

**Minutes of the 20th Meeting of  
the Leisure and District Facilities Management Committee  
of Kowloon City District Council**

Date: 28 March 2019 (Thursday)  
Time: 2:30 p.m.  
Venue: Conference Room, Kowloon City District Office

Present:

Chairman: Ir CHEUNG Yan-hong, MH

Temporary Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming\*

Vice-chairman:

Members: Mr TING Kin-wa (Left at 4:04 p.m.)  
Mr SIU Tin-hung, Terence  
Mr HO Hin-ming, BBS, MH  
Miss KWONG Po-yin  
Mr LAI Kwong-wai  
Mr YUE Chee-wing, Admond  
Mr LAM Tak-shing  
Mr YEUNG Chun-yu, Ronald (Left at 4:45 p.m.)  
Mr LAM Pok, Jimmy  
Mr SIU Leong-sing (Left at 4:45 p.m.)  
Mr LUK King-kwong (Arrived at 4:10 p.m.)  
Mr HE Huahan (Left at 4:45 p.m.)  
Mr YANG Wing-kit (Left at 4:15 p.m.)  
Mr LO Chiu-kit (Left at 3:55 p.m.)  
Mr NG Fan-kam, Tony  
Mr NG Po-keung, MH (Arrived at 3:27 p.m.)  
Mr PUN Kwok-wah, JP  
Mr CHO Wui-hung, MH (Left at 4:11 p.m.)  
The Hon LEE Wai-king, Starry, SBS, JP (Left at 5:42 p.m.)  
Mr KWAN Ho-yeung, Roger

Secretary: Miss WONG Wun-ling, Tina Executive Officer (District Council) 3, Kowloon City District Office

Absent: Ms LEUNG Yuen-ting\*

\* As the Vice-chairman, Ms LEUNG Yuen-ting, had taken a leave due to postnatal care and could not attend the meeting, Members agreed unanimously that Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming would stand in as the temporary Vice-chairman and co-chair this meeting.

<u>In Attendance:</u>	Miss LIU Suk-fun, Connie	Senior Liaison Officer (District Liaison) 2, Kowloon City District Office
	Ms KWOK Lai-kuen, Eva	Senior Executive Officer (District Management), Kowloon City District Office
	Mr YU Man-chun, Jeff	Executive Officer I (District Management), Kowloon City District Office
	Mr AU YEUNG Long, Calvin	Executive Officer (District Management), Kowloon City District Office
	Ms YEUNG Yuet-ngor, Brenda	District Leisure Manager (Kowloon City), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
	Ms POON Siu-la	Deputy District Leisure Manager (District Support) Kowloon City, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
	Ms LAI Mei-ling	Chief Leisure Manager (Kowloon), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
	Ms YEUNG Mei-ming, May	Assistant District Leisure Manager (Kowloon City) 1, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
	Ms HO Wing-sze, Marianna	Senior Manager (Kowloon West / Cultural Services), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
	Ms LAU Siu-mui, Lily	Manager (Kowloon West) Marketing, Programme & District Activities, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
	Mr LO Hing-kwan	Senior Librarian (Kowloon City), Leisure and Cultural Services

Miss CHEE Suk-mei, Tammy  
 Department  
 Librarian (To Kwa Wan Public  
 Library), Leisure and Cultural  
 Services Department

Attendance by Invitation:

Item 5	Mr CHAN Tsz-fung	Senior Executive Officer (Planning) 6, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
	Mr LAM Yiu-hon, Nevin	Senior Project Manager 323, Architectural Services Department
Item 6	Mr CHAN Muk-lee, Mark	Engineer / SCL (9), Highways Department
	Ms Jade FUNG	Public Relations Manager (Projects and Properties), MTR Corporation Limited
	Mr Jacky MAK	Construction Manager – SCL Civil, MTR Corporation Limited
	Mr NG Lap-kei	Senior Construction Engineer – Civil, MTR Corporation Limited
	Mr HO Hing-yip	Construction Engineer I – Civil, MTR Corporation Limited
	Mr CHEUNG Shu-fun	Liaison Engineer II, MTR Corporation Limited
Item 7	Mr TAI Sheung-shing, Victor	Project Director (Sports Park), Home Affairs Bureau
	Mr YUEN Hing-keung	Chief Leisure Manager (Recreation and Sport) 2, Home Affairs Bureau
	Mr MAN Moon-shing, Keith	Senior Engineer (Recreation and Sport) 1, Home Affairs Bureau
	Mr LAU Kai-ming	Project Director, Hip Hing Engineering Company Ltd.
	Mr WONG Wai-lun	Senior Project Manager, Hip Hing Engineering Company Ltd.
	Mr LAW Chun-yin	Senior Environmental Engineer,

Hip Hing Engineering Company  
Ltd.

Item 8                      Mr CHAN Tsz-fung                      Senior Executive Officer  
(Planning) 6, Leisure and Cultural  
Services Department

\* \* \*

**The Chairman** of the Leisure and District Facilities Management Committee (LDFMC) of the Kowloon City District Council welcomed all Member and representatives of government departments to the meeting and stated that a notice was received from Ms LEUNG Yuen-ting, the Vice-chairman, before the meeting that she had to take leave due to the need of postnatal care and could not attend the meeting. The **Committee** noted the matter.

2. According to Order 35(3) of the Kowloon City District Council Standing Orders (Standing Orders), committee meetings must be chaired by the Chairman. If the Chairman was unable to act or was absent from the meeting or if the office of the Chairman was vacant, the Vice-chairman would perform the duties of the Chairman (including chairing the committee meetings). If both the Chairman and the Vice-chairman were absent from a committee meeting, a temporary Vice-chairman would be selected among the committee members present through a simple majority voting system. The temporary Chairman would chair the meeting with the full power of the Chairman empowered by the Standing Orders. **The Chairman** stated that in order to ensure the smooth running of the meeting, he suggested electing a temporary Vice-chairman to help chair the meeting. Upon discussion, the Committee unanimously elected **Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming** to act as the temporary Vice-chairman to chair the present meeting.

3. Before proceeding to the discussion of agenda items, **the Chairman** reminded Members that if the matters to be discussed gave rise to conflict of interests with their property rights, profession or investment, they should make a declaration prior to the discussion so that he would consider if it was necessary to ask the members concerned to exit from the meeting during the discussion or voting. In addition, the Chairman stated that if during the meeting the number of attending members was less than 12, he would declare the adjournment of the meeting in

accordance with the Standing Orders. Finally, he reminded attendants at the meeting to switch off the alarm function of their mobile phone or switch it to vibration mode and remained quiet during the meeting.

### **Confirmation of Minutes of Last Meeting**

4. No amendment proposals were received by the **Secretariat** and minutes of the 19th meeting were confirmed unanimously by the **Committee**.

### **District Minor Works Projects**

#### **Minor Improvement Works for the Recreation Areas under the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) in 2019-20 (Paper No. 24/19)**

5. Ms POON Siu-la, Deputy District Leisure Manager (District Support) Kowloon City of Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) introduced the paper.

6. After discussion, the **Committee** endorsed the suggestions and funding for the following district minor works project:

<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>
(1) Urgent repairs and replacement of facilities or minor improvement works for the recreation areas under LCSD in 2019-20	\$1,900,000

7. **The Chairman** reminded Members to note the progress of the 17 funded district minor works projects led by LCSD in Appendix II and the report on the expenditure of the projects.

8. **Mr PUN Kwok-wah** raised the following enquiries and views:

- (i) as for the progress of Item 1 of the progress report in respect of the improvement works of the mini-stage cyclorama in Hoi Sham Park, he made enquiries on the arrangements for the music activities now being held at the venue; and

- (ii) he enquired whether the works of the noise monitoring system mentioned in Item 2 of the progress report were completed and asked about its commissioning date.

9. **Ms Brenda YEUNG, District Leisure Manager (Kowloon City) of LCSD** responded that :

- (i) the improvement works of the mini-stage cyclorama in Hoi Sham Park would commence in October. During the works period, activities that were held by the Department would be conducted at other locations. Organisations which were regular users of the park facilities were informed of such arrangements and it was learnt that they had made arrangements for their activities to be held at other locations; and
- (ii) the relevant works of the noise monitoring system was completed. The Department was now undertaking testing and the system would be commissioned as soon as possible.

**Minor Improvement Works for the Public Libraries in Kowloon City District under LCSD in 2019-20**  
**(Paper No. 25/19)**

10. **Mr LO Hing-kwan, Senior Librarian (Kowloon City) of LCSD** introduced the paper.

11. After discussion, the **Committee** endorsed the suggestions and funding for the following district minor works project:

<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>
(1) Improvements works to the CCTV monitoring system of To Kwa Wan Public Library	\$1,150,000

12. **The Chairman** stated that as the funding for the above project exceeded the ceiling approved by the Committee, the application should be submitted to the District Council (DC) for endorsement in order to obtain formal approval.

## **Matters Arising**

### **Follow-up with the Development Bureau on the Progress of Survey on Public Aspirations towards Hung Hom Harbourfront Urban Park (Paper No. 07/19)**

13. **The Chairman** asked Members to refer to the written reply by Development Bureau (DevB), Document No. 1 tabled.

14. **The Chairman** stated that the Department had responded in its written reply that it would analyse the views collected in the Survey on Public Aspirations and made a report to LDFMC later. He announced that the discussion on this agenda would not continue.

### **Request for Improving Kowloon Tsai Park (Paper No. 13/19)**

15. **Mr HO Hin-ming** raised the following enquires:

- (i) he hoped that the Department would reinstate the park and re-plant the trees that were damaged by the typhoon; and
- (ii) he enquired about the progress of the redevelopment of Kowloon Tsai Park Swimming Pool and its timetable.

16. **Mr CHAN Tsz-fung, Senior Executive Officer (Planning) 6 of LCSD** responded that the Architectural Services Department (ASD) had followed up the works in accordance with the schematic design earlier endorsed by the Committee but the works needed to be carried out according to the public works procedures.

17. **Mr LAM Yiu-hon, Nevin, Senior Project Manager 323 of ASD** stated that the Department made enhancement design upon the endorsement of the preliminary design by DC and the work was generally completed now. As the works were under Column 2 Use on the Notes of the Approved Outline Zoning Plan, the Department thus had to submit an application to the Town Planning Board (TPB). The application was approved on 1 February 2019 and the documents approved by relevant departments were being processed now.

18. **Mr HO Hin-ming** enquired about the timetable of the works.
19. **Mr CHAN Tsz-fung of LCSD** responded that the Department would apply for funding at LegCo in accordance with the public works procedures.
20. **Mr Nevin LAM, Senior Project Manager 323 of ASD** supplemented that detailed design was now being carried out to make preparations for the future tendering documents.
21. **Mr HO Hin-ming** hoped that the Department would continue to report the works progress to the Meeting regularly so that Members would learn of the progress and report it to the public.
22. **The Chairman** opined that Members would generally have a better understanding of the present works progress after the Department made a report at the meeting. He suggested Mr HO Hin-ming might follow up the matter at subsequent meetings and announced that there was no need to continue discussion on the agenda.

### **Discussion Matters**

#### **Construction Sites for the Shatin to Central Link in Kowloon City District (Paper No. 26/19)**

23. **Mr CHAN Muk-lee, Mark, Engineer/Shatin to Central Link 9 of Highways Department (HyD)** together with **Ms Jade FUNG, Public Relations Manager (Projects and Properties)** and **Mr CHEUNG Shu-fun, Liaison Engineer II of Mass Transit Railway Corporation (MTRC)** introduced Paper 26/19.
24. **The Hon Starry LEE** made the following enquires:
- (i) according to the estimates by MTRC, the Shatin to Central Link (SCL) would start commissioning in the middle of this year and the relevant works should be nearly completed. It was thus enquired why a big site was still occupied at present. MTRC was enquired whether it would surrender part of the site where works was completed for use by local residents; and
  - (ii) MTRC was enquired whether it would surrender other site in the middle of the year as expected apart from that of the triangular park.



25. **Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming** pointed out that works of SCL were nearly completed but MTRC still needed to occupy over half of the construction site of To Kwa Wan Station until the end of the year and it was worried that part of the site would be used as a car park or place of public entertainment.

26. **Mr YANG Wing-kit** raised the following questions and views:

- (i) he opined that MTRC should listen to Members' views and only acquired part of the works site for use and surrender the site that was not required. It was pointed out that at present a large part of the triangular park was used for purposes not related to works or laid idle by MTRC;
- (ii) he accepted the proposal made by MTRC to surrender the land by stages but pointed out that though triangular park was an important sitting-out area in the district, it was acquired for use by MTRC for over five years. He opined that MTRC should surrender more land of the triangular park for use by local residents; and
- (iii) he hoped that MTRC and the Department would improve the park facilities and greening after the park was re-opened. MTRC and the Department were also asked to provide more information on its facilities after the triangular park was re-opened.

27. The response of **Mr Jacky MAK, Construction Manager of Civil Engineering of SCL of MTRC** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) the internal works of To Kwa Wan Station and Sung Wong Toi Station were generally completed and testing was now underway. At present, works outside the site were mainly to reinstate the road surface and the public facilities;
- (ii) the materials placed inside the construction site would be removed as soon as possible to make way for more space for the reinstatement of the road surface;
- (iii) because of other restrictions, the best scenario was that MTRC would surrender triangular park in June, October and December by stages. He would team up with MTRC's engineering group to closely monitor

the works progress and would continue to explore the possibility for the soonest return of the triangular park and hoped to speed up the progress; and

- (iv) he confirmed that apart from the site of triangular park which would be returned in December, other land would be returned by the middle of the year.

28. **Mr NG Lap-kei, Senior Construction Engineer - Civil of MTRC**, in his reply, responded that the sitting-out area and public toilet near the station entrance/exit of Ma Tau Wai Road/To Kwa Wan Road Park would be surrendered in June. There would be fitness facilities for elders, a pavilion, benches and green plants inside the sitting-out area. As time was needed for the discussion with the relevant departments and the procurement of the fitness facilities, the fitness area for elders would be ready for use at the end of the year. The children's playground was located in another area of the park with different recreation facilities for children and leisure facilities as well. It was expected that the area would be returned to the Government at the end of the year.

29. The views of **Mr YANG Wing-kit** were consolidated as follows:

- (i) he opined that there was no provision of detailed information in respect of the park facilities provided by MTRC. The way adopted when the site beneath the Kowloon City Road flyover was surrendered should be used, that is, giving details on the types of facilities to be provided in the sitting-out area. He also viewed that MTRC should clearly list out the location of the fitness area for the elders and the children's playground in its layout plan and said that if MTRC did not provide details in respect of the planning of the park, he had reservations about the project;
- (ii) he pointed out that the part to be returned in December, as proposed by MTRC, was an office at present and its use was incompatible with the aim to provide support to the site. He opined that there was no need for MTRC to use that site until the end of the year and asked for an explanation from MTRC; and
- (iii) he pointed out that there was incompatibility between the triangular park and the outside roads and he asked MTRC to make elaborations

on road connection.

30. **Mr HO Hin-ming** enquired whether an entrance/exit would be provided in Sung Wong Toi Park near the exit of the pedestrian subway to facilitate access by pedestrians. He agreed to the provision of plenty of greenery in the park by the authorities concerned and suggested that plants of different species grown so as to add a colourful touch to the park.

31. **Mr Jimmy LAM** raised the following views:

- (i) he agreed to Mr YANG Wing-kit's views and opined that detailed information on the works and planning of facilities for the park should be provided by MTRC to avoid discrepancy between the expectations of the public and the actual conditions when returned. It was also worried that the noise nuisance caused by the works would impact park users. He quoted the conditions of Anhui Street as an example, stating that confusion was caused because MTRC did not provide clear details about the works and the planning details. Thus it was viewed that more detailed information on the planning of facilities for the triangular park should be provided by MTRC; and
- (ii) he pointed out that MTRC did not provide the hand-over date of the vacant site of the pedestrian crossing beneath the East Kowloon Corridor flyover near Kiang Hsi Street and he asked MTRC to explain the use of the site and its hand-over date.

32. The response of **Mr Jacky MAK of MTRC** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) the fitness area for elders was located at the front of the sitting-out area outside the station entrance/exit. As time was needed for the confirmation and purchase of the fitness facilities, it might not be possible to hand over the site in June. MTRC and the relevant departments would make efforts to complete the procedures as soon as possible so that the site could be surrendered and opened for use by the public;
- (ii) the pedestrian crossing works outside the triangular park was nearly completed. By then, members of the public could go to the park by crossing the road at Chi Kiang Street and To Kwa Wan Road;

- (iii) underground piping works at part of the site that would be surrendered at the end of the year were now being carried out and the noise nuisance caused might not as serious as that caused by the works of the retaining walls. Noise barrier installations would also be provided at the site by MTRC to reduce the impact of the works on the public by the works; and
- (iv) for the time being, no further information on the park facilities could be provided.

33. The views of **Mr HO Hing-yip, Construction Engineer I - Civil of MTRC** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) there would be four pedestrian subways at Olympic Garden, two of which would lead to Katherine Building and Argyle Street Playground. MTRC would also provide access in those two subways to connect with Sung Wong Toi Station. The other two pedestrian subways leading to Nam Kok Road and Olympic Avenue would help connecting the garden with its surrounding areas; and
- (ii) the number of green plants at the garden would be identical with that before the works were carried out and Members' views on the provision of more green plants would be forwarded to the relevant departments of MTRC.

34. **Mr YANG Wing-kit** mentioned that there were containers parking at the proposed fitness area for elders and **Mr NG Lap-kei of MTRC** supplemented that the containers were moved away already and drainage works were now being carried out at the site.

35. **Mr PUN Kwok-wah** raised the following views:

- (i) he suggested that arrangements be made by MTRC to explain to Members the planning of the park later;
- (ii) he suggested to plant more trees in the park;
- (iii) he opined that there were different demands by elders for fitness

facilities and discussions on the types of fitness facilities for the elders should be conducted with local DC members. He also viewed that as works at that site were completed, considerations should be made to open it for public use to be followed by the installation of fitness facilities for elders; and

- (iv) a small plot in Ma Tau Wai Road/To Kwa Wan Road was used by DC for lighting installation and he hoped that the authorities concerned would include the arrangements on lighting installation by DC in the planning design.

36. **Mr HO Hin-ming** raised the following questions to follow up the matters:

- (i) he stated that MTRC did not respond to his enquiry whether an entrance/exit would be provided at Sung Wong Toi Park near the side of the pedestrian subway; and
- (ii) he clarified that he hoped plants of different colours instead of more greening would be provided in the park.

37. **Mr YANG Wing-kit** raised the following views:

- (i) he viewed that there were meagre reasons for the surrender of the fitness area for elders in December and queried whether the drainage works would last for such a long time. He asked MTRC why the works were not carried out at the present stage;
- (ii) he enquired about the location of the entrance/exit of the triangular park and mentioned the road incompatibility problem in the surrounding areas. He viewed that the authorities concerned should carry out the road connection works;
- (iii) he opined that MTRC should discuss the right to manage the park with the relevant departments such as LCSD and asked MTRC to explain the details in respect of the management of the works not yet completed after the park was partially open as well as those of the planning of the park.

38. The response of **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) the Department would take DC's decisions as its final decisions;
- (ii) she stressed that all facilities must comply with the standards set by the Department. The part that was not surrendered must be enclosed by hoardings to protect the safety of park users; and
- (iii) she stated that if the facilities ordered were delivered earlier than scheduled, MTRC should advance the installation of those facilities.

39. **The Chairman** stated that if Members had views on the details of the planning of the park and its facilities, discussions could be held at the Working Group on the Shatin to Central Link or the next meeting of LDFMC. The present meeting should focus on discussing whether the Committee would accept the surrender of the triangular park site in stages by MTRC.

40. **Mr YANG Wing-kit** raised the following questions and views:

- (i) he reiterated that having regard to the overall works progress, he was willing to accept the option by MTRC to surrender the site by stages. However, he was strongly dissatisfied with MTRC for submitting the current option after being repeatedly urged by Members; and
- (ii) he stated that the representatives of MTRC failed to respond to his question about the timetable for the return of the fitness area for elders and raised the following questions: (i) why MTRC did not carry out the drainage works at the fitness area for elders at the present stage; (ii) why the above drainage works needed nearly eight months to complete and this was very unsatisfactory; (iii) MTRC was asked to explain why it needed approval by other departments for its works to be carried out at that site; (iv) MTRC was requested to provide details in respect of the overall planning of the park.

41. **The Hon Starry LEE** had the following views:

- (i) she stated that she was a DC member of the district;

- (ii) she opined that MTRC should surrender the site together with its facilities and should not just hand over the site and then carry out the installation of facilities. MTRC was asked to make a promise on this; and
- (iii) she requested MTRC to open the site earlier than scheduled if circumstances permitted and discuss the details of the park with the relevant departments, DC members and members of the public and provide a report to Members on the latest development when possible.

42. **Mr Jimmy LAM** had the following views:

- (i) he viewed that under the supervision of the public and Members, MTRC should be able to hand over the site of the triangular park earlier than scheduled. However, explanations on the relevant arrangements to avoid confusion must be provided; and
- (ii) he requested MTRC to explain the use of the area beneath the East Kowloon Corridor and enquired about the date that area would be surrendered.

43. **Mr LAM Tak-shing** opined that MTRC lacked planning in respect of its works and hoped that it would satisfactorily plan and complete its future works.

44. **The Chairman** stated that he understood Members' dissatisfaction and queries on the works of SCL. However, Members were asked to note that MTRC's options needed to be endorsed by Members before they would be gazetted and implemented. He stated that it might be necessary to arrange Members to have an on-site inspection for them to understand the planning of the works and to have further discussion on it.

45. **Mr LO Chiu-kit** stated that because of MTRC's unsatisfactory works records in the past, it was understandable that Members had expectations on MTRC. He asked MTRC to promise that it would be the last time that the works were delayed and that the site would be surrendered as scheduled to enlist support from Members, or else the works might be delayed again by MTRC under the excuse that it needed to be gazetted in an attempt to force Members to support and that might erode the functions of the Committee.

46. The response of **Mr Mark CHAN, Engineer/Shatin to Central Link 9 of HyD** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) he understood the concern of Members on the temporary occupation of the triangular park as the works site of SCL and the progress of its reinstatement. It was stated that the Department was making close contact with MTRC and LCSD on the matter;
- (ii) because of the considerable scale of road closure at Anhui Street and its extensive coverage, MTRC thus started discussion with the Department in mid-2018 to improve the works and to reduce the traffic congestion and the impact on the public that might be caused by the road closure works. The road closure works at Anhui Street would temporarily occupy part of the traffic lane making the traffic lane of Ma Tau Wai Road off Anhui Street more slightly eastwards and would occupy part of the site of the triangular park, which was one of the reasons that hindered the progress of the reinstatement works of the triangular park;
- (iii) the Department was very willing to consult Members on the provision of facilities for elders after the reinstatement of the triangular park in order to understand the views of Members and the residents as well as the requests by LCSD. The Department would also explore arrangements for planting different types of plants with MTRC; and
- (iv) the drainage works mentioned earlier by MTRC were carried out in the area that was scheduled to be returned to LCSD at the end of June and it was supplemented that such drainage works would be completed before the end of June. If the fitness facilities ordered by MTRC were not on the approved list, extra time was required by relevant departments to complete the approval procedures before orders could be placed. The Department would offer help to MTRC so that discussions with relevant departments to expedite the approval procedures could be held.

47. **Mr Jacky MAK of MTRC** responded that careful consideration had been made in respect of the timetable given to the meeting and promise was made that if the works were carried out smoothly, the site would be surrendered as scheduled. He reiterated that the underground works at the park would be completed by June. However, as time was needed to order the fitness facilities for elders, thus that



location of the park would not be open as scheduled. He stated that Members' views would be considered and that site would be open for public use as a sitting-out area earlier than scheduled.

48. **Mr Roger KWAN** enquired about the types of fitness facilities to be purchased and the procurement progress of the facilities. He opined that there was plenty of room for advancing the return of the site. He was willing to maintain close contact with the relevant departments and MTRC and hoped that MTRC would provide more information and invite Members' participation and assistance for the earlier return of the site.

49. **Mr YANG Wing-kit** suggested accepting MTRC's proposal with conditions. If MTRC could not meet some of the requirement during the course of the works, the works could be suspended immediately. He stated that MTRC still failed to provide convincing replies that the drainage works of the fitness area for elders needed to be carried out until December.

50. **The Chairman** enquired whether MTRC could still gazette its works under the circumstances that its proposal was accepted with conditions. He stated that DC was only a consultation body and had no right to terminate the works.

51. **Mr Mark LEE of HyD** responded that before gazetting, it would depend on whether a consensus on the conditions was reached and stated that the Meeting's requirements needed to be met before gazetting could proceed.

52. **Mr YANG Wing-kit** stated that if the fitness area for elders was surrendered in June earlier than scheduled, he would accept MTRC's proposal.

53. **The Hon Starry LEE** enquired whether the final surrender date would be further deferred by MTRC's works delay. He asked MTRC to keep Members informed of the latest news and proceed with the works as quickly as possible.

54. **Mr Jacky MAK of MTRC** responded that all works of the fitness area for elders would be completed by June and the area could not be handed over at the same time because the approval and procurement of the fitness facilities were pending. The Department needed six months to process the application for fitness facilities not included on the approval list and their procurement, production and delivery would need two to three months while their installation would need about one month. The relevant departments were now helping to expedite the approval procedures and the

installation might be completed before December. MTRC would actively consider opening that area as a sitting-out area and paving it with shockproof mats.

55. Members noted the latest arrangements in respect of the SCL works sites in Kowloon City.

### **Kai Tak Sports Park**

**(Paper No. 27/19)**

56. **Mr TAI Sheung-shing, Victor, Project Director (Sports Park) of Home Affairs Bureau**, together with **Mr WONG Wai-lun, Senior Project Manager of Hip Hing Engineering Co. Ltd.** introduced the paper.

57. **Mr HO Hin-ming** had the following views:

- (i) he opined that Members should, as early as possible, have knowledge of the information of Kai Tak Sports Park but the information including successful bidders was not provided in the paper and Members were not informed of the details provided by the Department. He stated that Members could only have knowledge of the successful bidders of the Kai Tak Sports Park from the media;
- (ii) he pointed out that the large scale of the project involved infrastructure, environmental hygiene, traffic etc. and viewed that the representatives of the relevant departments should attend the meeting to answer questions in respect of the above areas; and
- (iii) he opined that the introduction given by the Department was too simple and information provided was very inadequate such as there was no mentioning about the phone number of the community liaison hotline, drainage, traffic connection, car park arrangements etc. which involved future operation and the Department was asked to provide more detailed information on the above matters.

58. **Mr HE Huahan** had the following views:

- (i) he stated that the illegal parking problem of dump trucks at Shing Kai Road, Muk On Street and Sung Wong Toi Road was very serious at night. He pointed out that the drivers of the illegally parked vehicles

would remove the markings bearing the project signs. The problem could not be solved effectively as the engineering company had to go through complicated procedures to identify the illegally parked dump trucks by way of the positioning system. He suggested placing significantly identifiable labels on the dump trucks or providing the licence plate numbers of the dump trucks engaged in the projects of the Kai Tak Sports Park to facilitate report by the public or Members on the illegally parked dump trucks; and

- (ii) the dump trucks were welcome to use the route of the Tseung Kwan O Refill Bank but it was found that some dump trucks did not use that route. He opined that the engineering company should ensure that the drivers use that route and hoped that the Department and the engineering company would enhance the enforcement of the relevant measures.

59. **Mr Ronald YEUNG** had the following views:

- (i) he agreed to the views of Mr HE Huahan and opined that the illegal parking problem of dump trucks in the vicinity of the works site was very serious. Thus the engineering company needed to provide more easily identifiable labels on the dump trucks; and
- (ii) he was of the view that the present arrangements would lead to serious traffic congestion in the vicinity of Sung Wong Toi Road and thus he agreed to the views by Mr HO Hin-ming. The Department should forward this paper to DC and other relevant departments for discussion. He hoped that the Department would provide improvement suggestions at the next meeting.

60. **Mr PUN Kwok-wah** agreed to the views of Mr HO Hin-ming and said that insufficient information about the sports park was provided to DC which only got the information from the newspapers and the Department also did not provide full details leading to doubts of Members. He suggested setting up a working group or to conducting a special meeting to discuss the problems of the sports park and Members interested in the issue were invited to join.

61. **Dr KWONG Po-yin** had the following views:

- (i) she viewed that the Department and the engineering company should use easily identifiable labels on the dump trucks to facilitate their easy identification by members of the public or Members such as using hoppers of the same colour. She also viewed that the engineering company should make arrangements for the same batch of dump trucks to undertake transport duties;
- (ii) she suggested adopting smart measures to coordinate with the positioning system to help identifying parking and detecting the abnormal parking duration of the dump trucks as well for the issue of warning to their drivers. In addition, such information should be disclosed to the members of the public and Members to further combat illegal parking;
- (iii) she opined that the Department and the engineering company should impose heavier penalty on the offending drivers and submit reports to DC for follow-up actions and review; and
- (iv) she pointed out that the dump trucks had impacted the residents in different ways. Apart from illegal parking and exhaust emission, the falling debris might make the road dirty and also might damage the road. The Department and the engineering company should find out solutions to the various problems caused by the dump trucks.

62. **Mr Victor TAI of the Home Affairs Bureau** responded that after the contract of the sports park was approved by the Government, every effort was made to provide an early report to DC. The successful bidder of the project was Kai Tak Sports Park Limited which was responsible for the design of the sports park, its construction and operation. Kai Tak Sports Park Limited only provided the preliminary design at the tendering stage and was now working on the detailed and enhancement design and more detailed information including infrastructure, traffic etc. would be provided to Members later.

63. The response of **Mr WONG Wai-lun of Hip Hing Engineering Co. Ltd.** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) he stated that at present no arrangements were made by the company to involve dump trucks in the works of the sports park and the dump

trucks mentioned by Members should be engaged in other projects;

- (ii) he agreed to Members' views that awareness of the dump truck drivers should be enhanced and strict compliance be required from them. The company had a punishment mechanism in place and its drivers were already informed of such a mechanism; and
- (iii) the company noted the concern of Members on the identification of the dump trucks and would explore ways to enhance and improve the measures.

64. **Mr HE Huahan** had the following views:

- (i) it was worried that the problems generated by the dump trucks would further aggravate after the commencement of the works of the sports park;
- (ii) the engineering company was requested to solve the identification problem of dump trucks so that the public and Members could follow up the contraventions;
- (iii) it was suggested that the engineering company studied the feasibility of using conveyance belts to transport the debris from the site in the south to the temporary runway refill bank and that would help reduce the frequency of transfer of debris by dump trucks; and
- (iv) it was suggested that apart from the community liaison hotline, a Members' dedicated line or instant messaging groups be set up for the provision of additional channels for Members to make enquiries or complaints about contraventions.

65. **Mr HO Hin-ming** agreed to the views of Mr PUN Kwok-wah and advised that a working group should be set up to discuss matters in relation to the Kai Tak Sports Park.

66. **Dr KWONG Po-yin** enquired about the ways employed by the engineering company to penalise the drivers in order to ensure that they would comply with the regulations. She suggested the engineering company to disclose data about the penalties to Members and the public.

67. **Mr LUK King-kwong** stated that Members' views on the agenda were mostly related to the traffic/transport matters and opined that the paper should be forwarded to the Traffic and Transport Committee for discussion and the relevant departments should also be invited for discussion. He also agreed to the opinions of Mr PUN Kwok-wah who said a working group might be set up to discuss the matter.

68. **Mr Victor TAI of the Home Affairs Bureau** responded that the community liaison hotline had already started operation and its number was 5587 6112.

69. The response of **Mr WONG Wai-lun of Hip Hing Engineering Co. Ltd.** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) the company had studied the feasibility of using conveyance belts and as they would pass by other work sites and involve risks, the proposal was thus infeasible; and
- (ii) the company would bar the offender drivers and dump trucks from entering the works site.

70. **The Chairman** stated that Kai Tak Sport Park was a major project in Kowloon City and its discussion would involve the whole District Council and Members might follow up the agenda by other means.

**Request for Finalising the Construction of a Building Complex with Kai Tak Library, an Indoor Heated Swimming Pool and an Indoor Sports Arena Soon (Paper No. 28/19)**

71. Paper No. 28/19 was jointly signed by Mr YANG Wing-kit, Mr CHO Wui-hung, Mr Jimmy LAM, Ir CHEUNG Yan-hong, Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming, Mr LO Chiu-kit, Miss LEUNG Yuen-ting, Mr HE Huahan and Mr Admond YUE. **The Chairman** asked Members to refer to the written reply of LCSD, Document No. 2 tabled.

72. **Mr HE Huahan** introduced Paper No. 28/19.

73. **Mr CHAN Tsz-fung of LCSD** responded that the project was at its preliminary stage at present and the Department needed to consult relevant

departments on technical issues before it could proceed with the works. °

74. **Mr HE Huahan** was dissatisfied with the progress of the project and hoped that LCSD would help arranging the relevant departments such as the Planning Department to meet Members to discuss the progress of the works.

75. **Mr CHAN Tsz-fung of LCSD** stated that he was willing to arrange for the above meeting.

**Request for Providing More Public Fitness Rooms in Kowloon City District to Relieve the Problem of Crowdedness at the Existing Facilities**

**(Paper No. 29/19)**

**Request for Finalising the Provision of Fitness Facilities at Hung Hom Municipal Services Building Soon**

**(Paper No. 37/19)**

76. **The Chairman** stated that as Paper No. 29/19 and Paper No. 37/19 centred on related issues and, after consulting Members, he declared that they would be discussed at the same time.

77. Paper No. 29/19 was jointly submitted by Mr CHO Wui-hung, Mr YANG Wing-kit, Mr LO Chiu-kit, Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming, Mr TING Kin-wa, Mr Admond YUE and Mr Jimmy LAM while Paper No. 37/19 was submitted by Mr LAM Tak-shing. **The Chairman** invited Members to refer to the written reply by LCSD, Document No. 3 tabled.

78. **Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming** introduced Paper No. 29/19.

79. **Mr LAM Tak-shing** introduced Paper No. 37/19.

80. The response of **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) the Department conducted an on-site inspection at Hung Hom Municipal Services Building together with Ir CHEUNG Yan-hong, Mr PUN Kwok-wah, Mr YANG Wing-kit, representatives of local residents and representatives of parents on 13 June 2018. They unanimously agreed to convert part of the children's game room on the fifth floor into a fitness room and the remaining space would continue

to be used as children's game room. The conference room on the fifth floor would be converted into a children's game room with different themes;

- (ii) the engineering division of the Department had conducted an on-site inspection and feasibility studies and replied that the suggestions were feasible technically. The engineering division already provided an estimation on the cost at the beginning of 2019;
- (iii) the Department consulted the relevant sports associations on the layout plan of the fitness room and the installation of fitness equipment. The Department would continue to estimate the cost for the purchase of the fitness equipment for the whole project;
- (iv) as the redevelopment works would cause noise nuisance to the library on the floor above, approval of the building management committee was required and the works would be carried out when the library was not open. In addition, as fees would be levied after the redevelopment, an application needed to be made to the Government Property Agency and the Property Vetting Committee. Follow-up actions with the relevant departments and the Committee would be taken by the Department; and
- (v) it was estimated that funding application would be submitted to the Committee by the Department in 2019/20 for the soonest commencement of the works. Regular reports on works progress would be provided to LDFMC and the District Facilities Management Committee.

**Concern over Suspected Collection of Charges for Square Dancing at To Kwa Wan Recreation Ground**  
**(Paper No. 30/19)**

81. Paper No. 30/19 was submitted jointly by The Hon Starry LEE, Mr PUN Kwok-wah and Mr Roger Kwan. **The Chairman** asked Members to refer to the written reply of LCSD, Document No. 4 tabled.

82. **Mr Roger KWAN** introduced the paper.



83. **The Hon Starry LEE** supplemented that the collection of charges mentioned above might sometimes involve pornography and he asked about the combat actions taken by LCSD and the Home Affairs Department.

84. The response of **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) she stated that if the park users using the facilities did not cause any nuisance to other people, the park staff would not interfere. However, if the noise level became too high during activities or there were complaints by other members of the public, the park staff would in the first place advise them not to do so and if it was to no avail, the park staff would, in consideration of the actual circumstances, considered taking prosecution against the offenders under the “Pleasure Ground Regulation” if there was material evidence;
- (ii) when unauthorised persons charged fees or sold commercial products to the public at the venues of LCSD, they might have contravened the “Pleasure Ground Regulation. When they were found by the park staff acting in this way, they would be reminded and advised not to do so and if they continued with their acts, the park staff would consider taking enforcement actions given sufficient evidence;
- (iii) there were more organisations arranging dancing with music in the recreation ground in the evening and if the park staff found that the noise level caused nuisance to other people or the activities obstructed the pedestrian access during regular inspections, they would be advised not to do so and they would usually co-operate;
- (iv) the Department received two complaints on noise nuisance at To Kwa Wan Recreation Ground. Before the TV broadcast, the Department was enquired about the collection of charges during square dancing. Immediate enquiries were made by its staff but denial was made by the responsible persons of the organisations concerned. No collection of charges was found by the Department during its inspections and also no pornography was found to be involved in those activities; and
- (v) the staff had reiterated the main points of the recreation ground regulation reminding the responsible persons of organisations to

control the number of participants to avoid creating high level of noises and obstructing the access causing nuisance to other park users. The Department would closely monitor the use of To Kwa Wan Recreation Ground and if contravention by the park users was found, appropriate follow-up actions would be taken.

85. **Mr YU Man-chun, Jeff, Executive Officer 1 (District Management) of Kowloon City District Office (KCDO)** stated that he was willing to maintain communication and coordinate with LCSD on the collection of charges during square dancing.

86. **Dr KWONG Po-yin** raised the following views and enquiries:

- (i) she enquired whether the Department would consider taking the decoy operations to investigate whether such activities involved contraventions;
- (ii) she enquired about the actions to be taken by the Department to prevent and deal with the noise nuisance problem; and
- (iii) she asked the Department to explain the inspection flow and methods.

87. **Mr LUK King-kwong** stated that collection of charges during square dancing ran rampant in the district and he was surprised that it was not discovered by the Department. He opined that more thorough investigation on the matter should be conducted by the Department and enforcement actions should be taken prudently and competently.

88. **Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming** stated there was high definition CCTV at the recreation ground and believed that the Department was able to collect relevant evidence. In view of the reports by the media and complaints by Members, actions in response to public concern should be taken by the Department.

89. **Mr LAI Kwong-wai** pointed out that noise nuisance caused by square dancing at To Kwa Wan Recreation Ground had continued for a long time and the reports by the media triggered off public concern over the collection of charges. He opined that the offenders might resort to different ways to avoid inspection and enforcement action by the Department. As such, he agreed to the decoy operations to be taken by the Department for investigating the matter and asked the Department

to provide definitions on “material evidence”.

90. The response of **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) she reiterated that the staff of the Department found no collection of charges from participants during their inspections and the persons responsible for the activities also denied collecting charges from participants;
- (ii) the Department had studied the internal guidelines and the staff conducting the inspections did not wear uniform and would not inform the relevant persons about the inspection and investigation; and
- (iii) the Department had enhanced inspection and if there were contraventions and material evidence, the offenders would be prosecuted.

91. **Dr KWONG Po-yin** believed that the media would follow up the matter and she suggested the Department to report the further combat actions it was going to take to the DC members. She agreed that the Department should not interfere with the activities that did not cause any nuisance but it must take care of the needs of different stakeholders. She suggested the Department to advise the participants to use ear phones to listen to the music in order to reduce the nuisance caused to other users.

92. **Mr LUK King-kwong** stated that the Department should check whether there were any loopholes in the investigation methods or modes in use at present.

93. **Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming** raised the following questions and views:

- (i) he enquired whether the Department had asked the complainants about the collection of charges and they should be asked to testify when required; and
- (ii) he suggested inviting staff of other districts to join the decoy operations or investigations to avoid the possibility of local staff harbouring offenders as well as to enhance the credibility of the investigation findings.

94. **Mr Admond YUE** appreciated the efforts made by the Department in tackling the problem and suggested that joint actions be taken with the police in case there was doubt about any contravention.

95. **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** noted the views of Members and promised to try to tackle the problem with different means. She stressed that the Department would enhance inspection and take stringent enforcement actions in case there were any contravention and would not harbour the offenders.

### **Concern over the Repair of Facilities at Hutchison Park**

**(Paper No. 31/19)**

### **Request for Speedy Repairs of Facilities and Road Surfaces Damaged by Typhoons**

**(Paper No. 32/19)**

96. **The Chairman** stated that as Paper No. 31/19 and Paper No. 32/19 centred on related issues and after consulting Members, he declared that they would be discussed at the same time.

97. Paper No. 31/19 was submitted by Mr Admond YUE and Paper No.32/19 jointly by Mr YANG Wing-kit, Mr CHO Wui-hung, Mr Jimmy LAM, Ir CHENG Yan-hong, Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming, Mr LO Chiu-kit, Miss LEUNG Yuen-ting, Mr HE Huahan, Mr Admond YUE and Mr TING Kin-wa. **The Chairman** asked Members to refer to the written reply of LCSD, Document No. 5 and No. 6 tabled.

98. **Mr Admond YUE** introduced Paper No. 31/19. He hoped that the Department would complete the repairs of the facilities of the park soon.

99. **Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming** introduced Paper No 32/19.

100. The response of **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) she had an inspection at Hutchison Park with Mr Admond YUE after the typhoon and found that the big collapsed trees were removed. The engineering division had purchased the materials for repairing the stairs and railings but as their acquisition needed time, it was expected that the works would be completed in June. The paving of the

pedestrian walkway was already completed on 18 March; and

- (ii) the Department had removed the trees and broken branches that might cause danger according to priority and the stumps on the roads were generally removed and re-planting was being arranged at present. The Department would maintain close contact with HyD in order to complete repairing the damaged road surfaces as soon as possible.

**Request for Installing Canopies at the Empty Space next to the Pebble Walking Path at Junction Road Park**

**(Paper No. 33/19)**

**Request for Providing Leisure Facilities at Broadcast Drive Garden and Kowloon Tsai Park**

**(Paper No. 34/19)**

**Request for Reviewing the Fitness Facilities in Ho Man Tin District**

**(Paper No. 35/19)**

**Request for Renewing the Fitness Facilities at Ho Man Tin East Service Reservoir Playground**

**(Paper No. 36/19)**

**Request for Providing Fitness Bikes for Elders and Further Request for Improving the Design for the Roof of the Pavilion at Carpenter Road Park to Resist the Rain**

**(Paper No. 38/19)**

**Concern over the Planning Proposal for Man Fuk Road Garden**

**(Paper No. 39/19)**

101. **The Chairman** stated that as Paper No. 33/19 to Paper No. 36/19 and Paper No. 38/19 to Paper No. 39/19 centred on related issues and after consulting Members, he declared that those six agenda items would be discussed at the same time. **The Chairman** asked Members to refer to the written reply of LCSD, Document No. 7 - 12 tabled.

102. Paper No. 33/19 and 34/19 were submitted by Mr HO Hin-ming and Paper No. 35/19 and 39/19 were submitted by Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming. Paper No. 36/19 was jointly submitted by The Hon Starry LEE and Mr LUK King-kwong while Paper No. 38/19 was jointly submitted by The Hon Starry LEE and Mr. NG PO-keung.

103. **Mr HO Hin-ming** introduced Paper No. 33/19 and 34/19. He supplemented that he hoped the Department would provide recreation facilities

suitable for use by children above the age of 10 at the children's playground at Kowloon Tsai Park, provide more plants in the vicinity of the recreation facilities of Kowloon Tsai Park and install mosquito trapping devices at Broadcast Drive Garden.

104. **Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming** introduced Paper No. 35/19 and 39/19.

105. **Mr LUK King-kwong** introduced Paper No. 36/19 and suggested the Department to pay heed to the durability of the recreation facilities when ordering them. He also enquired about the progress of the funding application for additional fitness facilities.

106. **Mr NG Po-keung** introduced Paper No. 38/19.

107. The response of **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) in response to Paper No. 33/19, she said that the Department would conduct a review and take follow-up actions after the completion of the works of the rain corridor;
- (ii) in response to Paper 34/19, she said that the Department would study with the technical group and a report would be made to the Committee in due course. At present, there were 38 groups of fitness facilities for use by different users (including the wheel-chair users) and there would be environmental improvement works. As for the facilities for the children's playground, studies would be made by the Department again;
- (iii) in response to Paper No. 35/19, she suggested that members of the public should read the user guides placed on the facilities carefully before using the fitness facilities. She also stated that the Department was now exploring the need to fix additional user guides on the facilities to ensure the safety of the users. In addition, the Department also considered using DC resources to hire coaches to provide guidance to the elders on the correct way to use the fitness facilities at the park on a rotation basis;
- (iv) in response to Paper No. 36/19, she stated that the high wear and tear rate of the recreation facilities might be due to the high utilisation rate

of the playground and that most of the facilities were placed outdoors. The staff of the Department found at the end of February 2019 that two groups of fitness facilities in that playground were damaged and immediate closure for repairs was arranged. The repairs were completed on 7 March. Also, funding application was made by the Department for the addition of two groups of fitness facilities for the playground and the works were included into this year's facilities improvement works;

- (v) in response to Paper No. 38/10, it was said the Department suggested that fitness facilities involving the use of the upper and lower limbs or training of different parts of the body be provided in the fitness area for elders at Carpenter Road Park and a feasibility study was conducted with the technical group. However, it might not be possible to provide the same type of facilities, as shown in the photos of the Paper. In addition, the Department had commenced the design works to improve the roof of the pavilion of the park and the works were expected to be completed by May 2019; and
- (vi) in response to Paper No. 39/19, it was said that HyD would re-plant 10 trees on the completion of the works of the lift and pedestrian access, improve and provide additional flower beds and relocate the six long benches and the two rain shelters. Furthermore, the Department expected that people flow at the garden would increase after its re-opening and was now considering removing the flower bed at the centre of the garden to provide more space for use by the public. The Department would also carry out landscaping works and place mosquito trapping devices at appropriate locations and increase their number when circumstances warranted it. As for the fencing on the slope, having regard to the safety problem, the Department would make a decision after consulting the engineering department.

108. **Mr Tony NG** pointed out that some of the recreation facilities in the district were too simple and their utilisation rate was low. For some popular facilities, users got to queue up for their use. He opined that the Department needed to conduct a review on the recreation facilities for the whole Kowloon City District and suggested the Department to provide facilities involving the use of both upper and lower limbs or training of different parts of the body.

**Request for Following up the Problems of Mosquito and Rodent Infestation at Parks in Kowloon City District**  
**(Paper No. 40/19)**

109. Paper No. 40/19 was jointly submitted by Mr YANG Wing-kit, Mr CHO Wui-hung, Mr Jimmy LAM, Ir CHEUNG Yan-hong, Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming, Mr LO Chiu-kit, Miss LEUNG Yuen-ting, Mr HE Huahan, Mr Admond YUE and Mr TING Kin-wa. **The Chairman** asked Members to refer to the written reply of LCSD, Document No. 13 tabled.

110. **Ir Dr CHENG Lee-ming** introduced Paper No. 40/19.

111. **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** responded that the Department had deployed additional resources for hiring cleansing contractors to work at different venues.

**Request for Providing a Pet Garden**  
**(Paper No. 41/19)**

112. Paper No. 41/19 was jointly submitted by The Hon Starry LEE, Mr PUN Kwok-wah and Mr Roger KWAN. **The Chairman** asked Members to refer to the written reply of LCSD, Document No. 14 tabled.

113. **Mr PUN Kwok-wah** introduced the paper. He hoped that the Department would conduct public consultations on the provision of a pet garden to collect residents' views as soon as possible.

114. **Mr Roger KWAN** asked the Department to respond to the request for a pet garden at the King Wan Street Sitting-out Area.

115. The response of **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** was consolidated as follows:

- (i) at present, there were three pet gardens in Kowloon City at Kowloon Tsai Park, Sheung Shing Street Park and Hung Ling Street Sitting-out Area respectively. Also two new pet gardens would be provided at Sung Wong Toi Playground and Kai Tak Station Park. Kai Tak Runway Park joined the Trial Scheme of "Inclusive Park for Pets" on 1 January 2019;



- (ii) the Department was taking an open and positive attitude towards the provision of pet gardens at its venues. However, it had to balance the needs of the animal carers and other users at the venues. As the Kwei Chow Street/Yut Yat Street Sitting-out Area was close to the residential area and schools, thus the suggestions needed to be considered prudently. If support was given by DC and local residents, the Department would take follow-up actions such as conducting public consultations; and
- (iii) King Wan Street Sitting-out Area was not managed by the Department.

116. **Mr PUN Kwok-wah** pointed out that the present pet gardens in the district were far away from To Kwa Wan District and its residents might not use them. He viewed that the Department should conduct public consultations on the soonest provision of a pet garden at Kwei Chow Street/Yut Yat Street Sitting-out Area and asked HAD to respond to the request for a pet garden at King Wan Street Sitting-out Area.

117. **Mr Jeff YU of KCDO** stated that King Wan Street Sitting-out Area was managed jointly by different departments and at present, pets were not barred from entering the sitting-out area and in response to the suggestions by Members, KCDO would discuss with other departments on the provision of pet-friendly facilities such as dung collection boxes, improvements to its existing facilities, etc.

118. **The Chairman** asked Mr PUN Kwok-wah whether he would seek support for the provision of a pet garden from DC at the present meeting.

119. **Mr PUN Kwok-wah** stated that as the Department needed the support of DC before it could take follow-up actions, he thus hoped the Committee would support the proposal.

120. **The Chairman** stated that the Committee had no objection to the proposal and the Department might proceed with follow-up actions.

121. **Ms Brenda YEUNG of LCSD** stated that public consultation would be conducted through KCDO.

### **Departmental Reports**

#### **LCSD's Report on the Sports and Physical Recreation Activities Organised in Kowloon City District and the Management of Facilities (Paper No. 42/19)**

122. **Ms POON Siu-la of LCSD** introduced the paper.

123. **The Committee** noted the above paper.

#### **LCSD's Report on the District Free Entertainment Programmes, District Arts and Cultural Activities Organised in Kowloon City District and the Use of Cultural Facilities (Paper No. 43/19)**

124. **Ms HO Wing-sze, Marianna of LCSD** introduced the paper.

125. **The Committee** noted the above paper.

#### **LCSD's Report on Public Library Promotion Activities and Use of Library Facilities in Kowloon City District and the Progress of District Minor Improvement (Paper No. 44/19)**

126. **Mr LO Hing-kwan of LCSD** introduced the paper.

127. **The Committee** noted the above paper.

### **Any Other Business**

128. On 8 February, the Secretariat, in accordance with the Chairman's instructions, forwarded the letter of Energizing Kowloon East Office of DevB on organising weekend market at the end of the Kai Tak Runway to Members for their reference.

**Date of Next Meeting**

129. There being no other business, the **Chairman** declared that the meeting was adjourned at 5:53 p.m. Members were informed that the next meeting would be held at 2:30 p.m. on 30 May 2019 (Thursday) and the deadline for the submission of documents was 15 May 2019.

130. The minutes of this meeting were confirmed on 30 May 2019.

---

Chairman

---

Secretary

Kowloon City District Council Secretariat  
May 2019