

(Translation)  
**Minutes of the 19th Special Meeting  
of the Kwai Tsing District Council**

Date: 16 January 2020

Time: 10:02 a.m. – 1:41 p.m.

Venue: K&T DO Conference Room

<b><u>Attendee</u></b>	<b><u>Time of Arrival</u></b>	<b><u>Time of Departure</u></b>
Mr SIN Chung-kai, SBS, JP (Chairman)	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr CHEUNG Man-lung (Vice-chairman)	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr CHAN Chi-wing	Start of Meeting	11:43 a.m.
Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr CHOI Nga-man	10:06 a.m.	End of Meeting
Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman	10:12 a.m.	End of Meeting
Mr HON Chun-yin	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr HUI Kei-cheung	10:10 a.m.	End of Meeting
Miss KWOK Fu-yung	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr KWOK Tsz-kin	10:07 a.m.	End of Meeting
Mr LAM Siu-fai	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr LAU Chi-kit	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Ms LAU Kwai-mui	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr LEUNG Chi-shing	10:25 a.m.	11:00 a.m.
	12:52 p.m.	End of Meeting
Miss LEUNG Ching-shan	10:07 a.m.	End of Meeting
Mr LEUNG Kam-wai	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Ms LEUNG Kar-ming	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr LEUNG Kwok-wah	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
The Hon. LEUNG Yiu-chung	12:52 p.m.	End of Meeting
Miss LO Yuen-ting	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr NG Kim-sing	10:07 a.m.	End of Meeting
Mr SIN Ho-fai	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren	10:21 a.m.	End of Meeting
Mr TONG Ho-man	10:04 a.m.	End of Meeting

Mr TSUI Hiu-kit	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting
The Hon. WAN Siu-kin, Andrew	12:37 p.m.	End of Meeting
Mr WONG Bing-kuen	10:15 a.m.	End of Meeting
Mr. WONG Chun-tat	10:28 a.m.	End of Meeting
Miss WONG Pit-man	10:10 a.m.	End of Meeting
Mr WONG Tin-yan	Start of Meeting	End of Meeting

**In Attendance**

Mr CHENG Kin, Kenneth, JP	District Officer (Kwai Tsing), Kwai Tsing District Office
Miss YIM Yik-huen, Bonnie	Assistant District Officer (Kwai Tsing), Kwai Tsing District Office
Miss HO Yin-king, Susanne	Senior Liaison Officer (1), Kwai Tsing District Office
Miss CHEUNG Wing-sze, Amy	Senior Liaison Officer (2), Kwai Tsing District Office
Mr CHAU Ka-nin, Eric	Senior Liaison Officer (3), Kwai Tsing District Office
Mr WONG Man-kit, Timothy (Secretary)	Senior Executive Officer (District Council), Kwai Tsing District Office
Miss NG Kai-yue, Eliza (Assistant Secretary)	Executive Officer I (District Council), Kwai Tsing District Office

**Absent with Apologies**

Mr WONG Yun-tat, Ivan

## **Opening Remarks**

The Chairman welcomed all to the 19th special meeting of the Kwai Tsing District Council (K&T DC).

## **Revisions to the K&T DC Standing Orders (2nd discussion)**

(Proposed by the Secretariat of the K&T DC)

(K&T DC Paper No. 8/D/2020 (tabled at the meeting))

## **Amendments to the K&T DC Standing Orders Proposed by the K&T DC Secretariat in Response to Those Proposed by Members**

(Proposed by the Secretariat of the K&T DC)

(K&T DC Paper No. 19/D/2020)

2. The Secretary introduced the paper.
3. Mr LAU Chi-kit moved a motion to endorse the proposed revisions in annex 1 to K&T DC Paper No. 8/D/2020 (tabled at the meeting). The motion was seconded by Mr LEUNG Kam-wai. Members unanimously endorsed annex 1 to the paper.
4. Mr CHOI Nga-man moved a motion to endorse the proposed amendments in K&T DC Paper No. 19/D/2020. The motion was seconded by Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve. Members unanimously endorsed the paper.
5. Miss LO Yuen-ting suggested adding that protesting materials shall not contain any intimidating or defamatory content to order 42(5) of the Kwai Tsing District Council Standing Orders (the Standing Orders), which stated that protesting materials displayed by persons observing a meeting shall not obstruct other observers or the meeting.
6. Mr LAM Siu-fai put forth opinions as follows:
  - (i) K&T DC's attitude towards protests was rather conservative in the past. He suggested setting less stringent regulations.
  - (ii) Persons who displayed protesting materials shall be liable for their behaviour and the content of their protesting materials.

- (iii) It was hard for Members to censor whether the content of protesting materials was defamatory.

7. Mr CHAN Chi-wing enquired whether it was fine to display slogans that contained abusive language.

8. Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) It was hard to define defamatory and caution should be exercised in setting regulations on protests.
- (ii) While protestors should be allowed to criticise the performance of the Government and public officers (including Members), it was fairly reasonable to restrict personal attacks against ordinary members of the public.
- (iii) The public was already protected by legal provisions on defamation and K&T DC needed not set separate regulations.

9. Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen opined that the public would not normally make any personal attacks against others without sufficient evidence.

10. The Chairman responded as follows:

- (i) Order 14(2) of the Standing Orders stated that if the content of a paper submitted to K&T DC contained elements of incitement or personal attack, or involved personal privacy or legal proceeding, the Chairman could refuse to accept the paper.
- (ii) Under the existing mechanism, the Chairman would only decide whether the protesting materials displayed had obstructed the conduct of a meeting (e.g. whether they blocked the view of other observers) but not censor their content.
- (iii) It could be discussed whether to apply order 14(2) of the Standing Orders to protesting materials at the future meetings of the proposed Administration, Finance, Signature and Other Community Healthcare Projects Committee (AFC).

11. Miss LO Yuen-ting said that she did not wish to see future meetings interrupted because of conflicts among observers arising from different political views and thus suggested that there should be clearer provisions on protests in the Standing Orders.

12. The Chairman reiterated that it was up to AFC to discuss whether to apply order 14(2) of the Standing Orders to protesting materials.

13. Mr HUI Kei-cheung moved a motion to endorse the proposed revisions in annex 2 to K&T DC Paper No. 8/D/2020 (tabled at the meeting) (except the proposed amendments in K&T DC Paper No. 19/D/2020 that had already been endorsed). The motion was seconded by Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve.

14. The Chairman declared to put the above paper to vote in an open ballot.

15. The voting result was as follows:

For: 22 votes

(Mr CHEUNG Man-lung (The Vice-chairman), Mr KWOK Tsz-kin, Mr WONG Tin-yan, Mr CHOI Nga-man, Mr LEUNG Kam-wai, Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman, Miss WONG Pit-man, Mr SIN Ho-fai, Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren, Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve, Miss LEUNG Ching-shan, Mr LEUNG Chi-shing, Mr LAU Chi-kit, Mr HON Chun-yin, Ms LAU Kwai-mui, Mr LAM Siu-fai, Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen, Mr LEUNG Kwok-wah, Mr WONG Bing-kuen, Mr HUI Kei-cheung, Mr TONG Ho-man and Mr NG Kim-sing)

Against: 0 vote

Abstention: 5 votes

(Mr CHAN Chi-wing, Mr TSUI Hiu-kit, Miss LO Yuen-ting, Ms LEUNG Kar-ming and Miss KWOK Fu-yung)

The above paper was endorsed.

**Endorsement of the Following under K&T DC:  
the Terms of Reference of the Youth Affairs Committee; and  
the Name and Terms of Reference of the Administration, Finance, Signature and  
Other Healthcare Projects Committee**

(Proposed by the Secretariat of the K&T DC)

(K&T DC Paper No. 14/D/2020)

16. The Chairman introduced the paper.
  
17. Miss LO Yuen-ting enquired whether the terms of reference of the Youth Affairs Committee (YAC) overlapped with those of the Kwai Tsing District Youth Programme Committee (the Programme Committee) under the Kwai Tsing District Office (K&T DO); if yes, whether the Programme Committee would be dissolved.
  
18. Kwai Tsing District Officer (the District Officer) responded that YAC was established under K&T DC whereas the Programme Committee, which mainly organised youth programmes, was established by K&T DO and its chairmanship was taken up by the District Officer. It was not known yet whether the work of the two committees would overlap until YAC commenced operation.
  
19. Miss LO Yuen-ting put forth opinion and enquiry as follows:
  - (i) To better utilise public funds, she hoped that the work of the two committees would not overlap and they would instil positive values in young people in an impartial and just manner.
  - (ii) How many programmes YAC would organise.
  
20. Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren put forth opinions as follows:
  - (i) The Programme Committee was mainly to organise youth programmes but the work of YAC would be more extensive. Apart from organising programmes, YAC would promote youth-related policies in a bottom-up manner and speak up for young people in the district.
  - (ii) He invited pro-establishment Members to participate in the work of YAC.
  
21. Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman pointed out that the Government's unsatisfactory

youth policies in recent years had led to young people's dissatisfaction with its governance. Since there would be no significant change in the Government's youth policies in the future, K&T DC should proceed further to promote discussion on youth affairs and relay views to the Government.

22. Mr LAU Chi-kit pointed out that the terms of reference of YAC were briefly introduced at the previous meeting of K&T DC but some Members were absent and did not take part in the discussion. Making enquiries then was a waste of meeting time.

23. Mr LAM Siu-fai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) K&T DC had neglected discussion on youth policies in the past and this had given rise to distrust of the Government among young people. Therefore, he opined that the current term of K&T DC should do better and let young people better understand the operation of K&T DC.
- (ii) Some Members who were absent from the previous meeting of K&T DC were not informed of the views exchanged about the establishment of YAC and did not read the minutes after the meeting. Repeating discussion on the same matter then was a waste of meeting time.

24. Mr TSUI Hiu-kit pointed out that he left his seat in protest at the previous meeting of K&T DC because the Chairman did not respond to his enquiry about the Standing Orders at once. He asked the Chairman whether priority should be accorded to handling relevant enquiries in the future.

25. The Chairman responded that priority should be accorded to handling enquiries about the Standing Orders.

26. Mr CHAN Chi-wing enquired whether the Programme Committee would be dissolved.

27. Mr SIN Ho-fai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) YAC under K&T DC could promote diversified development in the community, e.g. e-sports and gender equality. On the contrary, the programmes organised by the Programme Committee had yet to cater to the needs of young people in the district.

- (ii) He encouraged pro-establishment Members to take part in the work of YAC.

28. Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve pointed out that young people's participation in politics was rather low in the past and the Government had neglected their opinions and needs. Young people's turnout rate for the election of the current term of District Councils (DCs) was very high and the establishment of YAC under K&T DC happened to respond to their views in a bottom-up manner. He believed that YAC would do more than the Programme Committee and would be beneficial to youth leadership training and raising their awareness to contemporary issues.

29. Mr CHEUNG Man-lung said that in view of the different composition of young people in various districts across Hong Kong, the establishment of a committee under K&T DC could cater to the needs of young people in Kwai Tsing district at the district level. Therefore, the work of YAC and the Programme Committee did not overlap.

30. The District Officer responded that the Programme Committee would continue to organise programmes and the actual work of YAC would not be known until it commenced operation. Nevertheless, the work of YAC must be compliant with the functions conferred to District Councils by section 61 of the District Councils Ordinance (Cap. 547).

31. Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen opined that even if K&T DO had a relevant committee, K&T DC could still establish a committee on relevant matters, otherwise K&T DC would have no reason for existence. He believed that the work of YAC could be discussed in detail at its future meetings and YAC and the Programme Committee could be complementary to each other for the greater benefit of young people in the district.

32. Mr LEUNG Kam-wai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) If the Government agreed to transfer resources to YAC, he did not mind dissolving the Programme Committee because committees under K&T DC enjoyed greater recognition.
- (ii) The Government had all along neglected young people's needs and the cash handout measures in the past did not benefit the youth community. YAC provided a platform for young people to speak up for themselves

and express opinions to the Government.

- (iii) Relevant matters had already been discussed at the previous meeting of K&T DC. It was a waste of meeting time that some Members who were absent from the meeting made repeated enquiries.

33. Mr HON Chun-yin pointed out that the social movements in Hong Kong over the past six months were related to a lack of formal channels provided by the Government for young people to express their opinions. Therefore, there was a need for K&T DC to establish YAC to respond to their demands to make K&T DC truly representative of public opinion.

34. Miss KWOK Fu-yung put forth opinions and enquiry as follows:

- (i) She left her seat in protest at the previous meeting of K&T DC because some Members including the Chairman sang a song that advocated “Hong Kong independence” and her enquiry about the Standing Orders was not entertained. She hoped that the Chairman and the District Officer would perform their gate-keeping role effectively to prevent similar incidents from happening again at future meetings.
- (ii) As a member of the Programme Committee, she would be pleased to see more young stakeholders take part in community development affairs and thus agreed on the establishment of YAC.
- (iii) K&T DC was a platform for expressing views and Members should be allowed to ask questions about papers. In this connection, she would like to know what “youth engagement in community affairs and politics” in paragraph 1(iv) of annex 1 to K&T DC Paper No. 14/D/2020 referred to.

35. Miss LO Yuen-ting put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) She explained that she left her seat in protest at the previous meeting of K&T DC because the enquiry she made about the Standing Orders to the Chairman was not entertained. Also, Members had no access to the minutes of that meeting as they had not been confirmed by K&T DC yet.

- (ii) Members had the responsibility to raise questions about papers if in doubt and relay opinions of the public. She did not understand why they were blamed for wasting meeting time.
- (iii) She clarified that she did not object to the establishment of YAC but would like to know whether the programmes it organised would overlap with those of the Programme Committee to ensure proper use of public funds.

36. Miss WONG Pit-man put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) A Member did make an oral statement in the form of singing at the previous meeting of K&T DC but after that, the Members who had left should have returned to the conference room for the rest of the meeting.
- (ii) A number of bodies made live broadcasts of DC meetings nowadays and Members could view discussions anytime.

37. Mr NG Kim-sing pointed out that one could tell from the names that the two committees were different in nature: YAC discussed district youth policies and affairs while the Programme Committee mainly organised youth programmes.

38. Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren opined that it was good that Members discussed actively at the meeting and if pro-establishment Members attended YAC meetings, they could join the discussion about what aspect of work “youth engagement in politics” should include.

39. Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) Since most of the Government’s policies failed to cater to the needs of the public, there was a need for K&T DC to relay opinions to the Government. Especially on youth affairs, K&T DC was needed to provide a platform for young people to express their views.
- (ii) He suggested that pro-establishment Members should take part in the work of YAC to monitor whether its operation met public expectations.

40. Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen pointed out that K&T DC often organised food and

drink events in the past, which were a waste of public funds.

41. Miss LEUNG Ching-shan opined that YAC could advocate policies and organise programmes in response to those advocacy to assist in local administration.

42. Mr LEUNG Chi-shing opined that there was no conflict between the functions of the two committees and they could even be complementary to each other. Members should deal with the matter positively and listen to young people's views.

43. Mr LAM Siu-fai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) To rectify the problem and reverse K&T DC's trend of misusing funds in the past, the establishment of YAC was even more justifiable for organising programmes that better met community needs.
- (ii) The serious problem of illegal parking in the district was discussed at the previous meeting of K&T DC. He did not understand why Members of constituencies that were deeply troubled by that problem (e.g. Tai Pak Tin West) would choose to be absent.
- (iii) He encouraged pro-establishment Members to join YAC and devise its work plan together.

44. Mr CHAN Chi-wing put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) Since he was busy with district work, he did not have time to watch the broadcast of the K&T DC meeting.
- (ii) He agreed that work on youth affairs was important and did not object to the establishment of YAC.
- (iii) Members should have the freedom to express their opinions and raise questions. He was dissatisfied with some Members' allegation that they were wasting meeting time.

45. The Chairman declared putting the establishment of YAC and the terms of reference in annex 1 to K&T DC Paper No. 14/D/2020 to vote in an open ballot.

46. The voting result was as follows:

- For: 27 votes  
(Mr CHEUNG Man-lung (The Vice-chairman), Mr CHAN Chi-wing, Mr TSUI Hiu-kit, Miss LO Yuen-ting, Ms LEUNG Kar-ming, Miss KWOK Fu-yung, Mr KWOK Tsz-kin, Mr WONG Chun-tat, Mr WONG Tin-yan, Mr CHOI Nga-man, Mr LEUNG Kam-wai, Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman, Miss WONG Pit-man, Mr SIN Ho-fai, Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren, Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve, Miss LEUNG Ching-shan, Mr LAU Chi-kit, Mr HON Chun-yin, Ms LAU Kwai-mui, Mr LAM Siu-fai, Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen, Mr LEUNG Kwok-wah, Mr WONG Bing-kuen, Mr HUI Kei-cheung, Mr TONG Ho-man and Mr NG Kim-sing)
- Against: 0 vote  
Abstention: 0 vote

Members endorsed the establishment of YAC and the terms of reference in annex 1 to K&T DC Paper No. 14/D/2020.

47. Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve moved a motion to endorse the proposed name of the Administration, Finance, Signature and Other Healthcare Projects Committee and its terms of reference in annex 2. The motion was seconded by Mr HON Chun-yin. Members unanimously endorsed the paper.

**Endorsement of the Membership Lists of the Committees under K&T DC in 2020 and 2021**

(Proposed by the Secretariat of the K&T DC)  
(K&T DC Paper No. 15/D/2020)

48. The Chairman introduced the paper.

49. Ms LEUNG Kar-ming said that Mr LAM Siu-fai, Ms LAU Kwai-mui and Miss LEUNG Ching-shan had not joined YAC and enquired whether their applications for membership had been omitted.

50. Mr LAM Siu-fai said that Members had the freedom to join any committee and reiterated that he was surely concerned about youth affairs and would reconsider

whether to join the committee.

51. The Chairman said that Members could apply to join or withdraw from a committee anytime.

52. Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren encouraged more Members to join the Vetting Committee to monitor the use of K&T DC's funds.

53. Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman moved a motion to endorse K&T DC Paper No. 15/D/2020. The motion was seconded by Mr LAU Chi-kit. Members unanimously endorsed the paper.

### **Endorsement of Partner Organisations of Projects Funded by K&T DC**

(Proposed by the Secretariat of the K&T DC)

(K&T DC Paper No. 16/D/2020) (paper cancelled)

54. The Secretary said that K&T DC approved the funding provisions at the previous meeting as follows:

- (i) \$110,000 for “publicity and education work on coping with chemical weapons”, whose Member-in-charge was Mr LEUNG Kam-wai.
- (ii) \$899,000 for the “Feast for the Elderly” (the Feast), whose Member-in-charge was Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen.

The Secretariat would follow up on the selection of partner organisations and submission of funding applications based on the instructions of the Members-in-charge.

55. Members unanimously agreed that the Community Affairs Committee (CAC) would be responsible for “publicity and education work on coping with chemical weapons” and the Recreation and Culture Committee for the Feast.

### **Discussion on Illegal Parking in Kwai Tsing**

56. The Chairman pointed out that Members endorsed an extempore motion in the 121st K&T DC meeting on illegal parking in Kwai Tsing District, and subsequently the Secretariat invited the representatives from the Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF) and the Transport Department (TD) to attend the meeting to give an account of the above

matter, but none of the departments sent representative to attend the meeting.

57. Mr WONG Bing-kuen enquired as follows:

- (i) The reasons for the absence of the representatives of the above two departments.
- (ii) How the Secretariat would handle the absence of department representatives.

58. Mr TSUI Hiu-kit pointed out that the problem of illegal parking in Kwai Tsing District was serious, in particular at the roundabouts and the entrance to and exit of the bus termini, which greatly affected the safety of the public and the traffic of the whole district. He hoped that the Police could at least handle the illegal parking at those locations first even under circumstance of insufficient manpower.

59. Miss LO Yuen-ting put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) Many vehicles were illegally parked along the traffic lanes and on the pavements during the peak hours and endangered the safety of the pedestrians. She hoped that the Police could enhance law enforcement.
- (ii) The parking space in Kwai Tsing District was insufficient and the content of the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines was not up to date. The Planning Department (PlanD) should consider the situation concerning parking space in the district before making decision on whether to work on other construction.
- (iii) She urged TD to set up large-scale car park in the district as soon as possible.

60. Mr HUI Kei-cheung requested the District Officer to first respond to questions concerning the absence of department representatives and pointed out that the District Officer's response might affect Members' emphases in their remarks.

61. The Chairman said that he would invite the District Officer to give consolidated response after Members had made their remarks. Members could express their views with regard to the two aspects of illegal parking and the absence of department

representatives.

62. Miss KWOK Fu-yung put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) Illegal parking was a territory-wide problem in Hong Kong and he hoped that HKPF could enhance law enforcement and prosecution.
- (ii) She suggested HKPF should make monthly announcement on the number of illegal parking and prosecutions made in the district so as to facilitate Members to monitor the trend of illegal parking.
- (iii) She reminded drivers to be self-disciplined.

63. Mr KWOK Tsz-kin pointed out that HKPF and TD had responsibility to attend the meeting and should include the number of illegal parking as a routine report item to K&T DC.

64. Mr LEUNG Kam-wai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) After K&T DC had endorsed the extempore motion on illegal parking, no improvement was seen in the areas near Tai Wo Hau Road and Tai Ha Street in Kwai Chung Estate, especially there were always coaches parking at the bus terminus near Chau Kwai House, but HKPF was never seen enforcing the law.
- (ii) He was concerned about how the Secretariat would respond to the enquiries about the absence of department representatives from the meeting, and he urged the departments to respond to the opinions raised by Members.
- (iii) He criticised HKPF for ignoring public opinion.

65. Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He expressed his regret over the absence of department representatives from the meeting.
- (ii) Illegal parking had been a matter of concern in K&T DC over the years

and Members repeatedly suggested increasing parking space, and yet no review and follow-up were seen done by TD, HD, HKPF, Highways Department or PlanD. He had discussed with HD staff on how to establish mechanical double-deck parking space, but it was left unsettled in the end.

- (iii) Illegal parking in areas near Kwai Shing Circuit and Lai King was getting worse and he urged HKPF not to be selective in taking law enforcement actions.

66. Miss WONG Pit-man put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) She noted that HKPF had taken actions to disperse vehicles, but no more law enforcement actions by HKPF or traffic wardens were seen afterwards. Even making reports to HKPF and 1823, the cases were refused, and the situation reverted to its old way.
- (ii) She suggested making reference to the experience in foreign countries and looking into feasible solutions to curb the rapid increase in the number of vehicles.

67. Mr SIN Ho-fai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He noted that HKPF had sent representatives to attend the DC meetings of Tsuen Wan District and Central & Western District, and yet they chose to be absent from the K&T DC meeting. He felt disappointed and enquired if the Secretariat had communication problems with the departments.
- (ii) No enhanced enforcement actions were yet seen addressing illegal parking by HKPF. Police vehicles were still parking at the roundabouts in the district and seemed they had ignored the views of K&T DC.
- (iii) He was surprised that TD's representatives were absent from the meeting and wished to know the reason.

68. Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He felt odd for the absence of TD's representatives from the meeting and urged TD to build smart car parks as soon as possible.
- (ii) Illegal parking on Nga Ying Chau Street, Tsing King Road, across Easeful Court and in the area near Tam Kong Shan Road was still serious and the vehicles involved were more or less the same and yet HKPF had not followed up.
- (iii) He suggested K&T DC should hold the meeting at Kwai Chung or Tsing Yi Police Station to ensure HKPF's representatives could attend the meeting and respond to public opinion.

69. Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) Illegal parking was a territory-wide livelihood problem and was part of HKPF's duties, but HKPF had no intention to respond to that, which was showing no respect to K&T DC.
- (ii) Illegal parking on Lai King Hill Road was serious but the public had no way to turn to for assistance.
- (iii) He opined that the District Officer had to urge department representatives to attend the meetings.

70. Mr LAU Chi-kit put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He condemned HKPF and TD representatives for their absence, which was a negligence of K&T DC and public opinion. He requested the District Officer to urge department representatives to attend the meeting and listen to Members' views.
- (ii) Illegal parking was serious on Tam Kong Shan Road and Nga Ying Chau Street. He urged HKPF to enhance law enforcement to ensure the roads were accessible and free from obstruction.
- (iii) He expected the Government to conduct long-term planning and provide smart parking space in the district.

71. Mr HON Chun-yin put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) In the last K&T DC meeting, Members had mentioned that apart from law enforcement, good planning was also an important element in improving illegal parking. For example, the parking space in Tsing Chun Court, a newly established housing under the Home Ownership Scheme, was limited that some of the residents had to park their vehicles on the roadside. TD's representatives had to respond to the views raised by Members and pay serious attention to the problem of insufficient parking space. In this connection, he condemned TD's representatives for being absent from the meeting.
- (ii) There were always heavy trucks (including tractors, concrete mixer trucks and oil tankers) illegally parked on the slope along Ching Hong Road. It might end up in casualties in case of accidents. HKPF had not taking any enforcement actions at the location, which was a negligence of the well-being of the public.

72. Ms LAU Kwai-mui put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) She was disappointed for the absence of HKPF's representatives from the meeting.
- (ii) Illegal parking occupied the pavements and easily caused accidents because the pedestrians had to walk onto the road, which affected road safety.
- (iii) Illegal parking usually improved only briefly after HKPF's law enforcement actions, so HKPF's enforcement actions needed to be persistent.
- (iv) A newsstand owner reported burglary to the police after the goods were stolen, but HKPF asked him to bring the CCTV footage on his own to the police station to make a report, which was indeed unreasonable.

73. Mr LAM Siu-fai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He could not accept HKPF's negligence in dealing with cases relevant to

public order (e.g. burglary) as well as their absence from the K&T DC meeting.

- (ii) Minibus stations were also black spots of illegal parking. The minibus drivers suffered so much that they even said they wished to park at the crossroads to force HKPF to enforce the law.
- (iii) The District Officer should co-ordinate the work of different departments to solve the problems in the district together, but he believed that co-ordination was not able to be conducted smoothly in the past six months.

74. Mr LEUNG Kwok-wah put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) The District Officer should personally visit North-east Kwai Chung (i.e. areas near Tai Loong Street, Tai Pak Tin Street and Shek Pai Street) to inspect the situation of illegal parking, particularly the slopes on which heavy vehicles were fully parked, so he would know how critical the situation was.
- (ii) He wrote to the Police Public Relations Branch earlier in the month to inform them of the problem, but no reply was received so far, which showed that HKPF was neglecting K&T DC's opinions. He believed that only by dissolving the Force could the problem be solved.

75. Mr HUI Kei-cheung put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He condemned representatives of HKPF and TD for their absence from the meeting. The Secretariat had to follow up on the reason of their absence and submission of written reply.
- (ii) TD should review the number of parking space in Kwai Tsing District and make reference to Tsuen Wan District to set up smart car parks.
- (iii) HKPF had the responsibility to maintain public order and ensure road safety. He hoped that they would not focus on one issue but lose sight of another.

- (iv) It was rumoured that HKPF would purchase lethal weapons like stun guns. He expressed strong opposition.

76. Mr NG Kim-sing put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He wrote to HKPF regarding illegal parking, but they refused to handle using the excuse of insufficient manpower.
- (ii) Illegal parking had long existed but the problem had aggravated in the past half year. For example, single-lane illegal parking became double-lane one, forcing the public to get on the transport in the middle of the road, which was very dangerous. This showed that illegal parking was an important livelihood issue.
- (iii) He could not accept that representatives of HKPF and TD were absent from the meeting and suggested K&T DC should conduct meeting at Kwai Chung or Tsing Yi Police Station or the Police Headquarters to ensure that HKPF responded to the demands of K&T DC.

77. The District Officer responded that as follows:

- (i) The Secretariat informed HKPF and TD of the extempore motion endorsed after the last meeting and invited them to respond to the extempore motion and attend the meeting. Generally, there were representatives of departments in regular attendance (including HKPF and TD) in DC meetings. As for special meetings, the departments would consider whether they attend according to the needs.
- (ii) In HKPF's written reply, they said they were pleased to report to and discuss with Members their work on illegal parking in the regular meetings (including K&T DC and Traffic and Transport Committee).
- (iii) TD considered that urgent matters related to illegal parking should be more relevant to HKPF's enforcement actions, and therefore it would be more appropriate for HKPF to respond.
- (iv) It was believed that the departments would discuss the issue of illegal parking with Members in future regular meetings.

78. Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren enquired if HKPF and TD had given their written replies. If they had, that should be distributed to Members for reference. This was an example of the departments' disregard for the opinions of elected Members.

79. The District Officer said that HKPF had given its written reply.

80. Assistant District Officer said that TD had given a verbal reply, and that Members' opinions were recorded in the minutes which would also be relayed to the departments for follow-up.

81. Miss LEUNG Ching-shan put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) She felt regret for the department representatives' absence from the meeting.
- (ii) It was quite distant from the next regular meeting. HKPF should submit written report regarding their work on combating illegal parking (e.g. attendance records and figures on law enforcement actions taken) in the period in between.

82. Illegal parking was endangering the personal safety of members of the public and required immediate handling. That was why special meeting was arranged for discussion. The issue should have been attached with more importance by the departments, but they said that they would only attend regular meetings, which might not necessarily include topics that required urgent discussion. That was to put the cart before the horse.

83. Mr CHEUNG Man-lung was shocked for the absence of TD's representative. He pointed out that illegal parking was not only resulted from HKPF's ineffective law enforcement, but also related to planning, design of traffic lights and road signs, and the marking of restricted zones. He believed that if TD's representatives had attended the meeting, at least some of the problems could have been improved upon follow-up. There was no choice now but only wasting meeting time. In this regard, he strongly condemned TD.

84. Mr WONG Bing-kuen put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) The DCs had stopped operation since October last year. The problem of illegal parking annoyed the public all the more, so a special meeting was called in order to seek solution as soon as possible. Special meeting was not rare indeed. Government officials also arranged to meet Members outside regular meetings now and then to hold discussion on issues in specific fields. He did not understand why HKPF and TD absented themselves from the meeting with such an excuse.
- (ii) Even though representatives of the departments were absent from the meeting, they should submit papers to K&T DC to give an account of ways to improve illegal parking.

85. The District Officer said that the written replies by HKPF and TD regarding their absence and the work on combating illegal parking would be sent to Members after the meeting.

86. Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman pointed out that HKPF's representatives were absent from the 120th K&T DC meeting held on 12 September 2019, so it was not true that they said they would attend regular meetings.

87. The Chairman received an extempore motion ("Extempore Motion 1"):

Extempore motion: Kwai Tsing District Council (K&T DC) condemned the Hong Kong Police Force for Refusing to Attend K&T DC Meeting; K&T DC Opposed to the Granting of Salary Increments to the Police and the Purchase of Lethal Weapons Like Stun Guns.

---

(Moved by Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve and seconded by Mr HUI Kei-cheung and Mr LEUNG Kam-wai)

88. Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman and Mr LAM Siu-fai declared that they were committee members of the District Fight Crime Committee (Kwai Tsing). The Chairman opined that their roles had no conflict of interest with the content of Extempore Motion 1, so he ruled that they could participate in the discussion and vote.

89. The Chairman put Extempore Motion 1 to vote for acceptance. Members unanimously accepted Extempore Motion 1 for discussion.

90. A Member proposed revision to the extempore motion. While waiting for the

Member to prepare the revision, the Chairman explained that according to order 21(1) of the Standing Orders, “A motion to amend an extempore motion must be decided upon by the Council (by ballot if necessary) as to whether it will be accepted for discussion and voting at a meeting before the original motion (whether amended or not) can be put to the meeting for voting.”

91. The Chairman received an extempore motion (“Extempore Motion 2”):

Extempore motion: Kwai Tsing District Council (K&T DC) condemned the Transport Department (TD) for Refusing to Attend K&T DC Meeting; Requested TD to Reply to Members’ Enquiries Raised in the 19th Special Meeting of K&T DC Within One Week.

---

(Moved by Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren and Mr SIN Ho-fai and seconded by Miss WONG Pit-man and Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve)

92. The Chairman received the revision to Extempore Motion 1:

Extempore motion: Kwai Tsing District Council (K&T DC) Requested Compulsory Attendance to the Meeting by Representatives from Departments That Were Relevant to the Agenda Items in the Meeting. In Case They Could Not Attend, the Reason Must Be Provided with Written Reply to Respond to Members’ Enquiries.

---

(Moved by Miss KWOK Fu-yung and seconded by Ms LEUNG Kar-ming, Miss LO Yuen-ting and Mr TSUI Hiu-kit)

93. The Chairman ruled the revision to the above Extempore Motion 1 a new extempore motion (“Extempore Motion 3”). Discussion would be conducted separately.

94. The Chairman put Extempore Motion 1 to vote in an open ballot.

95. The voting result was as follows:

For: 22 Votes

(Mr CHEUNG Man-lung (The Vice-chairman), Mr KWOK Tsz-kin, Mr WONG Chun-tat, Mr WONG Tin-yan, Mr CHOI Nga-man, Mr LEUNG Kam-wai, Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman, Miss WONG Pit-man, Mr SIN Ho-fai, Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren,

Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve, Miss LEUNG Ching-shan, Mr LAU Chi-kit, Mr HON Chun-yin, Ms LAU Kwai-mui, Mr LAM Siu-fai, Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen, Mr LEUNG Kwok-wah, Mr WONG Bing-kuen, Mr HUI Kei-cheung, Mr TONG Ho-man and Mr NG Kim-sing)

Against: 0 vote

Abstention: 4 votes

(Including Mr TSUI Hiu-kit, Miss LO Yuen-tin, Ms LEUNG Kar-ming and Miss KWOK Fu-yung)

The extempore motion was endorsed unanimously.

(Post-meeting note : The Secretariat circulated the relevant documents of -

- the Hong Kong Police Force to members on 23 January 2020. For details, please refer to Kwai Tsing District Council Circulation Paper (Information) No. 6/2020;
- the Civil Service Bureau to members on 29 January 2020. For details, please refer to Kwai Tsing District Council Circulation Paper (Information) No. 7/2020.)

96. The Chairman put Extempore Motion 2 to vote for acceptance. Members unanimously accepted Extempore Motion 2 for discussion.

(Post-meeting note: The Secretariat circulated the relevant document of the Transport Department to members on 23 January 2020. For details, please refer to Kwai Tsing District Council Circulation Paper (Information) No. 5/2020.)

97. The Chairman put Extempore Motion 2 to the vote. Members unanimously endorsed Extempore Motion 2.

98. Miss KWOK Fu-yung introduced Extempore Motion 3. She opined that apart from HKPF and TD, all government departments must send representatives to attend the meeting in accordance with the meeting agenda. In case of absence, they should also give reason by written reply. Besides, she stated that Extempore Motion 1 should split into two extempore motions: (1) K&T DC Condemned HKPF for Refusing to Attend K&T DC Meeting, and (2) K&T DC Opposed to the Granting of Salary Increments to the Police and the Purchase of Lethal Weapons Like Stun Guns. Since she had doubt about the content of part 2, she abstained.

99. The Chairman put Extempore Motion 3 to vote for acceptance. Members

unanimously accepted Extempore Motion 3 for discussion.

100. Mr WONG Bing-kuen said that besides giving the reason of absence by written reply, the departments should respond to relevant issues.

101. Miss KWOK Fu-yung responded that Extempore Motion 3 already included the request for the departments to respond to Members' enquiries.

102. Mr LEUNG Kam-wai opined that Extempore Motion 3 requested compulsory attendance to the meetings by department representatives on one hand, but allowed room for them to provide written reply in case of absence on the other hand. This seemed to be contradictory.

103. Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren agreed with Mr LEUNG Kam-wai that Extempore Motion 3 might allow room for the departments to be absent from the meetings. However, he also stressed the importance of provision of written reply by the departments in case they were absent from the meeting.

104. Mr LAM Siu-fai pointed out that there should be more than one officer in a department to be responsible for the work concerned. There must be way to arrange representatives to attend the meetings. Therefore, he opined that department representatives must attend the meetings.

105. Miss KWOK Fu-yung stated that she did not wish to see in the future that department representatives neither attended the meeting nor submitted any written reply, so she moved Extempore Motion 3. She welcomed other Members to propose revision to the extempore motion to improve the content of Extempore Motion 3.

106. Mr HUI Kei-cheung opined that the department representatives should not be misled that they could give written reply in lieu of attending the meeting. The Secretariat should also perform its gate-keeping role for K&TDC and requested the departments to provide written reply. He suggested Miss KWOK Fu-yung should revise the content of Extempore Motion 3.

107. Miss KWOK Fu-yung reiterated that she did not intend to give room for absence to the departments which were relevant to the meeting agenda, and yet, to avoid Members' views being left up in the air in case they were absent, she included the request for the departments to provide written reply in Extempore Motion 3.

108. Ms LEUNG Kar-ming pointed out that no matter what K&T DC requested, there was still possibility that department representatives might be absent from the meeting, so it was reasonable to list out a backup solution in Extempore Motion 3.

109. Mr NG Kim-sing pointed out that in accordance with the Standing Orders, the mover was not allowed to revise the motion he/she moved, so he opined that Members should not suggest Miss KWOK Fu-yung revise Extempore Motion 3.

110. Mr TSUI Hiu-kit pointed out that Members also had responsibility to attend the DC meetings, but there was always special reason that absence was inevitable, so he opined that it was reasonable to include written reply as a condition in Extempore Motion 3.

111. The Chairman said that his knowledge on the voting procedures regarding revising a motion was different from that in the Standing Orders, which also seemed to be different from the regulations in majority of international conferences. He would pass it to AFC to discuss and revise in future meetings.

112. The Chairman received a revision to Extempore Motion 3:

Extempore motion: Kwai Tsing District Council Requested Departments Relevant to the Meeting Agenda to Send Staff to Attend the Meeting. The Departments Concerned Must Respond to Members' Enquiries and Resolutions Within 7 Days After the Meeting.

---

(Moved by Mr LEUNG Kam-wai and seconded by Mr LAM Siu-fai and Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren.)

113. Miss KWOK Fu-yung opined that the revised extempore motion above did not request the departments to explain the reason for absence in written reply, which was even laxer than Extempore Motion 3.

114. Mr WONG Bing-kuen opined that the revised extempore motion above was different from Extempore Motion 3. It could be treated as a new extempore motion.

115. Upon consideration, the Chairman ruled the above revised extempore motion a new motion ("Extempore Motion 4") and would put it to vote separately.

116. The Chairman put Extempore Motion 3 to the vote. Members unanimously

endorsed Extempore Motion 3.

117. The Chairman put Extempore Motion 4 to vote for acceptance. Members unanimously accepted Extempore Motion 4 for discussion.

118. The Chairman put Extempore Motion 4 to the vote. Members unanimously endorsed Extempore Motion 4.

**Discussion on Conflicts between the Police and the Public in Kwai Tsing**

119. The Chairman deferred discussing the issue until the 122nd meeting of K&T DC held on 10 March 2020

120. The Chairman invited Members to submit written enquiries to the Secretariat in connection with the conflicts between the police and the public in Kwai Tsing as soon as possible so as to allow sufficient time for the departments concerned to prepare reply documents.

121. Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren enquired how the Secretariat would handle if HKPF representatives were still absent from the meeting.

122. The Chairman said that he would discuss the arrangements to be made with the Secretariat.

**Motion: K&TDC to Urgently Allocate Funding to Purchase Surgical Masks and Alcohol-based Handrubs**

(Moved by Miss LEUNG Ching-shan and Mr LEUNG Chi-shing and seconded by Mr CHOI Nga-man)

(K&T DC Paper No. 17/D/2020)

123. Miss LEUNG Ching-shan introduced the motion.

(Since the original seconder the Hon. LEUNG Yiu-chung was absent when the motion was discussed in the meeting, and thus Mr CHOI Nga-man became the seconder.)

124. Miss KWOK Fu-yung enquired if the motion was endorsed, in what way the purchase would be conducted (e.g. by K&T DO or programme partners).

125. The Chairman said that the use of K&T DC funds had to comply with the Guidelines on the Use of Kwai Tsing District Council Funds. At the moment, Members only needed to declare their stance as whether they supported endorsement of the motion. If the motion was endorsed, discussion on the detailed implementation could be further conducted.

126. The District Officer pointed out that the District-led Actions Scheme under K&T DO had already allocated funding to purchase anti-epidemic packs and each unit in public rental housing estates could get one. Due to limited resources, private housing was not able to be served with anti-epidemic packs for the time being.

127. Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman enquired through what channels the anti-epidemic packs were to be distributed to the residents in public rental housing.

128. The District Officer responded that the anti-epidemic packs would be distributed to the residents' mailboxes via the estate management companies.

129. The Chairman put the motion to the vote. Members unanimously endorsed the motion.

130. Mr LEUNG Kam-wai suggested transferring the fund originally allocated to holding the Kwai Tsing District Road Safety Carnival (\$152,000) and the Sharp Smart Elderly Pedestrian Safety Promotion Campaign (\$35,000) to purchasing surgical masks and alcohol-based handrubs, which totalled \$187,000. Miss WONG Pit-man seconded the motion.

131. Members unanimously endorsed the allocation of \$187,000 to purchase masks and alcohol-based handrubs.

132. Members unanimously endorsed the setting up of a non-standing working group under CAC to follow up on the purchase, and that Miss LEUNG Ching-shan to be the Member-in-charge of the working group.

133. Miss KWOK Fu-yung pointed out that there were anti-rodent campaigns co-ordinated by K&T DO, in which Members were invited to propose hygiene blackspots in the rear lanes of private buildings in their respective constituency and enhance cleansing of them. The result was quite effective. She suggested the distribution of anti-epidemic packs this time should make reference to relevant

experience so as to fairly distribute the masks and handrubs to all residents in each constituency.

134. Mr LAM Siu-fai opined that the theme of the campaign mentioned by Miss KWOK Fu-yung was anti-rodent, which was different from epidemic prevention. He also suggested K&T DC should continue the anti-rodent work.

**Motion: To Set Up Lennon Wall in the Community**

(Moted by Miss LEUNG Ching-shan and Mr LEUNG Chi-shing and seconded by the Hon. LEUNG Yiu-chung)

(K&T DC Paper No. 18/D/2020)

135. Miss LEUNG Ching-shan introduced the motion.

136. Mr LEUNG Chi-shing added that at present, Lennon Walls in different parts of Hong Kong caused quite a lot of political conflicts and cleansing issues, but the public still wished they had a platform for extensive exchange of opinions, so he suggested finding place to formalise a Lennon Wall.

137. The Hon. LEUNG Yiu-chung put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) The community lacked a Democracy Wall for the people to express their opinions and K&T DC should respect the expression of public opinion.
- (ii) There were conflicts arising from some people questioning the legality of Lennon Wall, and there were people arrested and beaten arbitrarily by the Police for posting on Lennon Walls. The situation was extremely undesirable.
- (iii) He hoped that K&T DC could find suitable place to set up a Lennon Wall to allow the people to express their views.

138. Mr CHEUNG Man-lung put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He supported the motion.
- (ii) K&T DC could make reference to the guidelines on running the Democracy Walls in the universities to formulate regulations on the

management of the Lennon Wall. He hoped that the Lennon Wall could be managed with rules as laxly so possible so as to uphold the people's freedom of expression.

- (iii) The departments were taking a suppressing approach towards the publicity materials concerning the amendments of FOO, so there was need to have a Lennon Wall set up by K&T DC

139. The Hon. WAN Siu-kin, Andrew put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) The Government should encourage the people to express their opinions on Lennon Wall for it was the mildest and most reasonable means. However, there were members of the public who encountered attacks for posting on Lennon Walls and the Police handled in a partial manner by turning a blind eye to the attacks. Under such circumstances, K&T DC should set up a Lennon Wall to protect the safety of people who wished to express their opinions.
- (ii) There were many notice boards under the purview of K&T DO and no difficulty was seen in the management. It was believed that the Lennon Wall established by K&T DC could also be properly managed.

140. Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen supported the motion. He opined that the provision of regularised Lennon Wall for the public to express their opinions could reduce conflicts. Moreover, people with different political stances could make use of the Lennon Wall. It was a fair measure.

141. Mr LAM Siu-fai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) There was a shopping mall that lent its external walls for the public to express their opinions. It turned out that some teenagers produced very beautiful designs, which surprised even the possessor of the mall and gained their appreciation, showing that the establishment of Lennon Wall in the community was feasible and it could encourage a diversified society.
- (ii) People holding different political stances could all make use of Lennon Wall to express their views, which was indeed a good means to stop

violence and curb disorder.

142. Mr HON Chun-yin put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) Some members of the public who were emotionally restrained might not be willing to talk about their views openly. The setting up of Lennon Wall could bring together the opinions of different individuals.
- (ii) The setting up of official Lennon Wall (or perhaps renamed as Democracy Wall) could avoid violent incidents and conflicts, and arbitrary arrests of the people by the Police due to posting of publicity materials.
- (iii) Reference should be made to the management of Democracy Walls in the universities. He believed that it would be enough to monitor if anybody occupied the Lennon Wall for commercial advertising.

143. Mr LAU Chi-kit put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) The Government should make reference to other countries' experience in setting up information board in the community for posting government's messages, local information and services, etc. Hong Kong was just lacking this kind of facility.
- (ii) Many people gained information from the Lennon Wall at the Maritime Square, which promoted civic education and exchange of opinions. K&T DO should consider opening its notice boards in the community for public use.
- (iii) He condemned the Police for using the excuse of cleansing the Lennon Walls to arrest the people.

144. Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) The way the Government collected public views in the past was outdated. For example, the usage rate of the Meet-the-Public Scheme was low, so more innovative and effective channels for the public to express their opinions should be considered.

- (ii) People with different political stances could all make use of the Lennon Wall to express their views.
- (iii) K&T DO should look into opening its notice boards in the community to provide the public with fine-looking and easy-to-manage platform to express their views.

145. Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) To implement municipal policies with an executive-led approach lacked a foundation in democracy.
- (ii) He wished to know the location of the notice boards in the community managed by K&T DO and K&T DC as a basis of discussion for selecting the site for the Lennon Wall.
- (iii) He urged the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) not to invite riot police to accompany their frontline officers to cleanse the Lennon Walls for the reason of protecting their safety. Such practice led to suspicion that the Police were deceiving overtime work allowances.
- (iv) The concept of Lennon Wall was to allow members of the public to express their opinions freely in public space and there should not be any regulations, so he opined that if a Lennon Wall was to be set up officially, its name should be changed.

146. Mr SIN Ho-fai put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He wished to know the location of notice boards and rain shelters in the community managed by K&T DO and K&T DC, and let Planning and District Facilities Management Committee (PDFMC) further discuss the site selection and management issues of the Lennon Wall.
- (ii) He noted that K&T DO spent \$1.28 million each year to decorate the 83 rain shelters in the community, which was quite a waste of public money. He opined that it would be more effective if to open those locations for the public to express their opinions.

147. Mr LEUNG Kam-wai was concerned about the issue of arbitrary arrests arising from the cleansing of Lennon Walls by different departments. He opined that apart from letting the public express their opinions, Lennon Wall could also help vent their emotions and reduce the risk of them making suicide.

148. Mr CHOI Nga-man put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) Lennon Walls were also criticised for fouling the streets. Setting up a regulated Lennon Wall could then solve the problem.
- (ii) Lennon Wall allowed young people to vent their emotions and express their thoughts. There were two 13-year-old teenagers arrested for drawing on a Lennon Wall a few days before. He found it really difficult to accept the arbitrary arrests made by the Police.

149. Mr WONG Chun-tat put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He supported the opening of more public space for the people to express opinions, but opined that they should not be called Lennon Walls because a Lennon Wall should be space freely picked by members of the public for opinion expression.
- (ii) A Lennon Wall could promote a culture of creativity which was worth further discussion in future committee meetings.

150. Mr KWOK Tsz-kin put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) He opined that the setting up of Lennon Wall was complementary to the function of K&T DC, providing a platform outside the Internet for the public to express their opinions, which facilitated the use by the elderly too.
- (ii) The design of the rain shelters set up by the District Offices was occasionally criticised in the past, so it would be better to open them for members of the public for opinion expression.
- (iii) The posters on Lennon Walls would disappear after cleansing. The Government should treasure and reserve those opinions.

151. Miss KWOK Fu-yung agreed to increase channels for the public to express their opinions, but the following several points should be noted:

- (i) An elderly person slipped and fell on the footbridge of Kwai Chung Plaza because of the promotional materials pasted on the ground, so prudent consideration must be given to the site selection of the Lennon Wall.
- (ii) Since the society was in serious dissention, adopting the universities' approach in managing the Democracy Walls in the past might not be sufficient to avoid violent incidents arising from disagreement in political views. Therefore, careful consideration must be given to ways to avoid the Lennon Wall being utilised for promoting messages related to "Hong Kong independence", violence and pornography.
- (iii) The actual operation for the Lennon Wall still awaited further discussion, i.e., which government departments would be responsible for follow up and report to K&T DC.

152. Ms LEUNG Kar-ming put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) She agreed to open more channels to collect public opinion but had concern over the management issue of the Lennon Wall and how to avoid violent incidents arising from difference in political stances.
- (ii) She received complaints from parents saying that Lennon Walls were put up with posters containing threatening contents which they were afraid the children might feel disturbing when seeing that. Pasting the posters on the ground might also endanger the safety of the pedestrians, so it was necessary to control contents related to promotion of "Hong Kong independence", incitement to violence and commercial advertising on the Lennon Wall.

153. Miss LO Yuen-ting agreed to open up more channels to collect public opinion, but she was also worried about the management issue of the Lennon Wall, such as which government department would be responsible for the supervision of the operation of the Lennon Wall, where to set up the Lennon Wall, how frequent should the cleansing be, whether to impose limit on the number of time of expression, how to avoid conflicts,

etc. All these required further discussion in future committee meetings to give the matter thorough consideration.

154. The Chairman put the motion to vote in an open ballot.

For: 25 votes  
(Mr CHEUNG Man-lung (The Vice-chairman), Mr TSUI Hiu-kit, Mr KWOK Tsz-kin, Mr WONG Chun-tat, Mr WONG Tin-yan, Mr CHOI Nga-man, Mr LEUNG Kam-wai, Mr CHOW Wai-hung, Rayman, Miss WONG Pit-man, Mr SIN Ho-fai, Mr TAM Ka-chun, Warren, Mr CHEUNG Kwan-kiu, Steve, Miss LEUNG Ching-shan, The Hon. LEUNG Yiu-chung, Mr LEUNG Chi-shing, Mr LAU Chi-kit, Mr HON Chun-yin, Ms LAU Kwai-mui, Mr LAM Siu-fai, Mr LEUNG Wing-kuen, Mr LEUNG Kwok-wah, The Hon. WAN Siu-kin, Andrew, Mr HUI Kei-cheung, Mr TONG Ho-man and Mr NG Kim-sing)

Against: 0 vote

Abstention: 3 votes  
(Miss LO Yuen-ting, Ms LEUNG Kar-ming and Miss KWOK Fu-yung)

The motion was endorsed.

155. The Chairman received an extempore motion as follows:

Extempore motion: To Request the Government to Form a Joint Task Force to Remove Illegal Slogans and Provide Different Channels to Collect Views from the Public.

---

(Moved by Miss LO Yuen-ting and seconded by Ms LEUNG Kar-ming and Miss KWOK Fu-yung)

156. Miss LO Yuen-ting introduced the motion. She said that the existing Lennon Walls lacked regulation and some content had caused anxiety in the public. Therefore, she hoped that relevant government departments would set up a task force to remove those illegal slogans.

157. Miss KWOK Fu-yung put forth opinions as follows:

- (i) She supported opening up channels to collect views, but the existing operation and regulation of Lennon Walls were immature.
- (ii) She hoped that Members would have detailed discussion before deciding on the site selection and modus operandi of the Lennon Wall.
- (iii) The Lennon Wall would only serve the purpose if government departments regularly viewed and followed up on the comments on the wall, otherwise Members' expectations could not be met.

158. The Hon. WAN Siu-kin, Andrew enquired about the government departments involved in the task force.

159. Mr LEUNG Kam-wai opined that the above extempore motion was irrelevant to this agenda item and requested to stop the discussion.

160. The Chairman opined that the above extempore motion was relevant to this agenda item.

161. Miss LO Yuen-ting responded that K&T DO could suggest co-ordinating the government departments of which the task force was composed.

162. The Chairman put the above extempore motion to the vote for acceptance. There were 4 votes for, 19 votes against and 0 abstention. The extempore motion was negatived.

163. The District Officer responded as follows:

- (i) Members' comments about setting up Lennon Wall in the community were conceptual only and there were no suggestions on details of the actual operation yet. Yet it was believed that the detailed operation would involve various government departments. However, none of these departments had any representative present at the meeting today. Hence, K&T DO and other potentially concerned government departments reserved their position on the motion and would respond after K&T DC had put forth specific suggestions.
- (ii) K&T DO would provide information on the locations of the notice

boards and rain shelters in the district after the meeting.

**Any Other Business**

164. No other business was raised.

**Date of Next Meeting**

165. The next meeting was scheduled to be held on 10 March 2020 (Tuesday).

Kwai Tsing District Council Secretariat  
March 2020