

(Translation)

Minutes of Meeting of Islands District Council

Date : 25 October 2021 (Monday)
Time : 10:30 a.m.
Venue : Islands District Council Conference Room,
14/F, Harbour Building, 38 Pier Road, Central, Hong Kong

Present

Chairman

Mr YU Hon-kwan, Randy, MH, JP

Vice-Chairman

Mr WONG Man-hon, MH

Members

Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, SBS, MH
Mr YUNG Chi-ming, BBS, MH
Mr CHAN Lin-wai, MH
Mr WONG Hon-kuen, Ken
Mr HO Siu-kei
Ms WONG Chau-ping
Ms TSANG Sau-ho, Josephine
Mr KWOK Ping, Eric
Mr FONG Lung-fei
Ms LAU Shun-ting

Attendance by Invitation

Ms CHONG Hoi-ting, Stephanie

Estate Surveyor/2 (District Lands Office, Islands),
Lands Department

Mr Peter TSANG

Senior Manager - Transportation,
Discovery Bay Transit Services Limited

Ms Sara LAI

Senior Manager - Community Relations,
Hong Kong Resort Company Limited

In Attendance

Ms YEUNG Wai-sum, Amy, JP

District Officer (Islands), Islands District Office

Mr LI Ho, Thomas

Assistant District Officer (Islands)1,
Islands District Office

Ms WONG Ka-ming, Grace

Assistant District Officer (Islands)2,
Islands District Office

Mr MOK Sui-hung

Senior Liaison Officer (1), Islands District Office

Mr CHAN Yat-kin, Kaiser

Senior Liaison Officer (2), Islands District Office

Mr LAM Wai-chuen, Eddie	Senior Engineer/17 (Lantau), Civil Engineering and Development Department
Ms TANG Tsui-ye, Caroline	District Planning Officer/Sai Kung & Islands, Planning Department
Ms YAN Lai-ming, Jenny	District Social Welfare Officer (Central Western/Southern/Islands), Social Welfare Department
Mr LING Ka-fai	District Lands Officer/Islands (District Lands Office, Islands), Lands Department
Mr TSANG Wai-man	Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Islands), Lands Department
Ms KWAN Ka-mun, Karen	Chief Transport Officer/Islands, Transport Department
Ms CHEUNG Hoi-yan	District Commander (Lantau District), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr K. JACOBS	District Commander (Marine Port District), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr LO Tim-fat, Frankie	Police Community Relations Officer (Lantau District), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr LEONG Seong-iam	Police Community Relations Officer (Marine Port District), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr KAO Hsi-chiang	Acting District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Islands), Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Ms LEE Sin-man	Chief Manager/Management (Hong Kong Island and Islands), Housing Department
Ms SIU Kit-ping, Currie	District Leisure Manager (Islands), Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Secretary

Ms Kennis CHAN	Senior Executive Officer (District Council), Islands District Office
----------------	---

Absent with Apology

Mr HO Chun-fai



Welcoming Remarks

The Chairman welcomed Members and the representatives of government departments to the meeting, and introduced the following departmental representatives:

- (a) Ms TANG Tsui-yee, Caroline, District Planning Officer/Sai Kung & Islands of the Planning Department who replaced Ms TAM Yin-ping, Donna;
- (b) Mr LAM Wai-chuen, Eddie, Senior Engineer/17 (Lantau) of the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) who stood in for Mr WONG Kwok-fai, Alfred; and
- (c) Mr KAO Hsi-chiang, Acting District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Islands) of the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department who stood in for Ms LAI Wing-sau, Winsy.

2. Members noted that Mr HO Chun-fai was unable to attend the meeting due to other commitments.

I. Confirmation of the Minutes of Meeting held on 13 September 2021

3. The Chairman said that the captioned minutes had incorporated the amendments proposed by the government departments and Members and had been distributed to Members for perusal prior to the meeting.

4. Members did not propose other amendments, and the captioned minutes were confirmed unanimously.

(Members voted in favour included: the Chairman Mr Randy YU, the Vice-chairman Mr WONG Man-hon, Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, Mr YUNG Chi-ming, Mr CHAN Lin-wai, Mr Ken WONG, Mr HO Siu-kei, Ms WONG Chau-ping, Ms Josephine TSANG, Mr Eric KWOK, Mr FONG Lung-fei and Ms LAU Shun-ting.)

II. Question on request for the construction of a river bridge from Tai O Primary School to Tai O Bus Terminus in the “Improvement Works at Tai O, Phase 2 Stage 2” (Paper IDC 83/2021)

5. The Chairman said that the CEDD had provided a written reply for Members’ perusal prior to the meeting. The Chairman briefly presented the question.

6. Mr WONG Man-hon said that the Tai O Lanterns Festival had seriously affected the traffic in Lantau South, rendering the local transport system overloaded. He said that inconvenience would be caused to residents in taking public transport during the event. He opined that the relevant departments should review the relevant issues and strengthen traffic relief to avoid a similar situation in the future.

7. Mr HO Siu-kei expressed his views as follows:
- (a) He said that there had been serious traffic congestion in Tai O in the past. Whenever a festive event was held, roads and streets in Tai O would be swarmed with people, and the flow of tourists during peak hours could reach 10,000 people. It was worrying that rescue could be delayed if an accident took place.
 - (b) He said that Tai O Creek Pedestrian Bridge was one of the most popular attraction spots in Tai O, attracting a large number of tourists even on weekdays. Residents often reported the problem to the Rural Committee on the inconvenience thus caused. He asked the CEDD to actively follow up on this issue after receiving the residents' views, including improving the pedestrians and rescue facilities. Otherwise, residents would become more dissatisfied and object to the holding of events in the future.
8. Mr Ken WONG expressed his views as follows:
- (a) He stated that the written reply was not detailed. The Government had been committed to promoting "Conservation for the South", aiming to build the area into a back garden for Hong Kong. However, it had attracted a large number of tourists while the traffic system had not yet been upgraded.
 - (b) He indicated that the Sustainable Lantau Office should conduct a serious review of the traffic problems in Tai O. It was indeed inappropriate to promote the Lantau Island, Giant Buddha and Tai O Fishing Village as tourist attractions when the traffic system was still to be improved.
 - (c) He said that there remained works underway in the "Improvement Works at Tai O, Phase 2 Stage 2". In the meanwhile, many residents of the urban areas would visit Tai O during holidays, making Tai O crowded with people. He suggested that the CEDD should take precautions and should not repeat the chaotic situation in Tung Chung right after the opening of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge. He said that the relevant departments should change their mindset, and should upgrade the road network and estimate the number of tourists before promoting tourism in Tai O, rather than building the facilities after there were tourists visiting the place.
 - (d) He said that all the islands faced the problem of traffic congestion caused by the influx of a large number of tourists during holidays and weekends. Residents were dissatisfied that it was difficult for them to take the ferry. He said the Government should actively deal with the issues concerned.
9. Mr Eric KWOK expressed his views as follows:

- (a) He said that the District Council had reflected the traffic problems of Tai O to the Transport Department (TD) and Highways Department, requesting improvements to be made to the roads in Tai O and Lantau South as well as the Tung Chung Road.
- (b) He said that the Government did not allocate adequate resources to Lantau South, let alone improving the traffic problems in the area. He indicated that the river bridge proposed to be built was not a major work and the department could complete the construction within a few years, so as to ease the flow of people in Tai O.
- (c) He emphasised that the islands were different from urban areas where road works such as flyovers and tunnels were costly. However, it was unfair that residents of the islands should pay taxes even though these types of facilities were unnecessary on the islands. He said the department's sole response was that the comments had been noted, but obviously it had no intention to deal with the problem. Therefore, he suggested that a task group should be set up, so that the department would attach greater importance to the situation and address the traffic problems in Tai O more efficiently.
- (d) He stated that he had received the consultation paper in relation to the "Driving on Lantau Island" Scheme earlier, but it was found that the original proposal was still adopted without incorporating the views of Members. In addition, he said that recently it was noted that there were no bus bays in the road section spanning from Cheung Sha to San Shek Wan, and to Pui O. Therefore, when a bus and other vehicles stopped, the following vehicles could possibly fail to slow down in time, resulting in traffic accidents. He hoped that the department could formulate a plan of five to ten years to solve the problems.

10. The Chairman expressed his views as follows:

- (a) He agreed that the written reply was not detailed. As Mr LAM Wai-chuen, Eddie only attended the meeting as a stand-in, the Chairman hoped that he would reflect the issues to the department.
- (b) As regards the flow of people in Tai O, he stated that he had reported the dilemma in Tai O to the department and the Development Bureau. On one hand, Tai O was well-liked by many people. Publicity events that aimed to boost the local economy had successfully brought constant influx of tourists to Tai O. On the other hand, the number of tourists attracted by the Tai O Lanterns Festival had overwhelmed Tai O. Therefore, he requested an additional river bridge to be built in the next stage of the "Improvement Works at Tai O" project. If this proposal was endorsed by Members, he would ask the Secretariat to write to the Sustainable Lantau Office to inform the office of Members' opinions on

the second phase third stage of the “Improvement Works at Tai O” project and the remaining works, and to ask the office to submit the study report on the traffic capacity of Lantau Island that was not submitted earlier. He said that whenever a festival or cultural event was held in Tai O, it could be observed that the traffic system in Tai O could barely support such events. He hoped the existing problems would be addressed in the report and a solution to the road problem in Tai O would be worked out.

- (c) He said that currently the issue as to whether a coastal road from Tai O to Tung Chung should be built was still highly controversial, and he enquired whether the relevant departments had any solutions to the traffic problems. He asked the department what measures could be adopted to ease the flow of people if the proposal to build a river bridge from Tai O Primary School to Tai O Bus Terminus was only noted without implementation. He said that Tai O was very congested during holidays, and the Tai O Lanterns Festival made the traffic problems even worse.
- (d) He said that the District Council would step into a new stage on 1 January next year. He suggested that a task force should be set up for the traffic issues on the other islands, so that Members could continue to follow up with the relevant departments, otherwise these issues would just be endless.
- (e) He asked the Secretariat to write to the Sustainable Lantau Office on behalf of the Islands District Council on the issue of tourist flow in Tai O, and to set up a task force early next year to follow up on the traffic problems in the entire Islands District.

11. Ms WONG Chau-ping expressed her views as follows:

- (a) She said that the Tai O Lanterns Festival highlighted the persistent traffic problems on Lantau Island. The government departments had always been aware of this issue, and Mr LAM Wai-chuen, Eddie, as a departmental representative, had the responsibility to fully convey the views of Members.
- (b) She said that when the construction of cycle subways in Tung Chung was under discussion earlier, the CEDD had mentioned that an additional project could be inserted in the course of the Tung Chung New Town Extension to optimise the entire development. She opined that improvements could be made to the traffic congestion in Lantau Island and Tai O with reference to such practice.

12. Mr Eddie LAM said that he would convey Members’ views to the relevant divisions and would actively follow up on the request.

III. Question on the proposal to set up taxi pick-up and drop-off points in various estates in Discovery Bay and Nim Shue Wan
(Paper IDC 84/2021)

13. The Chairman welcomed Ms KWAN Ka-mun, Karen, Chief Transport Officer/Islands of the TD, Ms CHONG Hoi-ting, Stephanie, Estate Surveyor/2 (District Lands Office, Islands) of the Lands Department (LandsD), Mr Peter TSANG, Senior Manager - Transportation of Discovery Bay Transit Services Limited and Ms Sara LAI, Senior Manager - Community Relations of Hong Kong Resort Company Limited to the meeting to respond to the question. Written replies had been provided by the TD and the LandsD for Members' perusal.

14. Ms Josephine TSANG presented the question briefly.

15. Ms Sara LAI welcomed the proposal by Ms Josephine TSANG. The Hong Kong Resort Company Limited (HKRCL) also heard from residents in the district from time to time their wish for the expansion of taxi access. In a meeting of the passenger liaison group held on 7 October this year, some representatives of Discovery Bay residents reported that it was difficult to get in or out of Discovery Bay in case of an emergency and late at night. Therefore, they hoped that taxi access in Discovery Bay would be expanded. The HKRCL would coordinate with various stakeholders, including the TD or other government departments concerned, with a view to expanding the scope of taxi access in Discovery Bay.

16. Ms Karen KWAN said that, as stated in the written reply, if the HKRCL intended to expand taxi access in Discovery Bay after a comprehensive consultation with the residents, it could then submit a traffic impact assessment report. The department would follow up in accordance with the established procedures.

17. Mr Ken WONG expressed his views as follows:

- (a) He said that residents of Peng Chau also had a demand for taxi service in Discovery Bay. According to the TD, in the past, residents of Peng Chau could take a ferry to Discovery Bay, and then transfer to a bus to the North Lantau Hospital to seek medical treatments or attend follow-up consultations, which was convenient. However, the bus stop was very far from the pier after its relocation at the beginning of the year, which made it very inconvenient for the residents to go to the North Lantau Hospital. In addition, Peng Chau students going to the schools in Tung Chung via Discovery Bay also had to walk a long distance. Some residents of Discovery Bay with mobility difficulties complained that they were unable to call taxi services to go to the clinics or hospitals, and they mentioned the difficulties of getting in and out of Discovery Bay late at night. He said that the same views were also expressed by the members of the Discovery Bay Passenger Liaison Group. He suggested that the TD should consider expanding taxi access there. The TD had gradually allowed trucks to enter Discovery Bay and extend

their stays, hence he believed that the department should also consider allowing taxis to operate within Discovery Bay.

- (b) He opined that the TD must improve the traffic network, otherwise the Islands District Lands Office and the Islands District Office should stop allocating resources to the development of Discovery Bay, including the construction of schools. Population size was one of the factors that the Government would take into account in the construction of facilities. For example, the population of Discovery Bay and that of Peng Chau of 5,000 to 6,000 people taken together just met the population threshold for building a community hall. Therefore, Discovery Bay had to include the population of Tung Chung and Peng Chau in order to meet the population requirement for the construction of a community hall. However, there was only direct transport from Discovery Bay to the Peng Chau Sports Centre, but not from Peng Chau to the Discovery Bay Community Hall. In his view, the situation was unfair. If there was no regular transport to connect the two places, the application for the construction of community facilities should not be based on the combined population.
- (c) He said that the TD was unaware of the twists and turns that Peng Chau residents had to go through for reaching Tung Chung. Taxi service, if available, would provide the residents with an alternative and provide convenience to the residents of Nim Shue Wan as well. Geographically, Nim Shue Wan is surrounded by Discovery Bay. At present, residents of Nim Shue Wan had to take the transport of Discovery Bay when going out. It was hoped that when the HKRCL tabled the traffic assessment report at the District Council for discussion, the TD would not create obstacles.

18. Mr Eric KWOK enquired of the HKRCL whether the residents' bus services were compatible with the schedule of Peng Chau kaito. For example, when a ferry of Sun Ferry arrived at Mui Wo from Central, a bus of the New Lantau Bus would depart from the pier according to the arrival time of the ferry, while for the ferry service taking residents of Lantau South from Mui Wo to Central, the New Lantau Bus would also schedule its bus departures according to ferry boarding time. Although Discovery Bay was a private area, the HKRCL and the TD were responsible for the planning of the residents' bus services to provide convenience to the public and Peng Chau residents who used kaito ferry service. In addition, he asked about the regular frequency of kaito ferries operating between Peng Chau and Discovery Bay daily, and was concerned about whether the frequency could meet the transport needs of Peng Chau residents.

19. Ms Josephine TSANG was pleased to hear the response from the HKRCL. She said that the bus stop was moved closer to the pier when maintenance was carried out at its original site earlier, which was more convenient for Peng Chau residents. However, the bus stop was then moved back to its original site after the maintenance work was completed. As a result, kaito passengers who needed to transfer to a bus had to walk a long way after arriving at the pier. In addition, this also caused

inconvenience to students going back home from Tung Chung, residents going shopping, and the elderly going to the North Lantau Hospital for medical treatments or follow-up consultations. Peng Chau residents hoped that a bus stop could be added at the pier or, if not feasible, a taxi pick-up and drop-off point would be set up at the Nim Shue Wan Pier or the kaito pier. She had recently received emails from the residents of Discovery Bay, which expressed concerns about the impact of taxi access in Discovery Bay on the lives of local residents and the air quality in the area. She hoped that the HKRCL could conduct an opinion poll to gather the views of the residents, and she also hoped that the residents of Discovery Bay would support the proposal.

20. Mr Peter TSANG said that except for the bus routes to Tung Chung, Sunny Bay and the airport, all the residents' bus services in Discovery Bay were compatible with the ferry schedules in Discovery Bay. As for Peng Chau students going to schools in Discovery Bay, the bus company had arranged special departures between the Discovery Bay kaito pier and the schools in the district during school peak hours. During other times of the day, all residents' bus services in the district were compatible with the ferry schedules.

21. Ms Karen KWAN added that upon receipt of the application from the HKRCL, the department would process it in accordance with the established procedures having regard to a number of factors, including traffic safety assessment and opinions of stakeholders. The roads in Discovery Bay were private roads. In view of the quiet community environment in Discovery Bay, the HKRCL had to consult the residents comprehensively.

22. The Chairman enquired of the Islands District Lands Office that, under the terms of the current land lease conditions, whether the HKRCL could make an application at any time or only under specific circumstances.

23. Ms Stephanie CHONG stated that according to the lease conditions of Discovery Bay, the grantee should provide space for the parking, loading and unloading of such motor vehicles as shall be authorised by the grantee in writing. If an application for setting up taxi pick-up and drop-off points in various estates in Discovery Bay was received from the HKRCL in the future, the Islands District Lands Office would process it in accordance with established procedures and consult the relevant departments (including the TD).

24. Ms Sara LAI said that the HKRCL would actively follow up on and consider the traffic impact assessment and opinion poll mentioned by Members and the TD. She also hoped that the traffic impact assessment could be used in reviewing the feasibility of the proposal and determining whether mitigation measures were necessary.

25. Mr Ken WONG expressed his views as follows:

- (a) He agreed with the TD that the residents' views must be taken into consideration, but he would hardly agree that Discovery Bay was a quiet community. If it was a quiet community as stated, multiple bus routes

operating between Discovery Bay and other areas of the islands including routes DB01, DB02, DB03 and the airbus route would be unnecessary. Instead, only route DB02 that took Discovery Bay residents to the Tung Chung MTR Station was sufficient. It was understandable that the residents wished to live in a community with a convenient transportation network. He was dissatisfied with the department's disregard for the transport needs of Peng Chau residents solely on the ground that Discovery Bay was a quiet community. Therefore, he did not accept the response provided by the department and urged the department to conduct a comprehensive review of the transportation network of Peng Chau.

- (b) The multiple bus routes operating in Discovery Bay facilitated the travels of the local residents. Peng Chau residents also needed to take the same bus routes. However, the fare for route DB01 for a Discovery Bay resident was HK\$10 while residents of Peng Chau had to pay HK\$12 taking the same route to Tung Chung. He had written to the TD on many occasions. He opined that if the department could decline to let Peng Chau residents take the Discovery Bay buses to Tung Chung, then the Government would have no options other than planning a transportation network for Peng Chau residents to travel between Peng Chau and Tung Chung. He was dissatisfied that only opinions of Discovery Bay residents, who paid a lower fare, were considered, while residents of Peng Chau, who paid a higher fare, were unable to make their voices heard. Furthermore, a policy giving Discovery Bay residents priority in boarding the bus had been implemented recently, meaning those who paid a lower fare could board the bus first, which was not fair. He suggested that the TD should restrict the Discovery Bay bus service to Discovery Bay residents only. He was dissatisfied that the TD encouraged Peng Chau residents to travel by Discovery Bay buses but failed to guarantee the same right of Peng Chau residents to travel by Discovery Bay buses.
- (c) He enquired whether prior consent from the TD was required for fare adjustment or change of bus stops of Discovery Bay bus routes. It was understood that only 7 or 14 working days' notice to the TD was required for any such changes. He reiterated that residents of Peng Chau were also passengers and stakeholders, therefore they should not pay higher fares without the right to express their views. He questioned the fairness of the TD's approach. If the TD found that there were problems with the relevant ordinances, it should report to the Government and request amendments to be made thereto.

26. Mr HO Siu-kei expressed disappointment over the response of the TD, but he understood that, as stated by the HKRCL, an opinion poll must be carried out among the residents to gather their views. He did not agree that allowing limited taxi access to Discovery Bay for the convenience of the elderly or for emergency needs would lead to the end of the "quiet community". He described such statement as illogical. He

also pointed out that it was an excuse that expanding taxi access would affect the lives of residents in the entire community. What was currently under discussion was not opening the area to all vehicles. He believed that after consulting local residents and obtaining support from most of them, the HKRCL could discuss the relevant arrangements with the residents, invite the TD for coordination and explore sound management approaches, in order to provide convenience to the residents while protecting the environment at the same time.

27. Ms Karen KWAN reiterated that the application, upon receipt from the HKRCL, would be considered and processed having regard to various factors.

28. The Chairman enquired whether the Discovery Bay Transit Services Limited or the HKRCL would conduct a consultation with the residents and submit an application to the TD after listening to the views of Members.

29. Mr Peter TSANG said that follow-up would be arranged on the opinions expressed by Members just now. In recent years, the HKRCL had also received feedbacks from the passenger liaison group and many residents on the need to expand the taxi access. He added that the company would earnestly look into the issue while taking the views of the residents and Members into consideration, and would conduct a traffic assessment. The company was most willing to impose any traffic or road improvement.

30. The Chairman said that there was a vacancy of a Member for the Discovery Bay Constituency and therefore it was necessary to be more prudent in dealing with matters related to the Discovery Bay. He suggested that the Discovery Bay Transit Services Limited or the HKRCL should submit an application to the TD based on the views expressed by the residents and residents' organisations of Discovery Bay as well as Peng Chau residents as soon as possible. He was pleased to see the positive responses from the Islands District Lands Office and the TD. Assistance could be sought from Members if necessary.

31. Ms Sara LAI said she would actively follow up on the relevant matters and hoped to facilitate the fulfilment of them, so as to meet the public's demand for point-to-point taxi services in Discovery Bay.

IV. Composition of Islands DC Committees for 2022-2023 (Paper IDC 85/2021)

32. The current composition and term of office of the four committees under the Islands District Council, namely the District Facilities Management Committee (DFMC), the Traffic and Transport Committee (TTC), the Community Affairs, Culture and Recreation Committee and the Tourism, Agriculture, Fisheries, Environmental Hygiene and Climate Change Committee, would expire on 31 December 2021. The District Council should determine the composition of each committee for 2022-2023 to ensure the continued smooth operation of the committees. The Chairman asked Members whether they agreed to maintain the current composition of the four

committees. He said that if Members agreed to the said proposal, then except for the seat of Vice-chairman of the DFMC which had become vacant since 21 October this year, the Chairmen and Vice-chairmen of the other three committees would remain in office. If Members did not agree with such proposal, the Secretariat would send a letter to Members after the meeting to enquire about the committees they would like to serve on, followed by another letter to Members on the nomination of candidates for the Chairmen and Vice-chairmen of the committees. Election of the Chairmen and Vice-chairmen would be held in the District Council meeting scheduled for December.

33. Mr Ken WONG said that since some Members of the Islands District Council had vacated their offices, the District Council should take this opportunity to review the composition of each of the committees.

34. Mr WONG Man-hon was supportive of the idea of allowing Members to reconsider which committees they wished to join.

35. In response to Mr Eric KWOK's question over the composition of the working groups under the TTC, the Chairman stated that only the composition and the election of the Chairmen and Vice-chairmen of the four committees would be dealt with in the District Council meeting, while the election of the Convenors and Vice-convenors of the working groups would be dealt with in the first meetings of the newly formed committees respectively.

36. The Chairman said that since Members had served on the committees for two years, it was time for them to reconsider their choices of committees on which they wished to serve. He then invited Members to vote by a show of hands on the two proposals as follows: (i) to compose the committees afresh and re-elect the Chairmen and Vice-chairmen thereof; (ii) to maintain the current composition of the four committees and re-elect the Vice-chairman of the DFMC only.

37. The voting result was ten votes in favour of proposal (i), 0 vote in favour of proposal (ii) and two abstentions. Hence, the proposal to let Members choose which committees they wished to serve on and re-elect the Chairman and Vice-chairman of each committee was endorsed.

(Members voted in favour included: the Vice-chairman Mr WONG Man-hon, Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, Mr YUNG Chi-ming, Mr CHAN Lin-wai, Mr Ken WONG, Mr HO Siu-kei, Ms WONG Chau-ping, Ms Josephine TSANG, Mr Eric KWOK and Ms LAU Shun-ting; the Chairman Mr Randy YU and Mr FONG Lung-fei abstained.)

V. Reports on the Work of the IDC Committees
(Papers IDC 86-89/2021)

38. Members noted the papers and endorsed them unanimously.

(Members voted in favour included: the Chairman Mr Randy YU; the Vice-chairman Mr WONG Man-hon, Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, Mr YUNG Chi-ming, Mr CHAN Lin-wai,

Mr Ken WONG, Mr HO Siu-kei, Ms WONG Chau-ping, Ms Josephine TSANG, Mr Eric KWOK, Mr FONG Lung-fei and Ms LAU Shun-ting.)

VI. Allocation of DC funds

(i) Up-to-date Financial Position on the Use of DC Funds
(Paper IDC 90/2021)

39. Members noted the paper and endorsed it unanimously.

(Members voted in favour included: the Chairman Mr Randy YU; the Vice-Chairman Mr WONG Man-hon, Mr CHOW Yuk-tong, Mr YUNG Chi-ming, Mr CHAN Lin-wai, Mr Ken WONG, Mr HO Siu-kei, Ms WONG Chau-ping, Ms Josephine TSANG, Mr Eric KWOK, Mr FONG Lung-fei and Ms LAU Shun-ting.)

(ii) Approval for Using DC Funds by circulation from 1 September to 30 September 2021
(Paper IDC 91/2021)

40. Members noted the paper.

VII. Date of Next Meeting

41. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:20 a.m. The next meeting would be held on 13 December 2021 (Monday) at 10:30 a.m.

-END-