

(Translation)

**Islands District Council
Minutes of Meeting of
Food, Environment and Hygiene Committee**

Date : 2 February 2026 (Monday)
Time : 2:30 p.m.
Venue : Islands District Council Conference Room,
14/F, Harbour Building, 38 Pier Road, Central, Hong Kong

Present

Chairman

Mr HO Siu-kei

Vice-Chairman

Mr LAU Chin-pang

Members

Mr YU Hon-kwan, MH, JP
Mr NG Man-kit
Mr CHOW Yuen-kuk, Jonathan
Mr HUI Chun-lung, MH
Ms KWOK Wai-man, Mealoha
Mr WAN Yeung-kin
Mr WONG Man-hon, MH
Ms WONG Chau-ping, MH
Mr WONG Hon-kuen, Ken
Mr YIP Pui-kei
Ms LAU Suk-han
Ms LAU Shun-ting
Mr LUO Chenghuan, MH

Co-opted Member

Mr CHUK Hing-toi, MH

In Attendance

Mr MOK Mong-chan
Mr FUNG Wai-nok

Ms NGO Mei-ling

Ms CHU Po-yin, Christine

Assistant District Officer (Islands)¹, Islands District Office
District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Islands),
Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Chief Health Inspector (Islands)¹,
Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Chief Health Inspector (Islands)²,
Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

Mr YAU Pak-lun, Esmond

Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional South)5,
Environmental Protection Department

Secretary

Ms LAM Long-ching, Christine

Executive Officer (District Council)2, Islands District Office

~~~~~

**Welcoming Remarks**

The Chairman welcomed representatives of government departments and Members to the meeting.

I. **Confirmation of minutes of the meeting held on 15 December 2025**

2. The Chairman said that the captioned minutes had incorporated the amendments proposed by government departments and had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.

3. Members did not have any further amendment proposals, and the minutes were confirmed unanimously.

II. **Question on medical fee waiver applications**  
(FEHC Paper No. 1/2026)

4. The Chairman asked Members to refer to FEHC Paper No. 1/2026. The written reply of the Hospital Authority (HA) had been distributed to Members for perusal before the meeting.

5. Mr YIP Pui-kei briefly presented the question.

6. Members said that during the initial implementation of the enhancement measures on medical fee waiver mechanism, many residents had reported difficulties when applying for medical fee waivers (such as being unable to book appointments to submit applications and being unfamiliar with the relevant application procedures). Members commended the North Lantau Hospital for actively assisting members of the public in applying for medical fee waivers (by, for example, deploying additional staff, setting up a dedicated team to handle such applications, providing outreach registration services in the district and assisting in following up on application cases referred by Members). However, given that the number of eligible beneficiaries would increase significantly to over one million under the new measures, Members suggested that the HA should consider further streamlining the application procedures by, for example, recognising the citizens' confirmed income and asset test eligibilities under social

welfare and other government-subsidised schemes (such as the Working Family Allowance Scheme, student finance and public rental housing), thereby exempting them from the requirement of submitting documentary proofs for assessment when applying for medical fee waivers. Furthermore, Members noted that some members of the public might mistakenly believe that only the elderly were eligible to apply for medical fee waivers. In fact, non-elderly persons might also qualify for such waivers.

7. The Chairman noted that members of the public were not yet familiar with the enhancement measures on medical fee waiver mechanism as they had only just come into effect. As such, local stakeholders should work with the HA to provide assistance to those who needed to apply for medical fee waivers.

(Post-meeting note: The Secretariat had relayed Members' views to the HA for reference after the meeting.)

III. Food and Environmental Hygiene Department – Islands District 2026 Year-end Clean-up  
(FEHC Paper No. 2/2026)

8. The Chairman asked Members to refer to FEHC Paper No. 2/2026, and invited the representatives of the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) to present the paper.

9. Mr FUNG Wai-nok briefly presented the paper.

10. Members expressed their views as follows:

- (a) While there had been very few reports of rodent infestations in Discovery Bay previously, the number of such reports received by Members in the past two to three months exceeded ten. As Members believed that the numerous building maintenance works taking place in the area were attributable to the rodent infestations, they suggested that the FEHD should consider extending its rodent control operations to Discovery Bay and assist property management companies in the area in implementing anti-rodent work.
- (b) Members suggested that the FEHD should deploy additional staff to participate in the year-end clean-up to step up clearance of litter underneath the footbridges, invite other organisations (such as Care Teams, various government departments and schools in the district) to participate in the clean-up and enhance publicity efforts.
- (c) There were 26 construction sites and 13 vacant sites in the district as mentioned by the FEHD's paper, coupled with the successive completion and intake of residents of new housing estates in Tung Chung, a large amount of construction waste and debris was generated.

Furthermore, it was learnt that some construction workers littered at the sites, leading to the worsening of rodent infestation. In this regard, Members requested the FEHD to pay closer attention to the above situations during the year-end clean-up campaign and its subsequent cleaning work. In view of the intake of residents of the new housing estates, the FEHD should also provide more environmental hygiene-related guidelines to property management companies as well as nearby restaurants and construction sites.

- (d) During the year-end period, many people cleaned up their home. The discarded furniture and miscellaneous articles piled up at refuse collection points and some property management companies deployed additional grab lorries to clear the miscellaneous articles. In this regard, the FEHD should consider increasing the frequency of collection of bulky miscellaneous articles by grab lorries from housing estates in the district.
- (e) The previous year-end clean-up campaigns had achieved significant results. Members noted that when some restaurant staff dragged food waste to rubbish bins on the street in rural areas, grease seeped out, leaving stains on the ground. Although the FEHD had deployed staff to clean the affected areas upon learning of this, the results had been limited. In this regard, Members enquired with the Department whether it had been using ordinary detergents for high-pressure washing, and suggested that the Department should explore more effective methods to remove grease stains (such as using baking soda) and advise restaurants to use trolleys to transport food waste.
- (f) Members noted that the night rodent control operations on Lamma Island would come to an end in February this year. Given that the rodent infestation on Lamma Island remained severe and that the FEHD's night rodent control operations caught an average of six to eight live rats each night, Members hoped that the operations would be extended. Furthermore, many residents of Lamma Island had recently reported that some dogs had been found excreting indiscriminately on the village footpaths and main roads, but that the dog owners or foreign domestic helpers concerned had failed to clean up properly afterwards. As members of the public usually walked their dogs at night and that it was difficult for the FEHD to arrange for staff to carry out cleaning work during those hours, dog excreta remained on the streets the following day, affecting the environmental hygiene there.
- (g) Members suggested that the FEHD should step up the cleaning of village drains during the year-end clean-up campaign to prevent the generation of odour. They also requested the Department to increase the frequency of cleaning of village footpaths.

- (h) Members supported this year-end clean-up campaign and commended the FEHD for its rodent control work on Peng Chau. Given that some rodent black spots (such as planters and drains) might involve other departments, such as the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD), the FEHD should strengthen collaboration with the relevant departments to alleviate rodent infestation. Furthermore, the Department should step up publicity and education efforts on maintaining environmental hygiene, such as by organising competitions with relevant theme.
- (i) Members thanked the FEHD for its cleaning efforts and requested the Department to follow up on the rodent infestation at the location of the planters managed by the LCSD at the side of the Chung Hing Street (Tai Shek Hau) Refuse Collection Point, Cheung Chau.

11. Mr FUNG Wai-nok gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) The FEHD had contacted the relevant property management company regarding the rodent infestation in Discovery Bay to conduct an inspection, and had provided them with professional advice on rodent prevention and control measures.

(Post-meeting note: The FEHD inspected Greenvale Village and the surrounding area together with the relevant Members and representatives of the property management company on 6 February this year, and provided a series of professional advice on the rodent prevention and control measures implemented by the property management company. Subsequently, an inspection was carried out at the large refuse collection facility near the Discovery Bay Kaito Ferry Pier. The FEHD sought clarification from representatives of the property management company regarding arrangements for refuse collection in the area, and provided a series of professional advice on rodent prevention and control in relation to the environment and operation of the refuse collection facility.)

- (b) During the year-end clean-up campaign, the FEHD would step up clearance of litter underneath the footbridges and, where necessary, strengthen cleaning of village drains and footpaths. In addition, the Department would consider inviting other stakeholders (including Care Teams) to participate in future publicity and education activities on maintaining environmental hygiene.
- (c) In view of the successive intake of residents of new housing estates and the opening of restaurants in Tung Chung, as well as the increase in the population in the area, the FEHD would strengthen its environmental hygiene-related work and, where necessary, increase the frequency of cleaning and collecting refuse at large refuse collection points.

- (d) The FEHD would impart hygiene-related knowledge to the persons in charge of the restaurants and guide them on the proper handling of food waste. Regarding the suggestion on switching to more effective detergents for treating grease stains on the ground, the Department was willing to adopt new methods to enhance cleaning effectiveness, subject to prior discussion with the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on the relevant discharge arrangements and consideration of occupational safety and health measures for cleansing workers.
- (e) Due to resource constraints, the night rodent control operations on Lamma Island could not be carried out throughout the year. The FEHD would closely monitor the situation of rodent infestation and consider increasing the number of night rodent control operations subject to resource availability.
- (f) The FEHD had carried out a series of publicity and education campaigns on the problem of dogs excreting indiscriminately. The Department would further deploy dedicated teams to the black spots concerned to carry out publicity, education and enforcement work.
- (g) In view of the recent occurrence of rodents near the construction sites at Peng Chau, the FEHD had stepped up rodent prevention and control efforts at the locations concerned. Furthermore, as warmer weather facilitated the reproduction of rodents, the Department would pay closer attention to the situation of rodent infestation, as well as strengthen publicity and education by providing relevant stakeholders with guidelines on rodent prevention and control.
- (h) The FEHD had already carried out rodent control operations near the Chung Hing Street (Tai Shek Hau) Refuse Collection Point, Cheung Chau. As for the rodent infestation at the planters under the LCSD's management, the FEHD would deploy staff to conduct site inspections and, where necessary, explore rodent prevention and control measures with the LCSD. The Department would make appropriate referrals for cases of rodent infestation falling within the purview of other government departments.

12. Members expressed their views as follows:

- (a) Given that snakes preyed on rats, Members enquired about whether a severe rodent infestation was likely to lead to more frequent occurrence of snakes.
- (b) Members enquired with the FEHD whether there were relevant mechanisms and penalties to regulate property management companies of private buildings whose performance in rodent prevention and control

was unsatisfactory.

- (c) The FEHD should step up enforcement work relating to environmental hygiene to mitigate the problem of rodent infestation. On Peng Chau, rodents were not only sighted at night but also during the daytime, with some sighted at the vicinity of the seawall. Given that the rodent capture tools (such as snap traps, glue traps, cage traps and rodent baits) used by the FEHD for long had limited effectiveness, the Department should consider researching and trialling more effective methods and technologies for rodent prevention and control. The Department had previously indicated that it would use thermal imaging cameras for rodent monitoring, but Members were unaware of the latest results.
- (d) With a number of works currently underway in Tung Chung West, the rodent infestation at the vicinity of the works sites had worsened, affecting the nearby housing estates. Members noted that the Housing Department (HD) generally engaged contractors to provide pest control services in housing estates, but observed that the rodent baits placed there had not effectively resolved the rodent problem. Therefore, the FEHD should provide more support and advice to the HD and property management companies regarding rodent prevention and control. The relevant departments should consider conducting joint rodent control operations in housing estates in collaboration with property management companies during the year-end period, and the FEHD should arrange for rodent control specialists to carry out anti-rodent work in housing estates with more severe infestation (such as Yat Tung Estate).

13. The Chairman enquired with the FEHD about the rodent capture methods it currently adopted, and whether it would adopt new methods and technologies of rodent prevention and control to improve the effectiveness of its anti-rodent work.

14. Mr FUNG Wai-nok gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) As the FEHD was not the department specifically responsible for dealing with snakes, it did not have the relevant information. To his understanding, the presence of snakes was linked to factors such as their habitats and was not necessarily directly related to rodent infestation.
- (b) Staff from the FEHD's Pest Control Advisory Section would conduct inspections at rodent black spots and would subsequently provide professional advice to the Department to enhance the effectiveness of its rodent prevention and control work. In terms of publicity and education, the FEHD would arrange seminars for various government departments (including the HD), property management companies of private buildings and building contractors, etc. to provide them with knowledge on pest control.

- (c) Residential property management companies were obliged to ensure that the buildings' common areas were free from pest infestation. For premises discovered to be infested with pests, the FEHD might issue notices to the persons responsible for managing the buildings (such as property management companies) to require them to deal with the pest infestation as soon as possible by, for example, engaging professional pest control companies to provide services. The Department would provide technical support for relevant stakeholders and take enforcement action as necessary.

15. Members expressed their views as follows:

- (a) The area around the Peng Chau Market was a hygiene black spot. Although the FEHD had cleared some of the miscellaneous articles previously accumulated there, many nearby shops continued to place miscellaneous articles in the area concerned often. Given that enforcement actions by relevant departments such as the District Lands Office, Islands (DLO/Is) to address the issue of accumulated miscellaneous articles in public places took a relatively long time at present, in the long run, the government departments concerned should consider adopting more rapid and effective methods to improve the situation. In addition, rodent infestation was found at the refuse collection point near the Peng Chau Market. There were about eight glass container recycling bins near the refuse collection point, which were not emptied daily and were prone to pest infestation. In this regard, the EPD should review the number and placement of these recycling bins. For example, it should consider placing them inside the refuse collection point so that FEHD staff could collect the recyclables as they cleared the refuse from the refuse collection point daily.
- (b) As there were quite a number of restaurants at the vicinity of the Ma Wan Chung Village and that there were stains on the road, Members requested the FEHD to step up cleaning of the streets and the refuse collection point next to the Ma Wan Chung Pier concerned before year end. In addition, Members requested the FEHD to step up cleaning at the vicinity of the staircase leading from Yat Tung Estate to the Ma Wan Chung Village (including the section leading to the Ma Wan Chung Pier on the right and the section leading to the flyover on the left).
- (c) Members commended the FEHD for promptly dealing with the large wasps/wild bees nests in rural areas upon receiving relevant reports.

16. The Chairman said that Members could discuss matters relating to rodent prevention and control in detail later under agenda item IV. In addition, he said that he had followed up on the pest infestation at Yu Nga Court in Tung Chung last year together with the FEHD. In this regard, he enquired whether the situation had improved.

17. Mr FUNG Wai-nok gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) The FEHD had not recently received any complaints from residents of Yu Nga Court on pest infestation, for which it believed that the situation was now under control.
- (b) According to records, the DLO/Is carried out an operation at the vicinity of the Peng Chau Market to clear the miscellaneous articles there on 29 January this year. The FEHD would continue to strengthen communication and co-ordination with the DLO/Is regarding enforcement operations as necessary.

(Post-meeting note: Staff from the FEHD's Pest Control Advisory Section conducted an inspection at rodent black spots on Peng Chau on 12 February this year and provided professional advice, with a view to enhancing the effectiveness of rodent prevention and control work at the vicinity of the Peng Chau Market and its nearby refuse collection point.)

- (c) The FEHD would step up cleaning at the vicinity of the Ma Wan Chung Village before year end.
- (d) The FEHD had, in collaboration with the Fire Services Department, carried out wasps/wild bees nest removal operations in Tung Chung on 27 January this year. Members of the public who found such nests in public places might contact the FEHD for follow-up action.

18. Mr Esmond YAU responded that he would relay Members' views to the relevant section to request the glass management contractor to follow up on the matter, including reviewing the number of glass container recycling bins and the frequency of emptying them.

(Post-meeting note: The EPD had forwarded the above suggestions to the relevant section for follow-up after the meeting.)

19. The Chairman thanked the FEHD for its wasp and wild bee control work in rural areas.

IV. Food and Environmental Hygiene Department – Anti-rodent Campaign 2026 (Phase I) in Islands District  
(FEHC Paper No. 3/2026)

20. The Chairman asked Members to refer to FEHC Paper No. 3/2026, and invited the FEHD's representatives to present the paper.

21. Mr FUNG Wai-nok briefly presented the paper.

22. Members noted that the FEHD's paper stated that, should poor hygiene conditions be found in private premises/buildings, the Department would issue statutory notices to the owners, occupiers, owners' corporations or property management companies requiring them to carry out rodent control work, and would intervene directly to implement such work where necessary. In this regard, Members enquired about the penalties applicable to property managers who failed to comply with such statutory notices.

23. The Chairman asked whether the FEHD still used snap traps, cage traps and rodenticides, etc. in its rodent control work.

24. Mr FUNG Wai-nok gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) For property managers confirmed to be responsible for carrying out vermin disinfection work in relation to the proliferation of vermin at the buildings' common parts but failed to comply with the statutory notice issued, the FEHD might take enforcement action under the Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance having regard to the actual circumstances.

(Post-meeting note: The maximum penalty for non-compliance with a Notice of Elimination of Vermin was a fine at level 4 (\$25,000) and a daily fine of \$450.)

- (b) In addition to rodenticides and cage traps, the FEHD would also place T-shaped bait boxes at suitable locations and alcohol rodent trapping devices in refuse collection points. Furthermore, the Department would rotate the types of bait used from time to time to enhance the effectiveness of rodent control. The Department had been exploring new methods of rodent prevention and control. If Members had any suggestions in this regard, they were welcome to put them forward to the Department at any time.

25. The Chairman said that if Members had any views on rodent prevention and control work after the meeting, they might contact the FEHD directly.

## V. Any Other Business

26. Members expressed their views as follows:

- (a) The FEHD's routine cleansing work on Cheung Chau was carried out promptly and effectively. However, it was anticipated that the number of visitors to Cheung Chau would increase during the Chinese New Year holidays. As many visitors ate on the streets (particularly at the main square and the vicinity of the pier), the rubbish bins nearby were likely

to become overfilled easily. In this regard, Members enquired with the Department whether it would increase the frequency of emptying the rubbish bins concerned during the holiday period. In addition, as the utilisation rates of the portable toilets located on Cheung Chau Sai Tai Road and near the Pak Tai Temple were high, the Department should step up cleaning there during holidays.

- (b) The four entrances and staircases of the Cheung Chau Market were sometimes blocked by bicycles, affecting the citizens' safety as they entered and left the market. As the matter might involve several departments, including the FEHD and the DLO/Is, Members requested the FEHD to make co-ordinated efforts to follow up on the issue. Furthermore, some streets on Cheung Chau were obstructed by abandoned bicycles and miscellaneous articles sometimes, which did not only affect the pedestrians but also hindered the street cleansing work. Members noted that the FEHD and other relevant departments would carry out joint clearance operations on the streets concerned. In this regard, they asked the Department whether such operations would persist.
- (c) Members thanked the FEHD for promptly clearing the roadside weeds at the vicinity of Chung Yat Street near Mun Tai House in Mun Tung Estate, which had significantly improved the environment there. Members requested the Department to clear weeds regularly to maintain environmental hygiene.
- (d) Members had inspected the accumulation of fallen branches from roadside trees on Lantau Island together with the FEHD after the previous meeting, and noticed that the clearance work at some locations had been completed. However, as there were a large number of branches and that the clearance work involved several departments (including the FEHD, the LCSD, the DLO/Is and the Highways Department (HyD)), Members requested the FEHD to assist in liaising with the relevant departments to follow up on the matter, in order to expedite the clearance work.
- (e) Members enquired whether the relevant departments would take follow-up action (such as issuing advice and carrying out clearance operations) targeting the issue of accumulated miscellaneous articles along the Tung Chung East Promenade following their site inspection earlier.

(Post-meeting note: The Secretariat arranged for the Committee to conduct a joint publicity event with the FEHD and the LCSD at the Tung Chung East Promenade on 15 March 2026.)

- (f) Members raised the “question on the impact of aircraft noise during early-morning hours on Tung Chung residents” at the meeting on 13

October 2025, and a follow-up meeting was subsequently convened. In addition, it was mentioned at the meeting on 15 December 2025 that the matter would be followed up at a meeting of the Community Liaison Group (CLG) of the Airport Authority (AAHK). In this regard, Members enquired about the subsequent developments of the matter concerned.

- (g) The improvement works for the Yung Shue Wan Public Toilet on Lamma Island had been originally scheduled for completion by the end of December 2025, but the works progress remained slow. The Lamma Island (North) Rural Committee had sent three emails to the Architectural Services Department (ArchSD) regarding this matter, requesting the Department to urge the contractor to complete the works as soon as possible. Members emphasised that the public toilet was the only one in Yung Shue Wan, and that a number of events would be held in Yung Shue Wan in May this year (including the Tin Hau Festival, dragon boat races and the Hoi Luk Fung Vegetable Tea Festival), with a large number of visitors expected and a significant increase in the demand for the public toilet. Members therefore requested the FEHD to assist in urging the ArchSD to expedite the works.
- (h) Members requested the FEHD to step up cleaning of bin sites at the vicinity of Tung Chung Road before the Chinese New Year. In addition, the improvement works for the Sheung Ling Pei Public Toilet were about to commence, and portable toilets would be installed near the public toilet during the works period. Given that the public toilet was located near the Tung Chung Fort, Members anticipated that the portable toilets would be heavily used and therefore requested the FEHD to enhance cleaning efforts at that time.

27. Mr FUNG Wai-nok gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) The FEHD would strengthen enforcement, education and cleaning work in response to the increased number of visitors to Cheung Chau during the Chinese New Year holiday period.
- (b) The FEHD would routinely carry out enforcement against illegally parked bicycles at the entrances of the Cheung Chau Market. As for areas beyond the confines of the market, the Department would discuss solutions with relevant departments to prevent illegally parked bicycles from obstructing the roads. Furthermore, regarding the issue of refuse and miscellaneous articles on the streets of Cheung Chau, the Department would carry out joint enforcement operations with relevant departments.
- (c) The clearance of roadside weeds fell primarily under the purview of the HyD. Upon receiving relevant complaints, the FEHD would refer them

to the HyD for follow-up.

- (d) The FEHD would step up cleaning of portable toilets as necessary and would strengthen cleaning of bin sites at the vicinity of Tung Chung Road before the Chinese New Year.
- (e) The FEHD would continue to clear the roadside fallen tree branches on Lantau Island in an orderly manner, with a view to completing the clearance work as soon as possible before the next typhoon season.
- (f) Regarding the issue of accumulated miscellaneous articles along the Tung Chung East Promenade, the FEHD would step up hygiene education (such as distributing leaflets) during holidays and participate in relevant joint operations as appropriate.
- (g) The FEHD had written twice to remind the ArchSD to expedite the works for the Yung Shue Wan Public Toilet. It was noted that the ArchSD and the relevant contractor held a meeting to discuss the matter on 21 January this year, and the works were expected to be completed by the end of March this year. The FEHD would continue to monitor the situation and enquire with the ArchSD about the latest works progress in a timely manner.

(Post-meeting note: The ArchSD met with the relevant Members on 27 February this year to report on the latest progress of the works for the Yung Shue Wan Public Toilet on Lamma Island. The works were expected to be completed by mid-April this year.)

28. Mr MOK Mong-chan asked the relevant Members to provide the Secretariat with information on the specific locations and photographs of the illegally parked and abandoned bicycles on Cheung Chau, etc. after the meeting for follow-up action.

(Post-meeting note: The Secretariat had forwarded the information provided by Members to the relevant section of the Islands District Office. The Islands District Office, the DLO/Is, the FEHD and the Hong Kong Police Force conducted a joint operation near the Cheung Chau Market between 10 and 12 February 2026 to clear bicycles parked illegally there.)

29. The Chairman noted that following the Committee's earlier discussions, Members had subsequently raised the issue of aircraft noise again to the AAHK and the Civil Aviation Department (CAD) at a meeting of the AAHK's CLG to follow it up.

30. Members said that they had recently received an increasing number of comments on aircraft noise, and that the noise problem had a certain impact on the residents of Tung Chung (particularly those living in the Tung Chung New Town Extension area). Many residents were concerned about the progress of the follow-up work. In this regard, Members would like to liaise with the AAHK in due course to

gain a better understanding of the follow-up work undertaken by the AAHK and the CAD in response to their suggestions (including the installation of additional noise monitoring terminals at other locations and the enhancement of aircraft noise mitigation measures).

31. Mr MOK Mong-chan said that he would review the progress of the above matter with the Secretariat and consider appropriate follow-up actions after the meeting.

32. The Chairman noted that although the AAHK had claimed to have ensured that the arrangement for extending the operating hours of the South Runway complied with the Noise Exposure Forecast criterion, given that some Tung Chung residents reported that they were still affected by the noise, the Chairman requested the Secretariat to co-ordinate with the relevant departments to take appropriate follow-up actions.

(Post-meeting note: The Secretariat had assisted in liaising with the relevant departments after the meeting. The AAHK presented to and discussed with Members the latest development of the airport, the situation of cargo operations there and measures relating to noise mitigation, etc. at the meeting of the CLG held on 17 December 2025. Subsequently, it, together with the CAD and the EPD, conducted a site inspection at Tung Chung with Members of the Legislative Council and Islands District Council who were concerned about aircraft noise on the night of 5 March 2026, and explained the design of flight paths and the operational mode of the runways to them. The AAHK subsequently arranged for the Islands District Council to visit the Hong Kong International Airport from the night of 23 March to the early hours of 24 March 2026 to present and discuss the development of the airport, the night-time operation of the Airport Cargo Area and the night-time maintenance work for the runways.)

## VI. Date of Next Meeting

33. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:48 p.m. The next meeting would be held at 2:30 p.m. on 27 April 2026 (Monday).

-END-