

**Sai Kung District Council**  
**Minutes of the First Meeting in 2026**

---

Date: 6 January 2026 (Tuesday)  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Venue: Conference Room of the Sai Kung District Council

**Present**

Miss MA King-fan, Kathy, JP (Chairman)	District Officer (Sai Kung), Sai Kung District Office
Hon FONG Kwok-shan, Christine	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr WANG Wen	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr WONG Shui-sang	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr LI Tin-chi	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr LI Ka-leung, Philip, MH	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Ms LI Ka-yan	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHAU Ka-lok	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr LAM Chun-ka	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Ms KI Lai-mei, MH	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr YAU Siu-hung, Kelvin, MH	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr YAU Ho-lun	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Ms YU Natasha	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Ms SZE Pan-pan	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Ms WU Suet-lin	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHEUNG Mei-hung, Chris	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHEUNG Chin-pang	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHEUNG Man-tim	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHONG Yuen-tung, MH	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Ms CHONG Nga-ting, Angel	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHAN Chi-ho	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHAN Kin-chun, Ken	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHAN Kwong-fai	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHAN Kai-wai, MH	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHAN Kuen-kwan, MH	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr TSANG Kwok-ka	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr WAN Kai-ming	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr WONG Wang-to, MH	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr WONG Yuen-hong	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Ms KAN Tung-tung	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr LAU Kai-hong, MH	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHENG Yu-hei	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Ms TAM Chuk-kwan	Member of Sai Kung District Council
Mr CHUNG Kai-yin (Secretary)	Senior Executive Officer (District Council), Sai Kung District Office

## **In Attendance**

Miss LAM I-ching	Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung)1, Sai Kung District Office
Miss LUI Tsz-kiu, Crystal	Assistant District Officer (Sai Kung)2, Sai Kung District Office
Mr CHENG Chi-wing, Ken	Senior Liaison Officer (1), Sai Kung District Office
Miss WONG Wai-fong, Bonnie	Senior Liaison Officer (2), Sai Kung District Office
Mr NG Wai-ming	Senior Liaison Officer (3), Sai Kung District Office
Mr HUI Chun-kwan, Simon	Senior Executive Officer (District Management), Sai Kung District Office
Miss WONG Chui-ying, Erin	Executive Officer I (District Council), Sai Kung District Office
Mr KWONG Wang-ngai, Walter	District Planning Officer/Sai Kung & Islands, Planning Department
Ms LEE Kam-yung, Dora	District Social Welfare Officer (Wong Tai Sin/Sai Kung), Social Welfare Department
Mr YAU Kin-hung, Tyrol	District Commander (Wong Tai Sin), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr MAK Man-yu	District Commander (Tseung Kwan O), Hong Kong Police Force
Ms WAI Kar-yan, Maria	Divisional Commander (Sai Kung), Hong Kong Police Force
Ms SUM Ching-fun, Wayne	Deputy District Commander (Wong Tai Sin), Hong Kong Police Force
Ms LAM Mui-chun, Ivy	Police Community Relations Officer (Wong Tai Sin), Hong Kong Police Force
Ms SO Ming-wai, Kathy	Acting Police Community Relations Officer (Tseung Kwan O), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr NG Chou-keen, Horace	Chief Engineer/East 1, Civil Engineering and Development Department
Mr YEUNG Wong-pan	Senior Property Service Manager/Kowloon West & Sai Kung, Housing Department
Miss LEUNG Pui-yin, Wendy	Chief Transport Officer/Sai Kung & North, Transport Department
Ms LEE Lai-sheung, Susan	District Leisure Manager (Sai Kung), Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms LAI Ka-ye, Jenny	Deputy District Leisure Manager (District Support) Sai Kung, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Mr NG Edmond	District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Sai Kung), Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Ms CHOW Yuk-chu	Chief Health Inspector (Sai Kung)1, Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Mr CHOW Wai-chung	Chief Health Inspector (Sai Kung)2, Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

Ms YIP Yin-yi, Christine	District Lands Officer/Sai Kung, District Lands Office, Sai Kung	} for agenda item II(A)	
Mr WONG Kwai-sun, Sunny	Administrative Assistant/Lands, District Lands Office, Sai Kung		
Mr YEUNG Man-leung	Senior District Engineer/South East, Highways Department		
Mr WONG Kwun-wa	Engineer/Sai Kung, Drainage Services Department		
Mr Freely CHENG	Head of External Affairs, The Hong Kong Jockey Club		
Mr Tony YIU	Senior Manager, Operations (Public Riding Schools), The Hong Kong Jockey Club		
Mr Simon LEE	Senior Manager, Property Project Management, The Hong Kong Jockey Club		
Mr Elbert LEE	Executive Director, Wong Tung & Partners Limited		
Mr LEE Sing-tim, Wallace	Officer-in-charge (Restored Landfills), Environmental Protection Department		} for agenda item II(B)
Mr YU Wing-lun, Alan	Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Restored Landfills)2, Environmental Protection Department		
Mr LEUNG Yu-hin, Leo	Environmental Protection Officer (Restored Landfills)2, Environmental Protection Department		

The Chairman said a quorum was present and the meeting commenced officially.

2. The Chairman welcomed all Members and attendees to the meeting, in particular:

- Mr Tyrol YAU, District Commander (Wong Tai Sin), Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF), who took over from Mr YEUNG Chun-po, Carmelo, on transfer;
- Ms Wayne SUM, Deputy District Commander (Wong Tai Sin), HKPF;
- Ms Ivy LAM, Police Community Relations Officer (Wong Tai Sin), HKPF, who took over from Ms TSO Wing-in, Corey, on transfer;
- Mr WONG Kwun-wa, Engineer/Sai Kung, Drainage Services Department, who attended the meeting in place of Mr WONG Man-wang, Senior Engineer/Mainland South 6; and
- Mr YEUNG Wong-pan, Senior Property Service Manager/Kowloon West & Sai Kung, Housing Department, who attended the meeting in place of Ms MAK Siu-ling, Iris, Chief Manager/Management (Kowloon West & Sai Kung).

## **I. Confirmation of Minutes of the Sixth Meeting of the Sai Kung District Council (SKDC) held on 4 November 2025**

3. The Chairman said the Secretariat had not received any proposed amendment before the meeting. There being no proposed amendment at the meeting, the Chairman declared that the above minutes were confirmed.

## **II. New Items**

(A) The Proposed Development of “Tseung Kwan O Public Riding School” at Tseung Kwan O Restored Landfill Site (TKOL II/III) by The Hong Kong Jockey Club (SKDC(M) Paper No. 1/26)

4. The Chairman welcomed the following representatives to the meeting:

The Hong Kong Jockey Club (HKJC)

- Mr Freely CHENG, Head of External Affairs;
- Mr Tony YIU, Senior Manager, Operations (Public Riding Schools); and
- Mr Simon LEE, Senior Manager, Property Project Management.

Wong Tung & Partners Limited

- Mr Elbert LEE, Executive Director.

(B) Tseung Kwan O Restored Landfills – Extension of Temporary Government Land Allocation (SKDC(M) Paper No. 2/26)

5. The Chairman welcomed the following representatives to the meeting:

Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

- Mr Wallace LEE, Officer-in-charge (Restored Landfills); and
- Mr Alan YU, Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Restored Landfills)2.

6. As New Items (A) and (B) were relevant to the following discussion item suggested by Members, and there being no objection from Members, the Chairman declared that these items would be discussed together.

- (1) Suggest enhancing the development plans for recreational facilities at Tseung Kwan O restored landfills (SKDC(M) Paper No. 11/26)

7. The Chairman said the discussion item was raised by the following Members: Mr CHAN Kai-wai, Mr LAM Chun-ka, Mr Chris CHEUNG and Mr CHAN Kwong-fai.

8. Members noted the written replies from HKJC and EPD (SKDC(M) Paper

Nos. 5/26 and 12/26).

9. Mr Freely CHENG, Head of External Affairs, HKJC introduced the proposed development of “Tseung Kwan O Public Riding School” (TKOPRS) at Tseung Kwan O Restored Landfill Site (TKOL II/III) (the restored TKOL II/III).

- HKJC was currently operating three public riding schools (PRSs), which had been providing the public with affordable courses and organising events promoting horse riding. PRSs also offered members of the public the opportunities to experience the joy of horse riding and would discover talented young riders, with a view to promoting equestrian sports development, as well as fostering the popularisation and professionalisation of equestrian sports in Hong Kong. Hong Kong equestrian athletes had shown impressive performances and actively participated in various major competitions, including the recent National Games equestrian events.
- It had been over 30 years since the establishment of Tuen Mun Public Riding School (TMPRS). Over the years, PRSs had been experiencing a shortage of training places. Currently, there were over 20 000 applicants on the waiting list, with the average waiting time spanning several years. In view of the situation, HKJC had been committed to finding a suitable location for setting up the fourth PRS. After conducting careful planning and exploring ways to overcome geological constraints with the project team, the restored TKOL II/III was eventually selected as the location for the new PRS.
- The location selected for the fourth PRS covered New Territories South East, which would benefit residents in the district, while also shortening the overall waiting time, thereby nurturing more outstanding equestrian athletes for Hong Kong.
- HKJC was aware that the proposed development had attracted media attention. The overall sentiment and the majority of online discussions had been positive.
- There were only three pieces of land at the restored TKOL II/III which were relatively flat and suitable for the development of the new PRS. The establishment of the PRS on the restored landfill site entailed numerous engineering obstacles to overcome, such as technical issues concerning the bearing capacity and settlement. HKJC would centralise resources on overcoming relevant engineering obstacles, so as to facilitate the smooth construction of the new PRS at the restored TKOL II/III.
- HKJC hoped that SKDC would support the proposed development, and intended to submit the relevant proposal to the Town Planning Board after the meeting to seek approval for the planning permission application and implementation of the proposed development.

10. Mr Simon LEE, Senior Manager, Property Project Management, HKJC introduced the background of PRSs, as well as the initial design and simulated images of the proposed buildings of TKOPRS based on the content of the presentation slides.

11. Mr Elbert LEE, Executive Director, Wong Tung & Partners Limited explained the series of impact assessments conducted for the proposed development of TKOPRS and the overall planning schedule based on the content of the presentation slides.

12. Mr Wallace LEE, Officer-in-charge (Restored Landfills), EPD presented the restoration and aftercare work for the landfills in Tseung Kwan O with the aid of the presentation slides. Regarding the future aftercare work for the landfills, EPD proposed to apply to the Lands Department for an extension of Temporary Government Land Allocation (TGLA) for a period of 25 years, and sought the views of SKDC.

13. Mr LAU Kai-hong supported HKJC's plan to utilise land resources in the district. He estimated that the proposed TKOPRS would only be 200 metres (m) or so away from the High Junk Peak Country Trail. He suggested that the relevant departments should consider developing a new walking trail around the Horse Paddocks on the upper platform of TKOPRS to connect with the nearby High Junk Peak Country Trail, with a view to further developing and promoting tourism in the district. Moreover, as TKOPRS would be located at a relatively remote location, the 32 currently planned parking spaces would not be adequate. He suggested expanding the area of the lower platform of TKOPRS to provide more visitor parking spaces. He also enquired whether TKOPRS would collaborate with the Jockey Club HKFA Football Training Centre (the Football Training Centre) at the restored Tseung Kwan O Stage I Landfill (the restored TKOL I) to jointly organise a diverse range of activities.

14. Mr WONG Wang-to supported the proposed development of TKOPRS as it could revitalise the restored site. With a design that complemented the surrounding environment, TKOPRS could offer an additional choice of recreational and sports facilities for residents in the district, enhance public understanding of equestrian sports, and nurture equestrian athletes. The proposed development could also provide job opportunities for residents in the district as they could become horse trainers or other training personnel. In addition, he suggested expanding the Pony Viewing Area on the lower platform to facilitate public access and use.

15. Mr CHONG Yuen-tung supported the proposed development of TKOPRS as it could utilise land at the restored landfill, shorten the applicant waiting list for the other three PRSs, and facilitate the promotion of equestrian sports. After the commissioning of TKOPRS, he suggested providing priority bookings for residents and schools in the district to use the facilities, or giving priority consideration to hiring residents in the district. He also suggested providing shuttle minibus service between TKOPRS and LOHAS Park MTR Station, so as to alleviate the traffic burden on Wan Po Road on special occasions, for example, when activities or open days were being held at TKOPRS.

16. Mr YAU Ho-lun was concerned about soil erosion and the increased flooding risk in downstream areas in the rainy season. He also expressed concerns that the design of TKOPRS might possibly affect the geological structure of the restored TKOL II/III.

As rainfall during the rainy season was quite heavy and more likely to cause torrential floods in recent years, he enquired about EPD's corresponding measures or emergency responses.

17. Mr Chris CHEUNG said that during the consultation period for the establishment of the Football Training Centre at the restored TKOL I, The Football Association of Hong Kong, China had claimed that the noise or light from the Football Training Centre would not affect the public, but the reality proved otherwise. The shortest distance between TKOPRS and the nearby residential areas would be approximately 400 m. He was concerned that the noise and light from TKOPRS might similarly affect nearby residents. He hoped that the consultant team could explain the potential problem of glare from the solar panels and the corresponding solutions. He also suggested providing priority bookings for residents in Sai Kung and Tseung Kwan O to use the facilities at TKOPRS, such as the Pony Viewing Area. Furthermore, some residents had a more pressing need for other recreational and sports facilities such as basketball courts. He suggested that HKJC should study the provision of such facilities. He also suggested that the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department (AFCD) and EPD should co-ordinate levelling works for the path near TKOPRS and connect it to the High Junk Peak Country Trail. He expressed concerns about the previous occurrences of soil erosion at the restored TKOL II/III, and hoped that the departments would pay attention to this issue and prevent potential safety hazards.

18. Hon Christine FONG was concerned about the landslide incident at the restored TKOL II in May 2024. She enquired of EPD about the measures to stabilise the surrounding environment, in order to ensure the smooth construction of TKOPRS and to guarantee the safety of the nearby residential areas. She suggested that EPD should release more land as far as practicable. She also suggested that HKJC should study the provision of other recreational and sports facilities such as basketball courts, as well as a footpath connecting the Football Training Centre at the restored TKOL I, with a view to benefiting residents in the nearby areas such as LOHAS Park or Shek Kok Road. There was suspected sewage discharge from the works at the restored TKOL I into the coastal areas. She suggested that EPD should incorporate specific technical requirements in the next round of open tendering, as well as conducting the tender exercise in phases, in order to maintain competition among contractors.

19. Mr Freely CHENG of HKJC responded to Members' views as summarised below:

- After conducting relevant studies, HKJC identified three pieces of land at the restored TKOL II/III that were relatively flat and could be used for developing the TKOPRS. These three platforms would be connected by a road provided by EPD. In view of the proposed development of TKOPRS, EPD would facilitate by widening the said road to meet the emergency vehicular access standard, and to allow working vehicles to access the relevant locations.
- The car park at TKOPRS would primarily serve members of the public who had booked their lessons or visits. HKJC would need to strike a balance in

- the design between the equestrian facilities and the provision of parking spaces.
- Given the special terrain of the site, HKJC would provide shuttle transport service within TKOPRS to carry riders and visitors between the upper, middle and lower platforms, thereby reducing traffic flow within the school. As indicated by the traffic impact assessment, multiple bus routes would operate via TKOPRS. HKJC encouraged visitors to use public transport as far as practicable. The proposed development of TKOPRS should have a limited impact on local traffic.
  - With no advance booking required, the Pony Viewing Area located on the lower platform would be open for public visits and participation in parent-child interactive activities with ponies. Equestrian training, which was more technical, would take place on the middle and upper platforms.
  - The core positioning of TKOPRS would be to serve all members of the public in Hong Kong. The selected location for TKOPRS in New Territories South East would provide convenience for residents in the local district as they would no longer have to travel across districts to visit other PRSs on Hong Kong Island or in Tuen Mun. TKOPRS would organise activities for public participation from time to time. Members of the public could visit TKOPRS by advance booking. HKJC would also carefully consider whether priority use of the facilities at TKOPRS would be arranged for residents or groups in Sai Kung and Tseung Kwan O when the works are close to completion.

20. Mr Elbert LEE of Wong Tung & Partners Limited responded to Members' views as summarised below:

- The consultant team had conducted a traffic impact assessment for the proposed development of TKOPRS. The team had also discussed with the Transport Department the arrangement of operating some bus and minibus routes via Chun Yat Street, in order to facilitate public access to TKOPRS. The overall design of the car park would cater to different needs, with part of the parking spaces reserved for coaches to facilitate group visits to TKOPRS.
- In terms of the lighting design, the consultant team had taken into account the daily routines of nearby residents and balanced various environmental factors, including the potential impact on residential areas close to TKOPRS, such as Le Prestige of LOHAS Park and The Beaumont. The paddock floodlights would be focused on the paddocks, and LED luminaires with more focused beam angles would be used in order to reduce light pollution.
- Furthermore, the consultant team would conduct an environmental assessment report and submit it to EPD and AFCD for exchanges of views, so as to avoid affecting the habitats of nocturnal wildlife in the nearby country park, such as fireflies and bats. Moreover, 38 road lights with relatively soft lighting would be installed along the road of approximately 1600 m long connecting the three platforms. These road lights of approximately 5 m in height would be spaced at intervals of about 40 m.

- HKJC attached great importance to environmental protection and hoped to make proper use of renewable energy. Careful consideration would be given to the installation angles of the solar panels recommended by the consultant team. Most of the buildings at TKOPRS would face south with no other taller surrounding structures, which would be conducive to the installation of solar panels to capture sunlight. Upon assessment, the consultant team concluded that the use of solar panels would not cause glare to the nearby residential areas.
- The consultant team had conducted an updated geotechnical impact assessment to study the landslide risk from the nearby natural hillsides. To safeguard the safety of facility users and horses at TKOPRS, the consultant team would implement three protective measures. These measures included: designating a “no-build zone” on the upper platform; identifying boulders with a risk of falling from natural hillsides near TKOPRS and arranging corresponding removal work; and constructing retaining walls in high-risk areas on the upper platform to further enhance the overall safety.
- TKOPRS would consume a certain amount of water for the cleaning of horses. The assessment results indicated that the establishment of TKOPRS would not make a significant difference in the current drainage conditions in the area.

21. The Chairman enquired whether there were currently any regular measures in place to monitor water flow on the hillsides.

22. Mr Wallace LEE of EPD responded to Members’ views as summarised below:

- Regarding the landslide that occurred in 2024 on a natural slope outside the restored TKOL II/III causing flooding in the nearby car park, the primary cause of the incident was that the relevant natural slope outside the landfill was severely eroded by extremely heavy rainfall, resulting in soil debris blocking the drains. This led to a large volume of stormwater overflowing and surging into the car park adjacent to the landfill, causing flooding.
- EPD subsequently implemented a series of preventive measures, including stepping up the monitoring and clearance of drainage channels during the rainy season, as well as constructing additional concrete bund walls at the perimeter of the landfill. The bund walls would help intercepting and diverting stormwater runoff into the drainage channels, thereby preventing the car park outside the landfill from being affected. EPD had also installed water level monitoring system in the main stormwater drainage channel, in order to conduct real-time monitoring of water level and drainage conditions. When the water level monitoring system detected that the water level had reached the alert level, the system would notify relevant staff of the possible blockages at the drainage channel. If the water level rose further to exceed the action level, relevant staff would take immediate actions to clear the affected channels.
- Following the passage of three super typhoons over the past two years

- (including Yagi in September 2024, Man-yi in November of the same year, and Ragasa in September 2025), relevant drainage channels were functioning smoothly with no reported flooding incidents.
- EPD had engaged a consultant to review the stormwater drainage system at the restored landfill, in order to cope with the increasing threat of rainstorms brought by extreme weather and super typhoons.
  - TKOPRS would be located on three platforms of the restored TKOL II/III, with the upper platform situated at 140 m above sea level, equivalent to about 40 storeys in height. The three platforms would be inter-connected by an access road.
  - EPD considered that there would be technical difficulties in developing a hiking trail on the restored landfill. Apart from the areas where TKOPRS would be situated, the remaining area were mainly slopes. These slopes had relatively low load bearing capacity and exhibited uneven settlement. Moreover, the slopes were densely covered with various landfill facilities, including landfill gas and leachate collection systems, monitoring wells, a stormwater collection network, and several major stormwater drainage channels. To protect the safety of users, TKOPRS would arrange shuttles to transport users in-between the three platforms. Other areas outside these platforms would not be suitable for TKOPRS users and members of the public to access, in view of their own safety and interference with the landfill facilities operation.
  - EPD's main objective was to consult SKDC on the application for an extension of TGLA for the restored landfills for a period of 25 years. EPD would arrange for the consultant team to study the feasibility of splitting the aftercare contract into phases with shorter contractual periods. For example, like adopting a contract period of 10 years initially, thereafter the contractor would only be granted an extension of the contract only if their performance was satisfactory.
  - EPD welcomed relevant departments or eligible groups/non-profit-making organisations to develop recreational and sports facilities, such as basketball courts, at the restored TKOL. EPD would be much willing to offer facilitation for any such proposals.

23. Mr CHENG Yu-hei said that Hong Kong staged the equestrian events of the Beijing 2008 Olympic Games, after which equestrian sports had developed into high performance sports. He was pleased to see and supported the proposed development of TKOPRS, believing that TKOPRS could boost leisure tourism and the local economy, while also bringing new experiences to visitors. He hoped that TKOPRS would promote employment in the district, as well as enhancing community participation and cohesion. He suggested increasing the number of open days to allow more members of the public to try the relevant facilities. He expressed concerns about the noise generated during horse training, particularly in the early morning hours in winter. He suggested that HKJC should pay more attention to this aspect in the design. He also suggested that HKJC should strengthen the application and support of information

technology for TKOPRS, and establish two separate backup admission registration systems, one for the general public and another for a fixed quota of visitors, in order to prepare for handling a large number of visitors on peak days such as public holidays.

24. Mr Philip LI supported HKJC's proposed development of TKOPRS. He had previously received feedback from parents in Tseung Kwan O wishing that their children could be given exposure to a diverse range of sports. Equestrian sports could help cultivate virtues and a sense of responsibility, as well as enhancing physical fitness. Implementing the proposed development of TKOPRS could save cross-district travel time for its students in the local district. HKJC possessed many years of experience in operating PRSs and nurturing talent. Meanwhile, The Jockey Club Kau Sai Chau Public Golf Course operating in Kau Sai Chau, Sai Kung enabled members of the public to enjoy sports facilities at affordable prices, while also providing employment opportunities for Sai Kung residents. Therefore, he expressed full confidence in HKJC's work in venue planning, ecological restoration, and charitable operations. He suggested that HKJC should arrange for some of the shuttle minibus trips to carry general visitors to the upper platform. He strongly supported the proposed development, and hoped that the relevant departments would expedite the approval of the application, so that TKOPRS could be completed as soon as practicable and bring benefits to Tseung Kwan O residents.

25. Mr Kelvin YAU supported the establishment of TKOPRS in Tseung Kwan O, opining that TKOPRS would help popularise equestrian sports. The proposed development could also discover talented young students and develop them into Hong Kong athletes. As the restored landfill site was unsuitable for constructing large buildings, the proposed development of TKOPRS would be fully compatible with the land use of the site. Parent-child activities such as visits to the Pony Viewing Area would surely be very popular among members of the public. He suggested converting the left side of the TKOPRS car park into a pedestrian entrance/exit, in order to facilitate access by visitors travelling to and from LOHAS Park MTR Station. He also suggested that HKJC should collaborate with Tung Wah Group of Hospitals E-Co Village at the restored TKOL I to jointly organise a variety of activities, such as summer camps, so that members of the public could utilise the resources available in the district.

26. Mr Ken CHAN welcomed and supported the establishment of TKOPRS. He understood that the land concerned was subject to development constraints, as large buildings could not be constructed on restored landfill sites. He believed that the project could make good use of land resources and facilitate HKJC's development and promotion of equestrian sports. Some members of the public had questioned whether TKOPRS would only benefit a small group of people. He suggested that HKJC could incorporate public participation and equestrian experiences to enhance public engagement. The initial plan of EPD was to carry out landfill restoration works between 1999 and 2028. He enquired about the reasons for further extending the restoration works for 25 years and the relevant data for this estimated time frame, as well as whether there were new technologies that could accelerate the entire process of

decomposition and restoration. He asked the department to provide the relevant timetable for the public to gain a clear understanding.

27. Mr CHAN Kuen-kwan welcomed and supported the establishment of TKOPRS in Sai Kung District. As the Pony Viewing Area would be open to the public, he enquired about the operational details of TKOPRS, including the maximum daily visitor capacity for the Pony Viewing Area, whether HKJC members would have priority access to the relevant facilities, and whether the restaurant would be located on the upper platform. He expressed concerns about the noise arising from daily maintenance, such as grass cutting and tree pruning. He also hoped that EPD would co-ordinate the release of land to develop more scenic spots.

28. Mr CHAN Kai-wai welcomed HKJC's commitment to undertaking this highly challenging project. He had previously conducted site visits at the Football Training Centre at the restored TKOL I. As soft water pipes were used in the underground drainage system of the Football Training Centre, its drainage capacity would be easily affected by a landslide. Furthermore, the residents of Ocean Shores and Park Central, which were two kilometres away from the Football Training Centre, had complained to him about light nuisance. Therefore, SKDC had previously suggested that the floodlights at the football pitches should be replaced by solar-powered spike lights, so as to reduce light pollution. The impact of using warm lighting not exceeding 3500K for the proposed development of TKOPRS should be tolerable. He also raised the need to monitor whether the operation of TKOPRS would be affected by the nearby Hong Kong Air Cadet Corps. In 2015, SKDC had discussions on connecting the hillside catchwaters at the back of LOHAS Park to the High Junk Peak Country Trail. At that time, the departments concerned that the proposal would entail technical difficulties. Considering HKJC's upcoming breakthrough in development, he suggested seizing this opportunity to build a connection to the High Junk Peak Country Trail along with the establishment of TKOPRS. He wished to learn more about the land grant conditions of TKOPRS, and asked if a public pedestrian walkway would be provided at the relevant location to allow hikers to reach the nearby country trail via the TKOPRS area. He suggested discussing with the District Lands Office, Sai Kung about allowing public access to the area concerned.

29. The Chairman noted that the slopes at TKOPRS were relatively steep, and that the upper platform would be located in the middle of a slope. Regarding the suggestion made by some Members to develop a trail connecting to the High Junk Peak Country Trail several hundred metres away, she opined that the new trail would inevitably pass through slopes near the hilltop. EPD had explained in detail earlier that the restored landfill site was not on typical natural hillsides, but on slopes consisting of loose materials prone to soil displacement. The country parks and country trails in Sai Kung District were becoming increasingly popular among members of the public and tourists. She agreed that the Members' proposed connection to the hiking trail was well-intentioned. Nonetheless, she pointed out that the ancillary facilities and safety concerns involved should also be considered while promoting tourism development in

Sai Kung District. For example, the capacity of the natural environment should be taken into account while planning to extend country trails. Regarding Members' suggestions to strive for more country trail facilities or extend the related areas in the district for local residents, the Chairman said that the Secretariat would assist in relaying them to EPD and the Country Parks Management Division of AFCD.

[Post-meeting note: The Secretariat relayed Members' views regarding the relevant country trail to EPD and AFCD in writing on 27 January.]

30. Mr CHEUNG Man-tim supported and welcomed the proposed development of TKOPRS. He understood that unstable slopes with issues of settlement and landfill gas were unsuitable for country trails. To gain wider public support, he suggested that HKJC could consider giving priority consideration to hiring residents in Tseung Kwan O for the proposed development of TKOPRS. He also recommended pooling resources and collaborating with organisations such as Saint Francis University and sports associations in Tseung Kwan O, so as to provide young people with internships or career experience programmes. Additionally, he suggested organising exchange programmes with the Beijing HKJC Clubhouse to gain insights into the latest developments in equestrian sports in both regions.

31. Ms KAN Tung-tung welcomed and supported the establishment of TKOPRS in Tseung Kwan O, hoping that the proposed development would benefit the majority of residents in the district. She suggested that HKJC should strengthen collaboration with community organisations in the district to allow local residents to have priority in visiting and using the facilities. She also noted that TMPRS had a tranquil environment, with its lawn maintenance, equine hygiene and environmental hygiene conditions kept to a highly satisfactory standard. Some members of the public were concerned that TKOPRS might cause problems such as noise nuisance and light pollution. However, she had confidence that HKJC and EPD possessed sufficient and extensive experience in addressing these issues. She agreed with Hon Christine FONG's view, and suggested that government departments should support the proposed development by releasing land to facilitate the provision of cultural and recreational facilities, such as basketball courts, so as to benefit more residents. Given the large population in LOHAS Park, the only shopping mall in the area was inadequate to meet residents' daily needs. She hoped that the proposed development of TKOPRS would prompt the Government to consider providing more ancillary facilities in the vicinity, including those related to catering and transport, thereby providing convenience to residents and promoting the local economy.

32. Ms WU Suet-lin welcomed and looked forward to the official opening of TKOPRS in 2030. She enquired about the opening hours of TKOPRS, and asked whether its opening hours would be adjusted with reference to other PRSs to cope with the needs of over 20 000 applicants on the waiting list, so that the public could visit TKOPRS and experience the joy of equestrian sports as soon as practicable.

33. Ms TAM Chuk-kwan expressed strong support for TKOPRS and hoped that it could be completed as early as practicable. She suggested that after the official opening of TKOPRS, HKJC could allocate limited quotas for priority bookings for residents as well as primary and secondary school students in Tseung Kwan O to visit and use the relevant facilities.

34. Mr TSANG Kwok-ka supported the proposed development of TKOPRS. Given the proximity of the High Junk Peak Country Trail to TKOPRS, he expressed concerns that hikers might unintentionally enter the TKOPRS area via the trail. He enquired whether the general public could lawfully reach or leave the country trail via TKOPRS.

35. Mr LAU Kai-hong suggested reviewing the design of TKOPRS and exploring the feasibility of providing a pedestrian entry/exit at the original locations of the dormitory and the central fodder store, so as to facilitate public access to the residential buildings in LOHAS Park via the car park. He clarified that the suggestion regarding the country trail did not imply granting public access to the TKOPRS area. Instead, it was intended to provide an additional separate passage or gate to facilitate hikers' access to the country trail, while considering the balance of resources without affecting TKOPRS' internal operations.

36. The Chairman said that regarding the proposed extension of the country trail near TKOPRS, should Members have more detailed suggestions after the meeting, they were welcome to inform the Secretariat so that the suggestions could be relayed to the relevant departments. She also urged Members to properly use location maps to clearly indicate the proposed country trail for further discussion at the District Facilities and Works Committee meetings, where representatives of AFCD would be invited, if necessary. It was frequently reported in the news that hikers entered unofficial trails that were not maintained by government departments, leading to dangerous situations. She reminded Members that a variety of factors should be considered by government departments when developing hiking routes. She would advise the public and hikers against taking unsafe hiking routes or trails that were not properly planned.

37. Mr Freely CHENG of HKJC responded to Members' views as summarised below:

- He thanked Members for offering various views, including suggestions regarding hardware and ancillary facilities, design and planning, and future operational arrangements to provide convenience for residents and visitors, etc. HKJC would consolidate the suggestions and give careful consideration during the planning application and design stages in the future.
- Regarding the operation of TKOPRS, HKJC would facilitate public participation in related activities as far as practicable, provided that people who had already registered for equestrian training would not be affected. HKJC would also consider collaborating with operators of other facilities in the district, so as to strengthen synergy and encourage young people to participate in equestrian sports.

- At present, PRSs would nurture young trainees and also provide internship opportunities for veterinary students, so as to help young people with their career planning.

38. Mr Wallace LEE of EPD responded to Members' views as summarised below:

- According to relevant international standards and experience, the waste decomposition process of a typical landfill and the related aftercare work would generally take at least 30 years. The consultant engaged by EPD had conducted assessments based on the actual conditions of the restored landfills and past monitoring data. The results indicated that the generation of landfill gas would not fall to a level at which treatment was no longer required until 2049 at the earliest. Therefore, EPD planned to apply for an extension of TGLA for a period of 25 years.
- Continuous monitoring data in the past had shown a sustained decline in both the generation of landfill gas and the concentration of pollutants in leachate. However, these figures had not yet reached levels at which treatment was no longer required. EPD's consultant team was exploring the introduction of any new technologies, so as to accelerate the decomposition of waste within the landfill and restore the land as soon as practicable.
- EPD would apply for an extension of TGLA for a period of 25 years to continue maintenance and environmental monitoring work, and would further review the relevant time frame according to actual circumstances.

39. The Chairman said that the proposed development of TKOPRS and EPD's application for an extension of TGLA had been thoroughly discussed, and concluded that SKDC supported the proposed development and application. As the Chairman of SKDC and the Commander of the Care Teams of Sai Kung District, she understood that the positioning of TKOPRS would be to serve all members of the public in Hong Kong. Since TKOPRS would be located in Tseung Kwan O, she believed that residents in the district could have more opportunities to visit the Pony Viewing Area or take part in public activities. For community liaison, she hoped that HKJC would actively cooperate and jointly organise community engagement activities with the Care Teams of Sai Kung District upon the completion of TKOPRS.

40. There being no other comments from Members, the Chairman declared that the Secretariat would relay the views of SKDC to HKJC, EPD and AFCD in writing.

(C) Sai Kung District 2026 Year-end Clean-up Campaign by the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department  
(SKDC(M) Paper No. 3/26)

41. Ms CHOW Yuk-chu, Chief Health Inspector(Sai Kung)<sup>1</sup>, Food and Environmental Hygiene Department introduced Paper No. 3/26 about the detailed arrangements for the year-end clean-up campaign.

42. Members noted the above paper.

(D) 2026-2027 Committees and Working Groups under the SKDC  
(SKDC(M) Paper No. 4/26)

43. The Chairman said that Paper No. 4/26 set out the latest structures and membership lists of the Committees and Working Groups under SKDC. The tenure of office of all the Committees would be until 31 December 2027, while the tenure of office of the Working Group on Boosting Local Economy (WGBLE) had been extended by one year to 31 December 2026. Order 70 of the SKDC Standing Orders (the Standing Orders) stipulated that Members should join no fewer than three Committees and/or Working Groups. On 23 December 2025, the Secretariat invited Members to join the aforesaid Working Groups, and the membership lists were enclosed in the Annex. The tenure of office of the 10 co-opted members among the four Committees under SKDC was also extended from the original end date of 31 December 2025 to 31 December 2027.

44. The Chairman continued that as stipulated in Orders 71, 72 and 87(1) of the Standing Orders, the Chairman of SKDC should appoint the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of a Committee, as well as the Convenor of a Working Group. In this regard, she announced the appointment of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of the Committees, as well as the Convenors of the Working Groups as follows:

<b>Committee</b>	<b>Chairman</b>	<b>Vice-Chairman</b>
District Facilities and Works Committee	Mr CHONG Yuen-tung	Mr CHAN Kai-wai
Community Involvement, Culture and Recreation Committee	Mr LI Ka-leung, Philip	Mr YAU Siu-hung, Kelvin
Food, Environment and Hygiene Committee	Mr WONG Wang-to	Mr CHAN Kin-chun, Ken
Traffic and Transport Committee	Mr LAU Kai-hong	Mr CHEUNG Mei-hung, Chris

<b>Working Group</b>	<b>Convenor</b>
Working Group on Boosting Local Economy	Mr CHAN Kuen-kwan
Working Group on Building Management	Ms KI Lai-mei

45. Members noted the latest membership lists of the aforesaid Committees and Working Groups.

[Post-meeting Note: Hon Christine FONG applied to join the Working Group on Building Management after the meeting; Ms KAN Tung-tung applied to join WGBLE after the meeting; Ms TAM Chuk-kwan applied to withdraw from WGBLE after the

meeting; Mr CHAN Chi-ho applied to join the Community Involvement, Culture and Recreation Committee, and withdraw from the Traffic and Transport Committee after the meeting.]

(E) Working Group on Building Management  
(SKDC(M) Paper No. 6/26)

46. The Chairman said that all 18 District Councils (DCs), including SKDC, had established a new “Working Group on Building Management (WGBM)” in 2026. The terms of reference of WGBM were set out in Paper No. 6/26. The tenure of office of WGBM would be the same as that of DCs, i.e. two years until 31 December 2027. The Secretariat invited Members to join the aforesaid Working Group via email on 23 December 2025 and received Members’ replies afterwards. She asked Members to note the membership list of WGBM in the Annex of Paper No. 4/26.

47. The Chairman continued that the Chief Executive proposed in the 2025 Policy Address a series of measures to further enhance building management, including the establishment of WGBM under each DC. As one of the “troika” of district governance, DC Members had been bearing the function of assisting in the promotion of good building management at the district level by maintaining liaison with relevant owners’ corporations, owners and residents, and assisting owners and residents in need to handle various building management issues. WGBM could serve as an effective platform for DC Members to collect views on building management in the district and submit concrete suggestions to DCs on promoting good building management. WGBM could also facilitate experience sharing and exchanges among Members, thereby fostering a culture of good building management in the district and making Members’ support services more efficient and targeted.

48. The Chairman reminded Members that the first meeting of WGBM would be held the following Tuesday, and advised WGBM Members to attend the meeting on time.

### **III. Matters Arising**

(A) **Follow-up on items discussed at the sixth meeting of SKDC held on 4 November 2025**

49. The Chairman said at the sixth meeting in 2025, SKDC received five discussion items suggested by Members, and the views of SKDC on the discussion items were sent to relevant government departments in writing. The Secretariat had already forwarded the replies received to Members via email and uploaded them to SKDC’s website.

### **IV. Report Items**

(A) Progress reports of the committees under SKDC  
(1) District Facilities and Works Committee

- (2) Food, Environment and Hygiene Committee
- (3) Community Involvement, Culture and Recreation Committee
- (4) Traffic and Transport Committee  
(SKDC(M) Paper Nos. 7/26 to 10/26)

50. Members endorsed the above reports.

#### **V. Discussion Item Suggested by Members**

##### **(A) The one discussion item suggested by Members**

- (1) Suggest enhancing the development plans for recreational facilities at Tseung Kwan O restored landfills  
(SKDC(M) Paper No. 11/26)

51. The Chairman said the above discussion item had been jointly discussed with other agenda items earlier.

#### **VI. Any Other Business**

52. No other business was raised by Members.

#### **VII. Date of Next Meeting**

53. The next full council meeting was scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on 3 March 2026 (Tuesday).

54. The meeting ended at 11:50 a.m.

Sai Kung District Council Secretariat  
February 2026