

(Translation)

**Minutes of the 8th Meeting of the  
7th Term Kwun Tong District Council (Full Council)**

**Date: 6 March 2025 (Thursday)**

**Time: 2:30 p.m. – 5:04 p.m.**

**Venue: Conference Room, Kwun Tong District Office,  
Unit 05-07, 20/F, Millennium City 6, 392 Kwun Tong Road,  
Kwun Tong, Kowloon**

**Chairman**

Mr HO Lap-ki, Denny, JP

**Members**

Mr YU Siu-lun

Mr YU Man, MH

Mr YU Ka-ming

Mr NG Sing-wah

Mr NG Ting-fung

Mr LUI Tung-hai, MH

Ms LEE Shuk-woon, Sophia

Mr LEE Ka-hang

Mr FONG Yat-kwan

Mr LAM Fung, MH

Mr LAM Wai

Ms KAM Kin

Mr OR Chong-shing, Wilson, MH

Mr HUNG Kam-in, MH

Mr MA Yat-chiu, MH

Mr CHEUNG Yiu-pan

Mr CHEUNG Pui-kong

Mr CHEUNG Ki-tang, MH

Ms LEUNG Sze-wan

Ms FU Pik-chun, MH

Mr HSU Yau-wai

Mr LIN Ho-man, MH

Mr CHAN Yiu-hung, Jimmy, MH

Mr TSANG Wing-fai

Ms CHING Hoi-yan

Ms FENG Yunsi

Mr WONG Chun-ping, MH, JP

Mr WONG Kai-san

Ms YU Juliana

Ms ZHAN Baoyu

Mr LAU Kar-wah

Mr AU YEUNG Kwan-nok

Ms CHU Lok-wai

Mr TANG Wing-chun

Mr CHENG Keung-fung

Mr LAI Wing-chun, MH

Mr KAN Ming-tung, MH

Mr TAM Siu-cheuk

Mr KWAN Kin-wing

Mr PANG Chi-sang

**In attendance**

Ms CHAN Wai-chun, Regina

Assistant District Officer (Kwun Tong)1

Mr CHEUNG Ka-long, Kelvin

Assistant District Officer (Kwun Tong)2

Ms CHIN Tsang-lo, Jennifer	District Commander (Kwun Tong), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr HO Ming-yan	Deputy District Commander (Sau Mau Ping), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr SHIU Ling-fung	Police Community Relations Officer, Kwun Tong District, Hong Kong Police Force
Mr TAM Man-hoi	Police Community Relations Officer, Sau Mau Ping District, Hong Kong Police Force
Mr LEE Ming-keung, Marco	Chief Engineer/East 2, Civil Engineering and Development Department
Mr CHUNG Yuk-ming, Wilson	Senior Engineer/1(East), Civil Engineering and Development Department
Mr CHEUK Cheung-kei, Cheuky	Senior Property Service Manager/Kowloon East, Housing Department
Mr LEUNG Pak-fung, Kazaf	Senior Transport Officer/Kwun Tong 1, Transport Department
Ms LAW Kit-nor	District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Kwun Tong), Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Mr LEUNG Po-wah, Taddy	District Social Welfare Officer (Kwun Tong), Social Welfare Department
Ms CHEUNG Yee-mei, May	Chief Leisure Manager (Kowloon), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms NG Kin-ching	District Leisure Manager (Kwun Tong), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms SIU Sau-king, Michelle	Deputy District Leisure Manager (District Support) Kwun Tong, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Miss CHOW Tak-sum, Amy	Senior Executive Officer (District Management), Kwun Tong District Office
Mr FUNG Chi-man, Tom	Senior Liaison Officer (1), Kwun Tong District Office
Miss YIK Wai-sze, Cecilia	Senior Liaison Officer (2), Kwun Tong District Office
Mr TANG Chun-ming	Senior Liaison Officer (3), Kwun Tong District Office
Ms IP Yuk-mei, Eunice	Senior Liaison Officer (4), Kwun Tong District Office
Mr HEUNG Sai-yau, Calvin	Executive Officer I (District Council) (Acting), Kwun Tong District Office

### **Secretary**

Mr CHOW Lap-kan, Douglas      Senior Executive Officer (District Council),  
Kwun Tong District Office

### **In Attendance by Invitation**

Dr ZHAO Quan      Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional East)<sup>3</sup>, **Items II&III**  
Environmental Protection Department

Mr FUNG Wai-yan, Ryan      Senior Engineer/Kowloon District East (South), **Item III**  
Transport Department

Mr NG Cheuk-hang, Peter      District Engineer/Kowloon City & Kowloon Bay,  
Highways Department

The Chairman welcomed all Members and government representatives to the 8th Full Council (“FC”) meeting under the 7th Term Kwun Tong District Council (“KTDC”).

2. The Chairman said that no applications for absence had been received from Members for this meeting.

### **Item I – Confirmation of Minutes of Last Meeting**

3. The minutes of the 7th meeting were confirmed without amendment.

### **Item II – The Latest Arrangements for the Collection and Recycling of Waste Plastics in Kwun Tong (KTDC Paper No. 7/2025)**

4. The Chairman welcomed Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional East)<sup>3</sup> of the Environmental Protection Department (“EPD”) to brief Members on the latest arrangements for the collection and recycling of waste plastics in Kwun Tong District.

5. The representative of EPD presented the paper.

6. Members raised views and enquiries as follows:

6.1 Mr LUI Tung-hai enquired about the operation of the Nighttime Recycling Spots at Lei Yue Mun and Cha Kwo Ling over the past six months and the amount of recyclables

collected.

- 6.2 Mr LAM Wai mentioned that plastic bottle recycling in Kwun Tong District was operated by multiple organisations, including service contractors commissioned by the Housing Department (“HD”) and EPD. He enquired about the operators responsible for plastic bottle recycling in different housing estates and whether each operator was restricted to collecting recycled plastic bottles only within their designated service areas.
- 6.3 Mr HSU Yau-wai opined that the amount of waste plastics collected in Kwun Tong District was insufficient. Taking On Tat Estate as an example, he pointed out that all waste plastics recycling services in the district had been operated by GREEN@COMMUNITY since January this year. However, GREEN@COMMUNITY only collected recycled plastic bottles from the estate approximately once a week, which was not frequent enough. Residents often had to discard plastic bottles intended for recycling as garbage due to overflowing recycling bins. He suggested that EPD increase the frequency of recyclable collection by GREEN@COMMUNITY at On Tat Estate and install reverse vending machines (“RVMs”) capable of collecting and compressing plastic beverage containers in the estate, with the aim of increasing the number of recycled plastic bottles. On the other hand, he enquired whether EPD would monitor how GREEN@COMMUNITY recycled waste plastics other than plastic bottles, such as plastic foam, and how the department ensured that cleaning companies did not treat recyclables as waste, so as to avoid undermining the effectiveness of waste reduction and recycling efforts.
- 6.4 Mr FONG Yat-kwan noted that the recycling bins and the food waste recycling machines placed by GREEN@COMMUNITY in Ping Tin Estate were frequently overflowing. He enquired about the number of times the contractor collected recyclables and food waste in the estate each week, and whether the collection frequency would be increased based on the amount of recyclables.
- 6.5 Ms CHING Hoi-yan pointed out that public housing estates had more space available for setting up recycling points, whereas other housing estates, including private housing estates and Home Ownership Scheme courts (“HOS courts”), had limited common space, making it difficult to allocate space for permanent recycling points. Therefore, she suggested that EPD, in collaboration with the service contractors of GREEN@COMMUNITY, organise activities such as recycling days more frequently in these estates to facilitate residents’ separation and disposal of recyclable waste.
- 6.6 Ms YU Juliana supported the recovery and recycling of waste plastics, believing that such

efforts could reduce environmental pollution, conserve energy and resources, and promote sustainable development. She shared the following observations regarding waste plastics recycling in Hong Kong: (i) some members of the public were unfamiliar with the classification of recyclables and failed to handle them properly according to relevant requirements, resulting in consistently low recycling rates; (ii) local facilities for processing plastic recyclables were insufficient, leading to reliance on exports, which resulted in high costs and low economic efficiency; (iii) recycled plastic products were not popular in the local market, and businesses lacked incentives to use them, resulting in limited market demand; and (iv) there were insufficient recycling points, and the limited capacity of some recycling points made it inconvenient for members of the public to dispose of recyclables, compromising their enthusiasm for participating in recycling. Based on the above observations, she made the following suggestions: (i) the Government should strengthen the promotion of recycling through various channels, such as working with DC Members and local organisations to publicise the fixed and mobile recycling points in Kwun Tong District, as well as the Nighttime Recycling Spots piloted in the district last year, to raise public awareness of waste separation and disposal; (ii) set up more recycling points in high-traffic areas, such as Lam Tin, to facilitate recycling by residents; (iii) enhance the management of recycling bins and conduct regular inspections to maintain cleanliness and ensure proper handling of recyclables; and (iv) reward or incentive schemes should be rolled out to encourage active public participation in recycling.

6.7 Mr MA Yat-chiu noted that in the areas of Ting On Street, Ngau Tau Kok Road, Yee On Street, Hang On Street, Tung Ming Street and Shui Wo Street, there were many old buildings without owners' corporations or property management offices. He enquired how EPD planned to launch recycling services in these buildings. Regarding old buildings in the district that already had owners' corporations and property management offices, he enquired whether EPD would proactively reach out to these buildings and encourage them to participate in recycling, with the aim of increasing the amount of recyclables collected in the district. Furthermore, he also hoped that the Government would monitor the contractors handling recycled plastic bottles to prevent recyclables from being discarded in landfills.

6.8 Mr WONG Kai-san believed that the public's environmental awareness had generally improved, and convenient environmental facilities should now be provided to enhance their participation. He cited Yau Tong as an example, stating that despite the presence of the GREEN@YAU LAI recycling point, it was inconvenient for residents living in the uphill areas of Yau Tong. He mentioned that a Recycling Spot currently operated in the form of a booth at Yau Tong Centre every Wednesday and hoped that EPD could step up

efforts to set up similar booths in other housing estates to encourage more residents to recycle. In addition, he agreed that reward or incentive schemes could be implemented to encourage residents to participate in recycling and suggested that EPD allocate more resources for promotion. On the other hand, he enquired whether GREEN@COMMUNITY or EPD could provide assistance if Members wished to organise activities such as carnivals to promote environmental protection.

- 6.9 Ms ZHAN Baoyu supported the collection and recycling of waste plastics and suggested that EPD strengthen publicity efforts regarding related arrangements by, for example, publicising the locations and opening hours of recycling points to residents through Members' ward offices. In addition, for housing estates not covered by GREEN@COMMUNITY recycling services, she enquired whether EPD would provide waste separation bins in these estates to prevent recyclable waste from being disposed of as garbage by cleaning companies. On another note, she hoped that EPD would regularly publish reports on the effectiveness of recycling arrangements, including data on collection amounts, recycling rates, and the handling of recyclables by downstream recyclers, to keep the public informed about the results of recycling services.
- 6.10 Mr TAM Siu-cheuk opined that there were still inadequacies in the environmental recycling efforts of GREEN@COMMUNITY, including (i) the lack of publicly available data on the effectiveness of recycling services, causing Members to have insufficient information to provide suggestions on how to optimise the relevant services; (ii) insufficient communication with the community, resulting in Members being unclear about how to contact the operators to discuss collaboration on promoting environmental recycling in the district; and (iii) the limited coverage of the recycling point network. Given the Government's current fiscal constraints, he expressed concern that the Government could not allocate additional resources to set up recycling points in housing estates that currently lacked them. In view of the above inadequacies, he made the following suggestions: (i) the recycling service operators should regularly report to District Councils on the amount of recyclables collected from different housing estates to enable Members, Care Teams and local organisations to provide appropriate assistance, thereby increasing the recycling amount; and (ii) EPD should maintain regular communication with Members to allow them to monitor the effectiveness of recycling services and offer suggestions on how to enhance service arrangements.
- 6.11 Ms FU Pik-chun hoped that EPD would review and optimise the arrangements for food waste bins in the district. Using Shun Lee Estate as an example, she noted that some blocks in the estate, accommodating over 700 households, were only equipped with one food waste bin, leading to frequent overflow. She mentioned that KTDC Members,

together with Legislative Council Members, had earlier met with the Director of Environmental Protection to request the installation of food waste bins at Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters, but there had been no progress so far. She urged EPD to follow up on this matter as soon as possible.

7. The consolidated response from the representative of EPD was as follows:

7.1 Data on recycling amount: EPD stated that its Hong Kong Waste Reduction Website published data on the total amount of recyclables processed annually in Hong Kong. As for the amount of various types of recyclables collected and processed at each GREEN@COMMUNITY facility, the operators were required under their contracts to regularly publish the latest operational data on their social media pages. With the gradual improvement of the community recycling network and increasing environmental awareness among the public, the recycling amount in Hong Kong had been increasing year by year.

[Post-meeting note: EPD provided supplementary information after the meeting regarding the recycling statistics for Kwun Tong District and the operators of GREEN@COMMUNITY facilities in different sub-districts. The Secretariat circulated the relevant information to Members.]

7.2 Plastic foam recycling: EPD explained that all GREEN@COMMUNITY recycling points collected plastics, including plastic foam, which were then handed over to downstream recyclers approved by EPD for proper handling.

7.3 Recycling services for “three-nil” buildings: EPD stated that in addition to providing off-site collection service for large housing estates and public housing estates in the district, GREEN@COMMUNITY also set up Recycling Spots to facilitate recycling for residents of “three-nil” buildings. In addition, EPD would also convey Members’ suggestions on promoting recycling services in “three-nil” buildings to its relevant personnel.

7.4 Liaison with GREEN@COMMUNITY: EPD welcomed Members to contact GREEN@COMMUNITY to discuss how to promote environmental recycling in the community. The contact numbers of the relevant operators were included in the discussion paper. In addition, EPD would explore ways to facilitate communication between Members and the relevant divisions of the department responsible for community waste reduction and recycling initiatives.

8. Members raised follow-up views and enquiries as follows:

- 8.1 Mr TSANG Wing-fai suggested that the GREEN@COMMUNITY Recycling Spots could assist members of the public in applying for GREEN\$ cards and allow them to earn points when submitting recyclables there. He also proposed collaboration between GREEN@COMMUNITY and community organisations or resident groups to enable members of the public to use their accumulated GREEN\$ points for free participation in activities organised by these organisations or groups, such as interest classes.
- 8.2 Mr LAI Wing-chun noted that he primarily served Amoy Gardens, a housing estate with extensive experience in waste separation. Although the estate had also encountered challenges similar to those mentioned earlier by other Members, many issues had been resolved. He expressed his willingness to share the relevant experience with other housing estates. Regarding how to encourage residents to actively participate in environmental recycling, he suggested informing them about the rewards they could receive for environmental recycling and providing adequate supporting facilities to help them develop the habit of waste separation and recycling.
- 8.3 Mr LEE Ka-hang mentioned that the service contractor of the Pilot Scheme on Waste Plastics Collection and Recycling (“Waste Plastics Scheme”) frequently approached Members to discuss how to collaborate on organising activities for promoting waste plastics recycling. In contrast, GREEN@COMMUNITY had been less proactive than the previous contractors since it took over the services, and its communication and cooperation with Members were also less close. He cited an example in which GREEN@COMMUNITY failed to consult Members or local organisations when selecting the location for a Recycling Spot. As a result, the selected location was only convenient for its operation but not necessarily for residents. He also enquired with EPD whether the locations currently covered by the services of GREEN@COMMUNITY included places such as schools or single-block buildings as compared with the previous Waste Plastics Scheme. Furthermore, he enquired about the locations of existing RVMs in Kwun Tong District and the amount of recyclables collected by RVMs and GREEN@COMMUNITY.
- 8.4 Mr WONG Chun-ping hoped that EPD would provide the amount of recyclables collected within the district and other related data so that Members and residents could learn about the results of government efforts in promoting environmental recycling. On the other hand, he pointed out that some food waste bins in Sau Mau Ping Estate were placed in open areas, making it inconvenient for residents to dispose of food waste on rainy days. During the summer, the intense heat from the scorching sun caused the food waste to produce unpleasant odours. He also mentioned that some food waste bins were placed

beside play equipment, leading to frequent collisions between residents disposing of food waste and those using the equipment. Therefore, he suggested that EPD consult Members serving the area before deciding on the locations for placing food waste bins.

8.5 Mr Wilson OR believed that there was still room for improvement in the district's recycling services. He noted that the operators lacked proactiveness and had not established close ties with Members or local organisations. For example, he mentioned that housing estates in the district had to contact GREEN@COMMUNITY on their own to arrange off-site collection services. However, he opined that GREEN@COMMUNITY should proactively reach out to different housing estates to offer such services. He also proposed that government departments involved in arranging environmental recycling services, including HD and EPD, strengthen collaboration and standardise recycling arrangements with the aim of enhancing the effectiveness of recycling services. On another note, he enquired with EPD about the mechanisms in place to monitor the performance of GREEN@COMMUNITY contractors. Regarding downstream recycling issues, he observed the frequent piling up of recyclables outside the recycling bins at many GREEN@COMMUNITY recycling points, such as the one on Mut Wah Street, obstructing footpaths and causing environmental hygiene issues. He enquired how EPD ensured the timely collection of recyclables by operators to avoid impacts on the surrounding environment. He also raised concern about the arrangements for food waste bins and suggested that EPD consider diverse opinions when selecting locations for placing food waste bins. Regarding maintenance, he mentioned that the food waste bin at Wo Lok Estate had been out of order for several weeks, yet no follow-up action had been taken so far. He hoped that EPD would conduct a comprehensive review and optimise environmental recycling services in the district to facilitate smoother and more effective implementation of related efforts.

8.6 Mr PANG Chi-sang believed that the public generally supported environmental recycling. However, he pointed out that many recyclables were not properly placed, sometimes occupying public spaces and even causing odours or other issues, which affected nearby residents and led to complaints against contractors. He mentioned that in earlier years, many cleaning companies handled recyclables independently with satisfactory results. Therefore, he suggested that GREEN@COMMUNITY collaborate with cleaning companies to explore ways to collect more recyclables, starting with selected housing estates or courts as pilot areas. On another note, he proposed that GREEN@COMMUNITY incorporate compression functions when collecting plastic bottles to reduce their volume and the space they occupied.

- 8.7 Mr LAM Fung opined that EPD needed to optimise its waste plastics recycling efforts by increasing the number of recycling points to cover a wider area and improving the schedule for collecting recyclables. In addition, he was also concerned about how to prevent recyclable waste plastics from being treated as garbage and sent to landfills.
- 8.8 Mr KWAN Kin-wing noted that many housing estates lacked sufficient food waste bins, and some bins caused hygiene issues during food waste collection. Therefore, he suggested that EPD improve the design of food waste bins and increase manpower for handling them. On the other hand, he mentioned that schools and industrial and commercial buildings also generated significant amounts of food waste and suggested that EPD consider providing facilities for collecting food waste at these locations.
- 8.9 Mr YU Siu-lun stated that his service mainly covered public housing estates, HOS courts and Tenants Purchase Scheme (“TPS”) estates. He hoped to gain a deeper understanding of the details regarding off-site collection service and the placement of recycling bins to facilitate his efforts in encouraging relevant owners’ corporations and property management companies to participate in environmental recycling. In addition, he noted that the RVM Pilot Scheme (“RVM Scheme”) had been well received, with many residents keen to return plastic bottles through RVMs to earn instant rebates. He enquired whether the resources involved in the RVM Scheme would be less than those for the off-site collection service and whether EPD would provide RVMs at more locations. He mentioned that some beverage companies had provided RVMs and suggested that EPD discuss collaboration with private enterprises to install RVMs at additional locations and standardise the reward system for recycling to achieve synergy and encourage more residents to participate in plastic bottle recycling.
- 8.10 Mr TANG Wing-chun expressed the view that the number of recycling points and the frequency of recyclable collection provided by GREEN@COMMUNITY were insufficient. He cited the Recycling Spot at Laguna City as an example, stating that the scheduled weekly collection time for recyclables was only about three hours every Wednesday afternoon. Each time, there were long queues, and residents who needed to work in the afternoon were unable to use the service. Although a Nighttime Recycling Spot was available in Cha Kwo Ling, it was inconvenient for Laguna City residents due to the long distance. On the other hand, he pointed out that GREEN@COMMUNITY had not actively publicised its off-site collection service for recyclables, leaving many residents unaware of the service details, such as the types and quantities of recyclables eligible for collection. Therefore, he hoped that GREEN@COMMUNITY would strengthen the promotion of the service.

- 8.11 Mr HUNG Kam-in agreed on the importance of promoting plastic bottle recycling in the community. He suggested that GREEN@COMMUNITY strengthen its cooperation with local groups and resident organisations, leveraging the community networks of these groups and organisations to promote environmental protection efforts and enhance publicity within the community. In addition, he proposed that EPD provide RVMs in more housing estates and introduce innovative technologies capable of converting plastic bottles into energy or other uses to effectively utilise technology to implement environmental protection policies.
- 8.12 Mr NG Ting-fung hoped that EPD would enhance publicity for the services of Recycling Spots and increase the frequency of recyclable collection. He mentioned that there were many single-block buildings in the areas of Yuet Wah Street, Shui Wo Street and Hip Wo Street, most of which lacked environmental recycling facilities. Residents of these buildings would put recyclables in the adjacent Wo Lok Estate, which led to the frequent overflow of recycling facilities in the estate. Therefore, he suggested that EPD enhance support for single-block buildings in environmental recycling.
- 8.13 Mr TAM Siu-cheuk proposed that EPD arrange for the responsible personnel and the service contractors of GREEN@COMMUNITY to attend DC meetings in the future to exchange views with Members on the details of environmental recycling efforts in each sub-district, with the aim of improving the relevant arrangements.

9. The representative of EPD gave a comprehensive response as follows:

- 9.1 Locations and service hours of recycling services: EPD would consistently improve the community recycling network, increasing the number of public collection points to 800 by the first quarter of 2025. Meanwhile, the service hours of GREEN@COMMUNITY recycling facilities would also be optimised to provide residents with better recycling services.
- 9.2 Tender for recycling services: In order to enhance the cost-effectiveness and service quality of GREEN@COMMUNITY, EPD stated that it would invite various types of operators to participate in the tender for the operation of Recycling Stores, including private organisations, non-profit organisations and groups with extensive experience in district affairs, to increase competition and reduce the operational costs of GREEN@COMMUNITY.
- 9.3 Collaboration with local groups: EPD planned to strengthen collaboration with local groups by, for example, providing Recycling Spot equipment for operation by local groups

interested in organising recycling activities. The department would provide collection services for recyclables after the completion of the activities. This would not only help local groups increase their opportunities to interact with the public through recycling activities but also leverage their manpower to promote waste reduction and recycling, thereby enhancing the cost-effectiveness of GREEN@COMMUNITY and achieving mutual benefits.

10. The meeting noted the paper.

**Item III — Follow-up on Studying Issues of Concern in the District  
(KTDC Paper No. 8/2025)**

11. The Chairman presented the paper.

12. The Chairman stated that according to the District Councils Ordinance, the functions of KTDC included collecting the views of the people in Kwun Tong District in respect of an issue specified by the KTDC Chairman, and submitting to the Government a summary of the views collected and the suggested corresponding measures. At an earlier FC meeting, Members had collected views and proposed corresponding measures regarding the traffic situation and ancillary transport facilities in Kwun Tong District and the Smart and Green Mass Transit System (“MTS”) in East Kowloon, among other issues. The responses from the relevant government departments to the above views and suggestions were detailed in the paper.

13. The Chairman welcomed Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional East)<sup>3</sup> of EPD, Senior Engineer/Kowloon District East (South) of the Transport Department (“TD”) and District Engineer/Kowloon City and Kowloon Bay of the Highways Department (“HyD”) to the meeting to assist in the discussion of the agenda item.

14. Members raised views and enquiries as follows:

14.1 Ms FU Pik-chun was concerned about the progress of the road widening works on New Clear Water Bay Road undertaken by the Civic Engineering and Development Department (“CEDD”), noting that the works had not been completed by the end of February this year as stated earlier by CEDD. She hoped that CEDD would inform Members of the issues encountered during the works and how the department planned to respond.

14.2 Mr CHEUNG Pui-kong raised concerns about the shortage of parking spaces in On Tat Estate and On Tai Estate. He pointed out that although HD and TD had indicated that

additional parking spaces had been provided in the area, they were still insufficient to meet the needs of residents. On the other hand, he was pleased to learn that the Hong Kong Housing Authority (“HKHA”) had reached a consensus with Link Asset Management Limited (“Link”) to carry out preliminary design work for the proposed retrofitting of lifts at the slope outside Sau Wai House in Sau Mau Ping Estate.

- 14.3 Mr KAN Ming-tung was concerned about the progress of the road widening works on New Clear Water Bay Road, stating that as there had not been prolonged adverse weather conditions since his site inspection at the end of last year, he did not understand why the project had been repeatedly delayed. He urged CEDD to clearly provide Members with the exact completion date of the project so that Members could update residents on the latest situation. On another note, he learned that the Hong Kong Police Force (“HKPF”) would use a smart traffic system to manage traffic in the Kwun Tong Business Area (“KTBA”) and hoped that HKPF would maintain close liaison with KTDC and its Traffic and Transport Committee (“TTC”) to jointly improve the traffic situation in the district.
- 14.4 Mr TANG Wing-chun stated that the service quality of green minibus (“GMB”) route nos. 23M and 23C passing through Laguna City had been deteriorating, with instances of passenger overloading. He learnt that the Passenger Service Licence (“PSL”) for the above GMB routes would expire in mid-year, and therefore hoped that TD would provide a clear response regarding the re-tendering of the relevant minibus services.
- 14.5 Mr Wilson OR was concerned about the noise generated during MTR train operations. He pointed out that Ngau Tau Kok MTR Station was near Garden Estate and enquired whether EPD would continually carry out noise measurements at the station to assess the noise nuisance caused to nearby residents by trains passing through. In addition, he learnt that due to technical issues, noise barriers could not be installed on viaducts along the MTR Kwun Tong Line. Therefore, he enquired when the noise mitigation measures adopted at Kwun Tong MTR Station would be implemented at Ngau Tau Kok and Kowloon Bay MTR stations. He also wished to know whether there were any long-term solutions or methods leveraging new technologies to reduce the noise generated during MTR train operations.
- 14.6 Mr HUNG Kam-in mentioned that he had earlier inspected a sitting-out area at the junction of Hiu Kwong Street and Sau Mau Ping Road with government departments and other Members. He pointed out that the area had a low usage rate and suggested widening the road and adding motorcycle parking spaces at that location to improve traffic conditions. He hoped that the above recommendations could be followed up in this report. Furthermore, he said that he had received feedback from members of the public indicating

that in recent years, whenever HKPF closed roads accessible to the Junk Bay Chinese Permanent Cemetery (“Junk Bay Cemetery”), traffic chaos occurred in the Yau Tong area, affecting residents’ travel. He hoped that TD and HKPF would collaborate in adopting appropriate measures to improve the above situation.

14.7 Mr CHEUNG Ki-tang was concerned about the shortage of parking spaces in the district. He pointed out that the car parks of some shopping malls in the district often had vacant spaces at night, such as Domain in Yau Tong and several malls under HKHA. Therefore, he suggested that TD liaise with these car parks to discuss whether the parking spaces could be made available for public use at night on an hourly or special monthly rental basis. On the other hand, he mentioned that the mobile application “HKeMobility” displayed the real-time number of remaining parking spaces in different car parks for the convenience of drivers. He hoped that TD would step up publicity and enhance the mobile application to show the real-time number of remaining spaces in the car parks of more malls and buildings and improve the user interface of the application.

14.8 Mr PANG Chi-sang was concerned about the progress of installing electric vehicle (“EV”) charging facilities in the district. Taking Yau Tong as an example, he stated that although many estates in the district had added charging facilities, some estates were unable to install additional charging facilities due to insufficient power supply capacity. For example, Yau Mei Court had several hundred parking spaces but could only provide one charging space. He wished to know the number of charging spaces that could be provided in each estate in the district and whether they would meet the needs of residents. On another note, he responded to Mr CHUENG Ki-tang’s suggestion, adding that the car parks of many shopping malls, such as Domain or Yau Lai Shopping Centre in Yau Tong area, had many vacant spaces at night. Although some malls offered parking discounts after 10 p.m., many drivers still preferred to illegally park their vehicles on nearby streets. He suggested that the relevant departments take the initiative to liaise with these shopping malls to explore ways to make better use of the surplus parking spaces in the malls at night.

14.9 Mr TSANG Wing-fai mentioned that the intake of the housing projects in the Anderson Road New Development Area (“Anderson”) would commence gradually this year. He enquired when the public transport services for Anderson, including bus and GMB routes, would be launched. He commented that these routes would not only provide convenience to the residents of Anderson but also benefit residents of the estates along the routes. Therefore, he suggested that these routes be put into service as soon as possible, without having to wait for the residents of Anderson to move in.

15. The representative of CEDD responded to Members’ views and enquiries as follows:

15.1 New Clear Water Bay Road project: CEDD stated that the retaining wall for the new slip road of Shun Lee Tsuen Road connecting to New Clear Water Bay Road had been substantially completed. The foundation had been backfilled to road level, and more than half of the concrete road paving works had also been completed. During an inspection of the progress of the project with Members in December last year, the department stated that the new slip road works was expected to be completed in March this year. However, the works encountered issues including unstable concrete supply and weather conditions affecting the progress. CEDD and the contractor maintained close communication with the concrete supplier to ensure a stable supply of concrete. In addition, the department said it would make every effort to monitor the progress of the works and actively maintain close communication with the relevant government departments so as to open the new slip road of Shun Lee Tsuen Road as soon as possible.

[Post-meeting note: The new slip road of Shun Lee Tsuen Road was substantially completed in March this year and was opened to the public on 25 April.]

16. The representative of TD responded to Members' views and enquiries as follows:

16.1 Parking spaces in Anderson: TD stated that additional parking spaces, including motorcycle parking spaces, had earlier been provided in the On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate areas. The department pointed out that On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate had adopted the parking standards from many years ago, with approximately one parking space for every 30 residential units, which was a relatively low ratio. As for the ongoing housing development projects and government facilities in Anderson, car parks would also be provided at a significantly improved ratio, with an average of approximately one parking space for every ten residential units. The department stated that once the car parks in the Anderson housing projects were made available for residents to rent, it would explore the feasibility of opening the surplus parking spaces for public rental to ease the parking demand in the On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate areas. In addition, TD mentioned that the temporary car park on On Sau Road would be resumed for the construction of a polyclinic, further reducing the number of nearby parking spaces. The department hoped that the public parking spaces provided in the government joint-user complex under construction in Anderson could ease the shortage of parking spaces. If necessary, the department would also explore the provision of roadside parking spaces in the Anderson area.

16.2 Car parks of shopping malls: TD stated that according to the land lease conditions, ancillary parking spaces in shopping malls were generally required to remain open to the

public 24 hours a day, though fees could apply. However, some drivers might choose to park illegally on the roads due to cost and other considerations. The department revealed that the Government was planning to implement a Traffic e-Enforcement System which, if launched, could enhance enforcement efficiency and reinforce the warning to drivers to park in legal locations.

- 16.3 Opening parking spaces in public facilities: TD mentioned that many schools had allowed school buses to park within their premises, provided that it did not affect the schools' operations, thereby freeing up roadside space for use by other vehicles. On the other hand, many new land leases had included clauses requiring pick-up and drop-off points to be opened for night-time parking. The department hoped that after the completion of several new development projects in Anderson, the number of parking spaces could be increased.
- 16.4 Hiu Kwong Street Sitting-out Area: TD had actively discussed the feasibility of the proposal to convert the Hiu Kwong Street Sitting-out Area into a road with relevant departments, including the Leisure and Cultural Services Department and HyD. The department pointed out that the sitting-out area was located at the top of a slope, and if it were to be converted into a road, TD and relevant departments would need to conduct detailed studies in various aspects, such as technical and design issues.
- 16.5 Traffic in KTBA: TD indicated that it had been working with relevant departments to formulate and implement various measures to improve the traffic situation in KTBA. A number of road improvement projects were underway at locations including Hoi Yuen Road, Wai Yip Street, Hoi Bun Road, Lai Yip Street and How Ming Street. Upon completion, they would be able to cope with the increased traffic volume in the future.
- 16.6 Service of GMB route no. 23: TD had been concerned about the service stability of the GMB route no. 23 series, stating that the operator of this route series had hired eight additional drivers from the Mainland through the department's labour importation scheme. The department encouraged the operator to recruit more staff through various channels, including the One-Stop Training and Employment Scheme launched by the Employees Retraining Board. At the same time, the operator was also encouraged to improve the remuneration packages in order to attract more drivers to serve the relevant routes, thereby enhancing service stability. The department would continue to monitor the performance of the operator, not only by checking its operational records but also by conducting mid-term reviews to monitor its service performance and operational conditions so as to take appropriate follow-up actions and give due consideration when processing its application for renewal of the PSL.

- 16.7 Public transport services in Anderson: TD stated that in order to cater for the additional population in Anderson, four bus routes and two GMB routes would be launched to serve the area. The department would maintain communication with the relevant departments and launch public transport routes in a timely manner to tie in with the completion and intake progress of housing projects in the area.
17. The representative of EPD responded to Members' views and enquiries as follows:
- 17.1 Problem of noise from train operations: EPD explained that as noise standards varied by location and time, the department generally responded to noise complaints with enforcement actions rather than regularly monitoring noise levels at specific locations. The department stated that after receiving noise complaints, it had conducted noise measurements and assessments in January this year at housing estates near Kowloon Bay MTR Station. The assessment results showed that the noise levels did not exceed the limits set by the Noise Control Ordinance. Although no exceedance of noise standards was found, the department had requested MTR to take various measures to minimise the noise impact on nearby residents, such as grinding and maintaining rails. EPD would relay Members' concerns to MTR for follow-up.
- 17.2 Charging facilities in housing estates: EPD indicated that there were currently five private housing estates in Kwun Tong District participating in the EV-Charging at Home Subsidy Scheme to install EV charging infrastructure for eligible parking spaces in their car parks, involving approximately 3 500 parking spaces. In the future, charging facilities in the car parks of private residential buildings and housing estates would be provided by the market to further improve the charging network for electric private cars (e-PCs). To this end, the Government had progressively marketised the EV charging services in government car parks starting from the end of 2023, handing them over to the market for operation and charging of service fees. The department stated that in the process of promoting the popularisation of EVs, it was necessary to effectively support the ever-growing charging demand for e-PCs. For most e-PCs currently available in the market, charging at home or their regular parking locations could already meet their daily operational needs. Therefore, the Government's policy objective for e-PCs was that car owners should mainly charge at home, workplaces, or frequently visited parking locations. As for the issue raised by some Members regarding individual estates being unable to install charging facilities due to power supply capacity, they could contact the department on a case-by-case basis for referral to the power company for follow-up.
18. The representative of HD responded to Members' views and enquiries as follows:

- 18.1 Lift-retrofitting project in Sau Mau Ping Estate: HD was currently carrying out ground investigation works at the relevant locations to obtain more accurate project estimates. Meanwhile, the relevant plans had been submitted to the department's Independent Checking Unit for review. After completion of these procedures, the department would further discuss with Link.
- 18.2 Car parks of HKHA's shopping malls: HD would study Members' recommendations and explore ways to make better use of the surplus parking spaces in the shopping malls of public housing estates at night.
19. The representative of the Kwun Tong Police District of HKPF responded to Members' views and enquiries as follows:
- 19.1 Traffic conditions in KTBA: The Police stated that the smart traffic management project specifically targeting KTBA was launched on 5 March this year and would be put into service in the third quarter of this year. The project used artificial intelligence to assist in traffic management in KTBA. In addition to conducting targeted traffic enforcement based on its analytical results, it would utilise big data to explore other solutions to traffic congestion. The Police would maintain communication with KTDC and its TTC on the above project.
- 19.2 Road-closure measures during the grave-sweeping period: The Police would liaise with Members to understand the views of the public regarding the road-closure measures implemented at Junk Bay Cemetery during the peak grave-sweeping periods.
20. Members raised follow-up views and enquiries as follows:
- 20.1 Ms LEUNG Sze-wan stated that the Light Public Housing ("LPH") project on Choi Hing Road provided more than 2 000 residential units and would commence intake gradually in the second quarter of this year, which would significantly increase the demand for public transport in the area. Choi Fook Estate was located below the LPH project site where residents indicated that there were insufficient bus and GMB services during morning commuting hours. Therefore, she urged the relevant departments to promptly communicate with the operators of public transport services to discuss how to increase the frequency of services during morning commuting hours to cope with the demand after the intake of the LPH in the area.

- 20.2 Mr HSU Yau-wai enquired whether HD would upgrade medium charging facilities in the car parks of public housing estates to quick charging facilities. In addition, he hoped that TD could send staff to On Tat Estate and On Tai Estate to inspect whether there were other locations suitable for providing motorcycle parking spaces. On the other hand, he mentioned that the footbridge system in Anderson would soon be commissioned. Therefore, he enquired about the progress of other nearby projects, including the flyover on Lin Tak Road and expansion works for the Po Tat Estate bus stop.
- 20.3 Mr LAM Wai was pleased to learn that the Government planned to launch the Traffic e-Enforcement System and hoped that the new technology could reduce disputes during enforcement against illegal parking. On another note, he enquired whether CEDD would refer to the pedestrian linkage system linking On Tat Estate, Po Tat Estate and Sau Mau Ping Estate and reconsider installing lifts to connect On Tai Estate and Anderson to facilitate residents to travel between Tai Sheung Tok, On Tai Estate, and Sze Shun. On the other hand, he pointed out that if residents in the On Tai Estate and Sze Shun areas had to park their vehicles in the car park of the government joint-user complex in Anderson, they would have to walk for nearly half an hour to return home due to the long distance, which was very inconvenient. He hoped that the relevant departments would actively resolve the problem of insufficient parking spaces for residents of On Tai Estate and Sze Shun.
- 20.4 Ms FU Pik-chun hoped that CEDD would provide a definite completion date for the road widening works on New Clear Water Bay Road. Furthermore, she mentioned that HyD would hold a briefing on the MTS in mid-March this year, but only Members and district organisations and personalities would be invited. She suggested that HyD consult residents in the community on the MTS, including areas along the rail alignment such as Sze Shun, Sau Mau Ping and Anderson, and formulate the details of the tender documents after extensive collection of residents' views.
- 20.5 Mr CHEUNG Pui-kong believed that the department's earlier reply failed to resolve the problem of insufficient parking spaces in On Tai Estate. He said he had suggested that newly built government facilities in the area should provide public parking spaces under the single site, multiple use model. However, the suggestion was considered infeasible and was thus not accepted. Although there were intakes of housing projects in Anderson, most of them were HOS courts. He reckoned that many residents moving into the area would use cars for commuting purposes, leading to a high demand for parking spaces. As such, the new housing projects in the area might not have surplus parking spaces available for residents from other areas. Even if there were surplus parking spaces in Anderson, he believed that only residents of On Tat Estate could benefit, because On Tat

Estate was connected to Anderson by a pedestrian footbridge system. However, the journey from On Tai Estate to Anderson was not convenient, making it unlikely for residents of On Tai Estate to benefit from the surplus parking spaces in Anderson. Hence, he hoped that the relevant departments would resolve the problem of insufficient parking spaces in On Tai Estate as soon as possible.

20.6 Mr CHEUNG Ki-tang believed that the problem of insufficient parking spaces in the On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate areas could not be easily resolved. He pointed out that the temporary car park on On Sau Road would soon be used for the construction of a polyclinic. He hoped that the Government could consider building a multi-storey car park on the site under the single site, multiple use model, which would increase not only the supply of parking spaces but also government revenue.

21. The representative of CEDD responded to Members' views and enquiries as follows:

21.1 New Clear Water Bay Road project: CEDD stated that upon completion of the new slip road of Shun Lee Tsuen Road, vehicles could merge more smoothly into New Clear Water Bay Road from the uphill area, heading downhill. Currently, there were still about 240 metres of the slip road that needed to be paved with concrete, and the department was actively striving for its completion by March this year. As for the New Clear Water Bay Road project, it involved the foundation works for the construction of a fully enclosed noise barrier. The department indicated that during the earlier ground investigation for foundation works, it was found that the rock layer was deeper than expected, and utility pipelines not recorded on the plans were found, requiring extra time to carry out piled foundation works. The project team was in discussion with the relevant departments with a view to expediting the completion of the works at that location.

(Post-meeting note: The new slip road of Shun Lee Tsuen Road was substantially completed in March this year and was opened for public use on 25 April.)

22. The representative of TD responded to Members' views and enquiries as follows:

22.1 Problem of insufficient parking spaces: In response to Members' concern over the shortage of parking spaces in On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate, TD stated that it would relay to the relevant departments Members' recommendation to build a car park on the government site under the single site, multiple use model. In addition, the department mentioned that the housing projects in Anderson would include commercial facilities, such as shopping malls, which would provide ancillary parking spaces for non-residents; furthermore, the newly built Quarry Park would also offer parking spaces for public use. On the other

hand, the department stated that the newly built roads and lay-bys in Anderson had conditions suitable for the provision of roadside parking spaces. The department would study the feasibility of increasing roadside parking facilities once public transport routes in the area were operating smoothly.

22.2 Pedestrian linkage system: TD stated that at the initial planning stage, the Government had considered building pedestrian linkage facilities in Anderson to link to the vicinity of On Kin Road/On Sau Road. However, the final recommendation was that the pedestrian linkage facilities should enhance the pedestrian connectivity between adjacent housing estates, Kwun Tong Town Centre and the proposed bus-bus interchange, and reduce residents' demand for short-working transport. The pedestrian linkage system had already been progressively commissioned. The department would continue to study whether there was room to further strengthen the pedestrian connectivity between Anderson and other areas.

23. The Chairman concluded that he would relay to HyD Members' suggestions that the department should collect local residents' views on the MTS as far as possible. As for the progress of the New Clear Water Bay project and the problem of insufficient parking spaces in the On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate areas, the Chairman stated that in addition to the continued follow-ups at the FC meetings and TTC meetings of KTDC, the issues would also be discussed in the District Management Committee.

24. The Chairman stated that according to the District Councils Ordinance, KTDC was required to continue selecting issues in 2025 for public consultation and to suggest corresponding measures. He mentioned that in relation to the issues of traffic and transport discussed by KTDC in 2024, many of the projects of concern were either underway or in the planning stages, and district personalities still had considerable feedback on these projects. Therefore, the Chairman suggested that KTDC continue to follow up on the traffic issues selected last year in 2025.

25. The meeting noted the paper and agreed that KTDC would continue to collect public views and suggest corresponding measures regarding the traffic issues selected last year.

**Item IV — Report on Activities to Drive Economic Development in the District – “Kwun Tong Night Market 2025”**  
**(KTDC Paper No. 9/2025)**

26. The representative of the Kwun Tong District Office (“KTDO”) presented the paper.

27. The meeting noted the paper.

**Item V — Work Reports of Committees and Working Group under KTDC**  
**(KTDC Paper No. 10/2025)**

Annex 1: District Facilities and Works Committee

28. The meeting noted the paper.

Annex 2: Community Involvement, Culture and Recreation Committee

29. The meeting noted the paper.

Annex 3: Food, Environment and Hygiene Committee

30. The meeting noted the paper.

Annex 4: TTC

31. The meeting noted the paper.

Annex 5: Housing and Development Planning Committee

32. The meeting noted the paper.

Annex 6: Social Welfare and Women Development Committee

33. The meeting noted the paper.

Annex 7: Youth Committee

34. The meeting noted the paper.

Annex 8: National Education Promotion Committee

35. The meeting noted the paper.

Annex 9: Working Group on Boosting Local Economy

36. The meeting noted the paper.

#### **Item VI — Any Other Business**

37. Mr CHEUNG Pui-kong commended the outsourced management company of Po Tat Estate, namely Creative Property Services Consultants Limited (“CPSCL”). He mentioned that an elderly singleton in Po Tat Estate had earlier had his water supply cut off due to non-payment of water charges. Upon learning of this case, he sought assistance from Manager Or of CPSCL and his team. The team immediately made an advance payment for the water bill on behalf of the elderly resident and assisted in applying for the restoration of water supply, fully demonstrating their caring attitude towards the elderly. In addition, he stated that his ward office had earlier been subjected to harassment and malicious vandalism. On 13 February, he sought assistance from the Police Community Relations Office of the Sau Mau Ping Police District, and the Police swiftly solved the case the next day. He took the opportunity to commend the Sau Mau Ping Police District.

38. Ms FU Pik-chun commended Senior Property Service Manager/Kowloon East of the Housing Department (“HD”) for his dedicated service to the community. He had earlier assisted in taking forward the construction of a ramp at the footbridge connecting Shun Chi Court and Shun Lee Estate, near the location of Shun Chi Court, in order to complement the newly built lift tower at the other end of the footbridge, thereby providing barrier-free facilities for the elderly and mobility impaired persons.

39. Mr YU Siu-lun mentioned that a gas explosion accident occurred at Mei Tin House, Hing Tin Estate, on 3 March this year, which caused alarm among many residents, including the elderly. Fortunately, there were no fatalities in the accident. He expressed gratitude to KTDO for notifying him immediately after the incident and assisting him in understanding the latest situation. Other government departments, including the Fire Services Department, HKPF, HD, and the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department, also provided considerable assistance in this incident. In addition, he thanked other Members for their immediate support at the scene after the accident. He mentioned that before the accident, some neighbours had smelled an unidentified gas leaking from the unit involved. Upon receiving the report, security personnel went to knock on the door of the unit but received no response. He suggested that if similar incidents occurred in the future, technology and tools could be used to locate the source of the unidentified gas leak more accurately and quickly. Furthermore, he was concerned about the relief work in the wake of the explosion, such as temporary housing arrangements for affected residents. He also hoped that the relevant departments would investigate the cause of the above explosion accident to dispel misgivings among residents.

40. The representative of HD thanked Members for their appreciation of the department's work, and would convey Mr CHEUNG Pui-kong's commendation to CPSCL for its service. On the other hand, the department stated that Hing Tin Estate was a TPS estate and had established an owners' corporation. ISS EastPoint Property Management Limited ("Eastpoint"), commissioned by the estate's owners' corporation was responsible for the estate's daily security, cleaning, maintenance and management services, and HD was one of the estate's owners. Upon receiving notification of the gas explosion accident in Hing Tin Estate, the department immediately arranged for property management and engineering staff to set up a service station on-site, distributing bottled water to residents and handling enquiries. The department also sent staff to visit tenants on the same floor, arranged another unit for the residents of the unit involved to temporarily stay in, and provided them with appropriate assistance. On another note, the department would relay Members' suggestions to Eastpoint regarding the use of new technology to detect the sources of unidentified gas leaks.

41. Mr MA Yat-chiu mentioned that a fire broke out in a subdivided unit on Hip Wo Street in mid-February this year. Fortunately, the residents of the unit were not present at the time and no casualties were caused. After the fire, KTDO, the Social Welfare Department and local Care Teams immediately provided assistance to the affected residents. Charitable funds gave out emergency grants to the family of the unit involved to help them tide over the difficulties.

42. The Chairman concluded that in handling unfortunate incidents in the district, such as the explosion accident in Hing Tin Estate, government departments, KTDC, district organisations, and Care Teams made concerted efforts to provide proactive and prompt support to the affected residents, enabling them to settle down during times of uncertainty.

#### **Item VII — Date of Next Meeting**

43. The next meeting was scheduled to be held on 28 April 2025 (Monday).

44. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:04 p.m.

**Kwun Tong District Council Secretariat**  
**April 2025**