

(Translation)

Islands District Council
Minutes of Meeting of
Tourism, Agriculture, Fisheries, Environmental Hygiene
and Climate Change Committee

Date : 26 September 2022 (Monday)
Time : 2:00 p.m.
Venue : Islands District Council Conference Room,
14/F, Harbour Building, 38 Pier Road, Central, Hong Kong

Present

Mr HO Siu-kei (Chairman)
Mr CHAN Lin-wai, MH (Vice-Chairman)
Mr YU Hon-kwan, Randy, MH, JP
Mr WONG Man-hon, MH
Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, SBS, MH
Mr WONG Hon-kuen, Ken (Left at around 3:10 p.m.)
Mr HO Chun-fai
Mr KWOK Ping, Eric
Mr FONG Lung-fei
Ms LAU Shun-ting

Attendance by Invitation

Ms LAU Hoi-shan, Nelly	Deputy District Leisure Manager (Islands)2, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms CHUNG Dip-fun, Sarah	Property Service Manager/Service (Hong Kong Island & Islands)5, Housing Department
Mr LI Leong-ho	Senior Land Executive/Lantau (District Lands Office, Islands), Lands Department

In Attendance

Mr LI Ho, Thomas	Assistant District Officer (Islands)1, Islands District Office
Ms WONG Ka-ming, Grace	Assistant District Officer (Islands)2, Islands District Office
Mr CHAN Chak-chung	Senior Inspector of Works, Islands District Office
Mr HUI Kar-nung	District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Islands), Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Mr TSANG Wai-man	Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Islands), Lands Department
Mr PEI Nien-jen, Gordon	Senior Engineer/6 (Lantau), Civil Engineering and Development Department

Mr YAU Pak-lun, Esmond	Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional South)5, Environmental Protection Department
Ms FUNG Sin-yee, Mini	Senior Transport Officer/Islands2, Transport Department
Ms LO Lai-ping, Rebecca	Senior Field Officer (Agricultural Extension)1 (Acting), Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department
Ms LI Ka-chai, Denise	Police Community Relations Officer (Lantau District), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr HO Lee-yip	Manager District Relation, New Lantau Bus Company (1973) Limited
Ms Anthea CHAU	Senior Communications Manager, Sun Ferry Services Company Limited

Secretary

Mr YEUNG Chiu-cheong, Mark	Executive Officer (District Council)1, Islands District Office
----------------------------	--

Absent with Apology

Mr YUNG Chi-ming, BBS, MH
Ms WONG Chau-ping

~~~~~

**Welcoming remarks**

The Chairman welcomed representatives of the government departments and organisations as well as Members to the meeting and introduced the following representatives of departments and organisations who attended the meeting:

- (a) Mr YEUNG Chiu-cheong, Mark, Executive Officer (District Council)1 of the Islands District Office (IsDO), who stood in for Ms NG Ching-sum, Rain as the Secretary of the Committee;
- (b) Ms LI Ka-chai, Denise, Police Community Relations Officer (Lantau District) of the Hong Kong Police Force, who succeeded Mr LO Tim-fat, Frankie; and
- (c) Ms Anthea CHAU of the Sun Ferry Services Company Limited, who succeeded Ms YUEN Suk-ling, Sylvia.

2. Members noted that Mr YUNG Chi-ming and Ms WONG Chau-ping were unable to attend the meeting due to other commitments.

I. Confirmation of minutes of the meeting held on 25 July 2022

3. The Chairman said that the captioned minutes had incorporated the amendments proposed by the government departments and had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.

4. The captioned minutes were endorsed unanimously without further amendments proposed by Members.

(Members who voted in favour included: the Chairman Mr HO Siu-kei, the Vice-Chairman Mr CHAN Lin-wai, Mr Randy YU, Mr WONG Man-hon, Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, Mr Ken WONG, Mr HO Chun-fai, Mr Eric KWOK, Mr FONG Lung-fei and Ms LAU Shun-ting.)

II. Question on the disposal of construction waste  
(Paper TAFEHCCC 33/2022)

5. The Chairman welcomed Mr YAU Pak-lun, Esmond, Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional South)<sup>5</sup> of the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) to the meeting to respond to the question. The written reply of the EPD had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.

6. Mr Randy YU briefly presented the question.

7. Mr Esmond YAU expounded on the EPD's written reply.

8. Mr Randy YU expressed his views as follows:

(a) He expressed his understanding of the EPD's difficulty in creating a post of dedicated officer, but he hoped that EPD would step up inspections and law enforcement to enhance the deterrent effect so that residents would handle construction waste in proper ways, thereby reducing haphazard dumping of waste. He said that the Committee would monitor the effectiveness of the work and cooperate with EPD when needed.

(b) He learned that EPD managed inert construction waste mainly in three ways, namely (i) disposal at landfills; (ii) disposal at public filling areas for reclamation; and (iii) delivery to the Mainland for disposal or reclamation. He enquired of EPD about the priority given and the proportions of the above ways.

9. Mr Esmond YAU said that EPD would continue to conduct inspections and law enforcement actively. In addition, regarding the enquiry about the ways of

managing the inert construction waste, he said that he would provide Members with the relevant information after the meeting.

(Post-meeting note: The Secretariat had passed the information the EPD provided about the management of inert construction waste to Members for reference on 17 October this year.)

10. The Vice-Chairman Mr CHAN Lin-wai said that EPD did not arrange a designated location for the residents of Lamma Island to dispose of construction waste, nor did it set clear guidelines on the ways of disposal. As the existing three landfills were all far away from Lamma Island, without convenient modes of transport, the residents had no choice but to dump the unpolluted construction waste on their own private land, and yet they were still prosecuted by EPD. They did not know how they should handle the construction waste.

11. Mr Ken WONG said that it was very common for members of the public to dispose of construction waste near refuse collection points. However, the procedures of waste clearance involved a number of departments, including the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD), the Lands Department (LandsD), the Highways Department and the EPD. Coupled with the unclear division of labour among the departments, the waste clearance process took prolonged time. Moreover, residents worried that the situation of waste accumulation at refuse collection points would not improve even after the implementation of municipal solid waste charging. He hoped that the responsible departments could streamline the process so that waste could be cleared as soon as possible.

12. Mr Eric KWOK said that according to the experience of the FEHD, the installation of closed-circuit televisions (CCTVs) outside recycling stations could reduce illegal disposal of construction waste effectively. He suggested that EPD consider the approach.

13. Mr Esmond YAU gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) A person who deposited any waste on government or private land without the permission of the land owner or lawful occupier contravened the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354). The person committed an offence unless he obtained prior written permission from all of the owners of the lot together with the EPD's acknowledgement on the notice given regarding the depositing activity.
- (b) At present, the transfer facilities in Yung Shue Wan or Sok Kwu Wan could receive construction waste.
- (c) The EPD would continue to review the waste clearance process and enhance collaboration with other responsible departments with a view to streamlining the process. The EPD would also alert the

departments concerned as appropriate so that waste could be cleared as soon as possible.

- (d) Regarding the suggestion about the installation of CCTVs, he said that the departments concerned would continue to monitor the situation of the waste disposal black spots closely and consider installing CCTVs as necessary to tackle illegal disposal of construction waste.

14. Ms LAU Shun-ting said that even though residents disposed of construction waste on their own private land, they often received complaints from tourists or were even prosecuted by EPD. The residents had to explain to EPD repeatedly in order to not be prosecuted. She hoped that EPD would explain to members of the public through different channels to avoid the frequent complaints causing distress to the residents.

15. The Chairman opined that education and publicity would help tackle the illegal disposal of construction waste. In addition, due to the remote geographical location and the mostly rural nature of Islands District, it was difficult for the residents to transport construction waste to landfills frequently. He said that the minor works underway in Tai O did not generate much waste. If EPD could use vacant government land as buffer areas for the temporary deposit of construction waste and transport the waste to landfills after a certain amount has been accumulated, that would help reduce the illegal disposal of construction waste. He hoped that EPD could respond to the above suggestion.

16. Mr Esmond YAU said that the EPD would investigate every complaint. If EPD found that the complainees did not contravene EPD's ordinances, it would explain the legislative requirements to the complainant.

17. Mr TSANG Wai-man responded to the Chairman's suggestion about disposal of construction waste on government land, saying that if any individual or government department intended to use vacant government land for temporary deposit of construction waste, they could submit an application to the District Lands Office, Islands (DLO, Is). The DLO, Is would consult the departments concerned and give a reply after consolidating their views.

18. Mr Randy YU understood that disposal of construction waste was the contractor's responsibility. However, it was difficult for the contractor to transport the construction waste to the landfill every time due to the remote geographical location of Islands District. He suggested that the Islands District Management Committee explore further the feasibility of depositing construction waste temporarily on government land and the solution to illegal disposal of construction waste.

19. Mr HO Chun-fai added that the residents of South Lantau had become aware of the importance of environmental protection in recent years, and they would not dispose of construction waste improperly. However, the villagers were

complained for “temporary deposit” of construction waste on private land from time to time. He suggested that the EPD, upon receipt of a complaint, should look into the ownership of the land concerned before considering instigating a prosecution, so as to avoid causing unnecessary nuisance to private land owners.

20. Mr Esmond YAU responded that EPD would handle the complaints in an impartial manner and explain the legislative requirements in detail to the complainants.

21. The Chairman said that after the media reported on the disposal of construction waste in Tai O, the EPD, the departments concerned and Members actively urged the residents to comply with the law and expressed their concern about the disposal of construction waste, so as to improve the situation. He said that the residents recognised the importance of environmental protection and Members would continue to communicate with EPD to improve the situation of waste disposal.

III. Question on the planting of Axonopus Compressus in the green area at Chung Yan Road outside Yat Tung Estate  
(Paper TAFEHCCC 34/2022)

22. The Chairman welcomed Ms LAU Hoi-shan, Nelly, Deputy District Leisure Manager (Islands)<sup>2</sup> of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) to the meeting to respond to the question. The written reply of the LCSD had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.

23. Mr FONG Lung-fei briefly presented the question.

24. Ms Nelly LAU expounded on the LCSD’s written reply.

25. Mr FONG Lung-fei said that the left bend on Chung Yan Road leading to Yat Tung Street was overgrown with weeds and the leaves of the Chinese fan-palms were drooping, seriously blocking the line of sight of motorists and road users. It would cause traffic accidents easily and pose danger to members of the public. He requested the responsible department to clear the weeds and cut the drooping leaves regularly. He also suggested that the LCSD plant *Axonopus compressus* along the road section to improve the above situation, as *Axonopus compressus* had a slower growth rate and was easier to take care of.

26. Mr Eric KWOK expressed his views as follows:

- (a) He said that the LandsD had been weak in monitoring the contractor. It did not take the initiative to request the contractor to carry out grass cutting and horticultural maintenance regularly, causing the roadsides of Chung Yan Road and the area near the bus terminus at Joysmark in Mun Tung Estate to be overgrown with weeds. It was often only

when the LandsD received a complaint that it would arrange for the contractor to carry out horticultural maintenance work. He opined that LandsD should take the initiative to request the contractor to carry out grass cutting every two months during the summer.

- (b) He pointed out that *Rhododendron* planted on the sides of Chung Yan Road in the past were beautiful and easy to take care of. He suggested during the previous term of the Islands District Council (IDC) that the LCSD plant *Rhododendron* there again, but he had received no response from the LCSD. In this connection, he enquired of the LCSD whether it would consider planting *Rhododendron* apart from *Axonopus compressus* when deciding suitable plant species in the future.

27. Ms Nelly LAU responded that LCSD had noted Members' views. The LCSD would consider planting *Rhododendron* with priority when the relevant department reinstate the horticultural maintenance area in the future.

28. Mr TSANG Wai-man said that the green area on Chung Yan Road outside Yat Tung Estate was currently under the management of the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD). The DLO, Is had referred Members' views to the CEDD for follow-up. As for the situation of the Joysmark bus terminus, he would contact the Special Duties Task Force of the LandsD after the meeting to arrange regular grass cutting.

(Post-meeting note: The Special Duties Task Force of the LandsD said that the Government's management of trees and vegetation was spread over a number of departments in accordance with their purview under an integrated approach.

As far as management and maintenance of trees and vegetation on government land was concerned, the land not under the daily management of other government departments would be regarded as unleased and unallocated government land. The LandsD would carry out ad hoc clearance and arrange necessary clearance or maintenance having regard to the actual situation, with consideration given to public health and safety and the priority of resource availability. The LandsD would also arrange grass cutting for other government land which was overgrown with weeds and of public concern as far as resources allowed. After consideration, the Special Duties Task Force of the LandsD had included an unleased and unallocated government land near the Joysmark bus terminus in Mun Tung Estate under its regular grass-cutting exercise. The Special Duties Task Force would conduct review and update the locations and frequency of grass cutting from time to time

having regard to factors such as the growth rate of the weeds and resources.)

29. Mr FONG Lung-fei hoped that the representative of the CEDD in attendance would relay the situation to the relevant sections of the CEDD and ask the contractor to cut the weeds and the drooping leaves in the green area on Chung Yan Road as soon as possible.

30. Mr Gordon PEI said that he would contact the relevant sections after the meeting. If the relevant lot fell within the scope of CEDD's works project, CEDD would urge the contractor to clear the weeds and cut the leaves.

(Post-meeting note: The CEDD would follow up on the cutting of weeds and leaves in the green area on Chung Yan Road. In late October, the CEDD replied that it had cleared the weeds in the green area on Chung Yan Road. On 28 October, it conducted a site inspection with the LCSD and Members to discuss the solution to the problem.)

31. The Chairman said that weeds grew at an alarming rate during the summer. The departments should arrange cutting in a timely manner to avoid affecting the cityscape and posing danger to members of the public. In the long run, the departments should consult the IDC and opt for plants that required low maintenance and were aesthetically pleasing.

#### IV. Question on the tree management on Yu Tung Road (Paper TAFEHCCC 35/2022)

32. The Chairman welcome Ms LAU Hoi-shan, Nelly, Deputy District Leisure Manager (Islands)<sup>2</sup> of the LCSD, Ms CHUNG Dip-fun, Sarah, Property Service Manager/Service (Hong Kong Island & Islands)<sup>5</sup> of the Housing Department (HD), Mr LI Leong-ho, Senior Land Executive/Lantau (District Lands Office, Islands) of the LandsD and Mr PEI Nien-jen, Gordon, Senior Engineer/<sup>6</sup> (Lantau) of the CEDD to the meeting to respond to the question. The written replies of the LCSD, the DLO, Is and the CEDD had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.

33. Mr FONG Lung-fei briefly presented the question.

34. Ms Nelly LAU expounded on the LCSD's reply.

35. Ms Sarah CHUNG said that the Hong Kong Housing Authority (HA) had always attached importance to tree management. It conducted inspections and risk assessments and arranged necessary daily maintenance for trees in its housing estates every year in accordance with the relevant guidelines of the Greening, Landscape and Tree Management Section of the Development Bureau. The HA also arranged for professional tree maintenance service contractors to carry out inspections and suitable



maintenance for all the trees in Yat Tung Estate every year. Regarding the banyan tree that was blown over in Yat Tung Estate when Strong Wind Signal No. 3 was in effect in mid-August 2022, HD immediately arranged the contractor to examine all the banyan trees in the estate and conduct maintenance, including pruning the tree crowns and removing the hanging branches. The contractor had completed the pruning of 21 banyan trees in the estate at the end of August. HD would continue to monitor the growth of the trees in the estate, carry out additional risk assessments for the trees as needed and formulate risk mitigation measures with regard to the condition of individual trees.

36. Mr LI Leong-ho expounded on the DLO, Is's written reply.

37. Mr Gordon PEI expounded on the CEDD's written reply.

38. Mr FONG Lung-fei pointed out that there was a large tree on Yu Tung Road near Mun Tung Estate, of which the trunk had bent towards the road and shown a risk of failure. Another tree grown on the side of the pavement had a pest infestation, and it was feared that the tree would pose danger to pedestrians. Although the HA examined the growth of trees every year, the trees in the estate still had many problems. He pointed out that tilted trees were found at Mun Yat House and Him Yat House. In addition, since the tree pits dug by HD when planting new trees in Yat Tung Estate were not deep enough, the roots of the trees could not grow healthily. Similarly, the roots of the trees next to Yat Tung Estate Car Park No. 3 had extended due to the insufficient depth of the tree pits, causing the slabs to crack and damage of the protective fences. He said that HD should examine the above trees and carry out reinforcement works for the tilted ones immediately to prevent them from collapsing and posing danger to pedestrians. If general maintenance or reinforcement works could not reduce the risk of failure, removal of the trees should be considered.

39. Mr Eric KWOK said that HD should take reinforcement measures for the tilted trees for the sake of the safety of residents. However, HD should avoid surrounding the trunks with concrete when carrying out reinforcement works. Sufficient space should be reserved where the trunks were in contact with the ground to provide oxygen to the trees so that they could grow healthily. He opined that HD should consult the specialists of the Tree Management Office (TMO) when carrying out the relevant works.

40. Mr Ken WONG agreed with some community groups that it took many years for trees to grow, so they should not be cut down indiscriminately. However, he opined that the specialists of the TMO should not follow other people's opinions easily and change their decisions. He queried who should be responsible if the specialists suspended the removal of a tree because of other people's opinions and later the tree collapsed and hurt passers-by. He opined that the TMO should make a decision based on objective factors, including whether the tree had a fungal infection and whether the fire service and emergency access would be affected if the tree collapsed, in order to make a reasonable judgment. He hoped that the TMO would

give prime consideration to public safety when making decisions.

41. Ms LAU Shun-ting said that the problems derived from the trees in Islands District were very serious. She cited a tree failure incident that occurred on Lamma Island. Before the incident happened, an arborist had collected data and opined that a large tree near a village house had shown a risk of failure. A report was made to the TMO with a proposal to cut down the tree, but the proposal was not accepted. Later, the large tree collapsed due to heavy rain but fortunately there were no casualties. She criticised the TMO for making rash decisions and queried the effectiveness of the preventive measures it had proposed, such as building retaining walls around trees.

(Post-meeting note: Upon receipt of a complaint or referral about a problematic tree, the Special Duties Task Force of the LandsD would assign a qualified arborist of the contractor to conduct on-site risk assessment for the tree in accordance with the Guidelines for Tree Risk Assessment and Management Arrangement issued by the Development Bureau. Generally speaking, the qualified arborist would carry out tree maintenance and take appropriate mitigation measures in relation to the risk of the tree and the nuisance it caused to the public. When handling a problematic tree, the Special Duties Task Force would generally consider pruning first. If there was a fungal infection or pest infestation, the infected part would be removed first and the tree would be sprayed with appropriate pesticide. Removal of the tree would be considered only if the tree had serious health or structural problems affecting its stability and posing a danger to pedestrians, residential buildings or traffic nearby.)

42. Mr CHAN Lin-wai said that the captioned tree management problem also had a direct impact on the lives of villagers and tourism of Lamma Island. As the contractor currently responsible for tree maintenance did not have working platforms, it was not able to prune the tree crowns blocking the street lights. That would bring inconvenience to the residents who visited at night. In addition, he said that the Conservancy Association had launched a plantation programme on Lamma Island. Large trees were planted on both sides of the family walk between Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan, blocking the coastal scenery and turning the family walk which was very popular among hikers into a “forest tunnel”. In this connection, he hoped that the departments concerned would take follow-up actions.

43. Mr LI Leong-ho said that the relevant section of DLO, Is would follow up on Members’ suggestions and views after the meeting.

(Post-meeting note: Regarding Mr CHAN Lin-wai’s concern about the large trees on both sides of the family walk between Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan, the DLO, Is had contacted Mr CHAN in November

2022 and conducted a site inspection to look into the location and the condition of the trees concerned on 9 November. The DLO, Is would consult the departments concerned on the management and conservation of the trees so that the departments could follow up on Mr CHAN's views in accordance with the established mechanism.)

44. Mr Gordon PEI said that CEDD noted Members' views. He said that CEDD had required the contractor to arrange for the tree management team and specialists to examine the trees within the site boundary regularly. CEDD would enhance communication with the contractor, discuss the mechanism of tree inspection and conduct pruning, erection of supporting frames or removal of trees in a timely manner.

45. Mr FONG Lung-fei said that there were many wild plants growing in the gap between the parapet and the pavement beside the highway. The plants, if left unchecked, would grow into large trees rapidly and affect pedestrians and traffic. He hoped that the department responsible for tree management could monitor the situation and take preventive measures in a timely manner, such as cutting down the small weed trees immediately, so as to improve the situation as soon as possible.

46. The Chairman said that the problem of weed trees had all along existed in Islands District. The trees specialists of the departments should maintain communication with the local community. Apart from considering transplanting the precious species, departments should give prime consideration to the safety of residents and remove the problematic trees.

47. Mr Eric KWOK hoped that the HD would give a response on the practice of covering tree pits with concrete.

48. Ms Sarah CHUNG said that apart from annual routine inspection, the Estate Office would monitor the growth of trees during the daily inspection. She continued to say that the risk posed by trees to public safety was a priority concern of the HD. Whenever a tree was found to be problematic, the HD would discuss the approach with the contractor and the staff of the Tree Teams, including pruning the tree crown and installing reinforcement frames, etc. When building tree rings and fences, the HD had to consider objective environmental factors, such as the width of the road surface and the utilities underground. As for the tree problems at individual locations that Members mentioned, the HD would conduct site inspections in due course to have a better understanding of the actual situation.

(Post-meeting note: On 5 October, the representative of the HD conducted an inspection with Mr Eric KWOK and Mr FONG Lung-fei regarding the issues of the trees at Yat Tung Estate. The HD had arranged the contractor to conduct inspections and would continue to follow up on the maintenance work.)

49. Mr Eric KWOK criticised the HD for giving a response irrelevant to his question and reiterated his request for the HD's response to the approach of covering tree pits with concrete. He said that such an approach would hinder the growth of trees, which was a very serious issue. He said that he had raised the above issue with the HD during the early development of Yat Tung (II) Estate, but the HD ignored it. In this connection, he urged the HD to examine all the trees in the estate and ensure that they had suitable space to grow. He hoped that the representative of the HD in attendance could relay his views to the management of the HD after the meeting. He said that he would also write to the Director of Housing to convey the concerns of Members.

50. The Chairman hoped that various departments would note Members' views and take concrete actions. He said that although cases of casualties caused by tree failure were not common, the situation should not be taken lightly. It would be far from ideal for the departments to take action only after an accident happened. He hoped that the departments concerned would maintain communication with Members and put forward feasible measures with a commitment to solving the tree problem.

(Mr Ken WONG left the meeting at around 3:10 p.m.)

V. Progress report on DC-funded District Minor Works Projects  
(Paper TAFEHCCC 36/2022)

51. The Chairman welcomed Ms WONG Ka-ming, Grace, Assistant District Officer (Islands)<sup>2</sup> and Ms CHAN Chak-chung, Senior Inspector of Works of the IsDO to the meeting to present the paper.

52. Ms Grace WONG briefly presented the paper and asked Members to note the paper.

53. Members had no comments.

VI. Report by Working Group

(i) Tourism, Agriculture, Fisheries, Environmental Hygiene and Climate Change Committee Activities Working Group

54. Ms LAU Shun-ting said that the report of the Working Group had been distributed to Members for perusal by email before the meeting (please refer to the Paper 1) and Members were welcomed to give their views on the content of the report.

55. Members had no comments.

(ii) Islands Healthy City and Age-friendly Community Working Group

56. Mr Randy YU said that the report of the Working Group had been distributed to Members for perusal by email before the meeting (please refer to the Paper 2) and Members were welcomed to give their views on the content of the report.

57. Mr Eric KWOK suggested that the organiser collect participants' feedback upon their completion of the three activities of the "Colourful Life" Positive Sharing Campus Programme (「色彩人生」正向分享校園計劃), namely (i) Colourful Life Positive Sharing Session (色彩人生正向分享會); (ii) Colourful Life Game Day (色彩人生遊戲體驗日); and (iii) "Love Yourself · Happy Idea" Workshop (「愛自己·開心主意」工作坊), so as to evaluate the effectiveness of the activities.

58. Mr Randy YU agreed with Mr Eric KWOK's views. He asked the Secretariat of the Working Group to make the request to the organiser so as to draw a conclusion on the effectiveness of the activities for reference.

(Post-meeting note: The Secretariat of Islands Healthy City and Age-friendly Community Working Group had relayed Members' request to the organiser.)

VII. Any Other Business

59. No other business was raised by Members.

VIII. Date of Next Meeting

60. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:16 p.m. The next meeting would be held at 2:00 p.m. on 28 November 2022 (Monday).

-END-