financial year. He learnt that the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department (EMSD) had completed the quotation invitation exercise for the overall improvement of the audio-visual system at Tung Chung Community Hall in late October, he enquired about the expected completion date of the project.

42. Mr Joe LEE said that the IsDO had enquired with the EMSD about the work schedule of the project. According to EMSD's reply, the works were expected to be completed in the current financial year.

VIII. Progress report on DC-funded District Minor Works Projects  
(Paper DFMC 34/2022)

43. The Chairman Ms Ellie TSE, Assistant District Officer (Islands)<sup>2</sup> and Mr CHAN Chak-chung, Senior Inspector of Works of the IsDO; Ms Selina LEUNG, Senior Executive Officer (Planning)<sup>21</sup> of the LCSD; Ms Helen WONG, Architect (Works)<sup>4</sup> of the Home Affairs Department (HAD); and Mr Ken TONG, Senior Architect, Ho & Partners Architects Engineers & Development Consultants Limited to the meeting to present the paper.

44. Ms Ellie TSE briefly presented the paper and invited Members to note its content.

45. Members discussed the various projects:

- (i) Conversion of the cycle parking area adjoining Tung Chung Road Soccer Pitch into children's playground and fitness corner (IS-DMW370)

46. Mr Eric KWOK said he had mentioned at the last meeting that the size of the site was not big enough to accommodate both play equipment and fitness facilities for children. As a fitness room would be provided in the future indoor sports centre in Area 107 next to Mun Tung Estate, he suggested that the fitness corner be removed from the project. However, according to the Paper, the fitness corner was still included in the project. He also said that the consultant commissioned by the HAD had earlier pointed out that there were underground cables of China Light and Power (CLP) at the site. He had discussed with CLP staff and was informed that the cables would not be affected if deep excavation was not involved in the works for play equipment. However, the consultant regarded that relocation of the cables was necessary. He stressed the need for the consultant to communicate with the CLP and draw up a work schedule. He said that discussion of this issue had continued for almost five years since the last term of the Council and he hoped that a conclusion could be reached in the current session. He said the departments should take into account Members' views and deal with livelihood issues in a practical manner.

(Post-meeting note: The project "Conversion of the cycle parking area adjoining Tung Chung Road Soccer Pitch into children's playground and fitness corner" (IS-DMW370) was approved by the District Facilities Management Committee of the Islands District Council on 10 May 2021).

47. Ms Selina LEUNG thanked Mr Eric KWOK for his suggestion and said that fitness facilities might not be provided under the project due to site constraints. The Works Section of the HAD was currently conducting a feasibility study on the project. Once the project was confirmed to be feasible, the proposed facilities would be reviewed altogether and the project title would be updated as appropriate.

48. Ms Helen WONG said that the feasibility study for the above project was in its final stage and the HAD was compiling the report. The latest study indicated that conversion works were feasible. The consultant would respond to the issue of CLP cables in detail.

49. Mr Ken TONG said that the consultant had communicated with the CLP on the issue of cables but the latter had replied that cable diversion would take about one and a half years.

50. Ms WONG Chau-ping said that the project site was close to rural villages in Tung Chung and the departments concerned should consult the local community before proceeding with any design. She had also mentioned at the last meeting that the area would remain open before the commencement of the works and the protruding tree roots were posing a threat to public safety, so she suggested that the area be partially fenced off. She asked how the LCSD would deal with the protruding roots.

51. Mr Eric KWOK asked when the works for the play equipment would commence if cable diversion would take one and a half years. He said that he had requested a library in Tung Chung West as early as 2000, but a temporary library was not set up until ten years later at the Yat Tung Shopping Centre. The kids back then had already turned into grown-ups. The project in question was very important to the children in the district and he did not want to see livelihood facilities taking over ten years to complete.

52. The Chairman asked the LCSD to respond to ways of following up on the tree roots and asked if cable diversion works could go ahead before the design was completed in order to save time.

53. Ms Selina LEUNG said that at present, the cycle parking area was under the purview of the TD and the HyD and managed by the latter. After the issue of protruding tree roots was raised at the last meeting, the LCSD immediately referred the matter to the relevant departments and sections for follow-up action. It was understood that the IsDO had also referred the matter to the HyD. She asked the HAD and the consultant to respond to the issue of cables.

54. Ms Helen WONG said that after the feasibility study report was finalised, HAD would communicate with the CLP for cable diversion at the earliest convenience.

55. Mr Ken TONG said that as the cables were high-voltage cables, the CLP needed a longer time to prepare. Moreover, given that the cost of cable diversion was high, the CLP had to confirm the viability of the project before kicking off the works. The feasibility study was completed and submitted to the HAD. Subject to the HAD's approval, the consultant would request the CLP to proceed with cable diversion works. Hence, the design and diversion works would be carried out concurrently.

56. Ms WONG Chau-ping said that apart from work progress, safety issues should also be taken into account. She learnt that the cable diversion work would take a year and a half, and it was projected that the work on play equipment would still take some time to commence. Meanwhile, public safety was the imminent concern. She added that the illumination at the site was inadequate, making it difficult for the public to notice the protruding roots after nightfall. The tree roots were also affecting users of the cycle parking area. She said that there was no time to lose in tackling the problems and asked which department should be held responsible as the LCSD had said that the site was not under its purview.

57. Mr Eric KWOK said that the consultant should provide the CLP with the work schedule after completion of the feasibility study, such as the commencement date of the project. He would like to know the schedule to ensure that the project could be implemented.

58. Mr FONG Lung-fei said that road was at the back of the site and suggested that the proposed children's playground be fenced off to avoid children running onto

the street while playing. He added that apart from the park, protruding tree roots were also found at nearby footpaths and asked if the departments would follow up as well. Given the small size of the area, its design should take safety into consideration and he would like to scrutinise the design plans. He also noted that the estimated cost of the project had increased to \$13 million from last year's figure of \$12 million and he hoped that the project could commence as soon as possible.

59. Ms Helen WONG said that the HAD would discuss with the CLP as soon as the feasibility report was completed. It was hoped that a confirmed date for the commencement of the project could be provided to Members.

60. Mr Ken TONG said that the consultant would consider the proposal of additional fencing at the detailed design stage. The design drawings would be submitted for Members' reference in due course.

61. The Chairman asked the IsDO to follow up on the issue of protruding tree roots with the HyD after the meeting and revert to Ms WONG Chau-ping.

62. Ms Ellie TSE said that IsDO would follow up on the issue of protruding tree roots with the HyD after the meeting.

(Post-meeting note: The IsDO had reported to Ms WONG Chau-ping on the status of the departments concerned following up on the issue, a site visit with the HyD and the LCSd to examine the tree roots problem was arranged for Members on 29 December 2022).

(ii) Provision of a sitting-out area at Sai Tai Road, Cheung Chau (IS-DMW313)

63. Mr YUNG Chi-ming said that the project would commence at the end of the year and he would like to know the contents and plans of the facilities of the project.

64. Ms Selina LEUNG said that the tendering process for the project had been completed and arrangements for the contractor to sign the contract would be made later. The contractor and the Works Section of the HAD would also conduct site inspections and the construction works were expected to commence before the end of the year. Regarding the plans, the Works Section of the HAD would follow up with Mr YUNG Chi-ming later.

65. Ms Helen WONG said that the HAD had already signed the contract with the contractor and would conduct site inspection and examine the plans.

66. Mr Ken TONG said that according to the current design, the project would include two play equipment for children, an arbour, covered seating and a grass area. The HAD could provide the design plans for Members' reference later.

(Post-meeting note: The HAD and the LCSd had conducted a site visit with Mr YUNG Chi-ming on 25 November 2022 to explain the project content and provide reference for the plans).

(iii) Construction of Cheung Chau Sai Wan Forecourt (IS-DMW352)

67. Mr YUNG Chi-ming said that he would like to get a copy of the design plans of the project as there were alterations subsequent to the visit.

(Post-meeting note: The IsDO had sent the design plans to Mr YUNG Chi-ming.)

68. Ms Helen WONG thanked Mr YUNG Chi-ming for his comments earlier and the HAD was conducting feasibility study of the comments. She said that she would discuss different proposals with Mr YUNG Chi-ming in depth in November.

(iv) Installation of floodlight illumination system at Yim Tin Playground (IS-DMW310)

69. Mr Randy YU said that after the project completed, the lighting angles of the system were found to be unsatisfactory, affecting football players and causing light pollution to the neighbourhood. He commended the LCSD for their prompt follow up action. The contractor and their team had duly adjusted the lighting to improve the situation. Referring to the remarks that the project would be deleted in the next progress report, he said that the project still had a payable amount of about \$2 million and follow up might still be needed. Therefore, he suggested to keep the project in the report until all the costs were settled so that the Committee could monitor the project expenditure. He said that apart from this item, the same applied to six other projects. As the current term of the Council still had one year to go, it was the responsibility of Members to keep monitoring and advising on the progress of the projects. Therefore, he suggested that the report should keep those projects with payable amounts.

70. The Chairman advised that he would discuss with the IsDO after the meeting on whether to keep the above projects on record.

(Post-meeting note: After consulting the Chairman, the HAD, the LCSD and the IsDO would keep the completed projects with payable amounts in the progress report).

(Mr CHAN Lin-wai left the meeting at around 3:10 p.m.)

IX. Any Other Business

71. No further issues were raised by Members.

X. Date of Next Meeting

72. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:22 p.m. The next meeting would be held at 2:00 p.m. on 10 January 2023 (Tuesday).

-END-