

Sha Tin District Council**Minutes of the 5th Meeting of
the District Facilities Management Committee in 2016**

Date : 23 August 2016 (Tuesday)
Time : 2:30 pm
Venue : Sha Tin District Council Conference Room
 4/F, Sha Tin Government Offices

<u>Present</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Time of joining the meeting</u>	<u>Time of leaving the meeting</u>
Ms TUNG Kin-lei (Chairman)	DC Member	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr HO Hau-cheung, BBS, MH	DC Chairman	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr PANG Cheung-wai, Thomas, SBS, JP	DC Vice-Chairman	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr CHAN Billy Shiu-yeung	DC Member	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Ms CHAN Man-kuen	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr CHAN Nok-hang	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr CHENG Tsuk-man	"	2:30 pm	3:56 pm
Mr CHING Cheung-ying, MH	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr CHIU Chu-pong, Sunny	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr CHIU Man-leong	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr HUI Yui-yu, Rick	"	2:30 pm	4:03 pm
Mr LAI Tsz-yan	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Ms LAM Chung-yan	"	2:34 pm	4:15 pm
Mr LEE Chi-wing, Alvin	"	4:10 pm	4:15 pm
Mr LEUNG Ka-fai, Victor	"	2:35 pm	3:23 pm
Mr LI Sai-hung	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr LI Sai-wing	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr LI Wing-shing, Wilson	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr MOK Kam-kwai, BBS	"	2:35 pm	3:37 pm
Mr NG Kam-hung	"	2:43 pm	4:15 pm
Ms PONG Scarlett Oi-lan, BBS, JP	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr PUN Kwok-shan, MH	"	2:30 pm	3:55 pm
Mr TING Tsz-yuen	"	3:05 pm	4:15 pm
Mr TONG Hok-leung	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Ms TSANG So-lai	"	2:38 pm	4:15 pm
Mr WAI Hing-cheung	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr WONG Fu-sang, Tiger	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr WONG Hok-lai	"	2:41 pm	4:15 pm
Ms WONG Ping-fan, Iris	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr WONG Yue-hon	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr YIP Wing	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr YIU Ka-chun	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Ms YUE Shin-man	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Mr YUNG Ming-chau, Michael	"	2:30 pm	4:15 pm
Ms LAM Hang-ching, Dorothy (Secretary)	Executive Officer I (District Council)2 / Sha Tin District Office		

In Attendance**Title**

Mr WONG Tin-pui, Simon Assistant District Officer (Sha Tin)
 Ms CHENG Yuen-yi, Janny Senior Executive Officer (District Management) / Sha Tin District Office

In Attendance

Mr HUI Wai-lun, Ken	District Secretary / Sha Tin District Office
Mr YUEN Chun-kit, Derek	Senior Executive Officer (District Council) / Sha Tin District Office
Mr CHOW Yik-lam, Martin	Executive Officer (District Council)2 / Sha Tin District Office
Mr NG Fuk-sing	Senior Inspector of Works (Sha Tin) / Sha Tin District Office
Ms LOK Kit-ha	Chief Leisure Manager (New Territories East) / Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms LO Lai-fong, Jackie	District Leisure Manager (Sha Tin) / Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms YAN Siu-lai, Sally	Deputy District Leisure Manager (District Support) Sha Tin (Acting) / Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms LEUNG So-ping, Selina	Senior Executive Officer (Planning)1 / Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms LEE Yuk-kit, Glendy	Senior Librarian (Sha Tin) / Leisure and Cultural Services Department

In Attendance by Invitation

Ms MA Wan-kie, Kate	<u>Title</u> Architect (Works)8 / Home Affairs Department
Ms AU Wai-ha	Chief Health Inspector 1 / Sha Tin District Environmental Hygiene Office / Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Ms TSANG Mei-ying, May	Senior Manager (New Territories East) Promotion / Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms NG Sheung-han, Alice	Manager (New Territories East) Marketing and District Activities / Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms LAM Lai-wan	Assistant Project Officer / Tom Ip & Partners Architects, Engineers & Development Consultants Limited
Ms HUI Yee-man	Assistant Director / WCWP International Limited
Mr MOK Wai-kin	Director / Ho & Partners Architects, Engineers & Development Consultants Limited
Ms LAI Tsz-yan	Assistant Design Manager / Ho & Partners Architects, Engineers & Development Consultants Limited

Absent

Mr WONG Ka-wing, MH (Vice-Chairman)	<u>Title</u> DC Member	(Application for leave of absence received)
Mr MAK Yun-pui	”	”
Mr SIU Hin-hong	”	”
Mr YAU Man-chun	”	”
Mr CHAN Kwok-keung, James	”	(No application for leave of absence received)

Action

The Chairman informed all attendees that some members of the public, being present as observers, were taking photos and making video and audio recordings.

Application for Leave of Absence

2. The Chairman said that the Sha Tin District Council (STDC) Secretariat received applications for leave of absence in writing from the following members:

Mr WONG Ka-wing	Attendance at an exchange activity in the Mainland on behalf of the Sha Tin District National Education Committee
Mr MAK Yun-pui	Sickness
Mr SIU Hin-hong	Overseas duty visit
Mr YAU Man-chun	Official commitment (requiring duty visit outside Hong Kong)

3. Members unanimously endorsed the applications for leave of absence submitted by the members above.

Confirmation of the Minutes of the Meeting Held on 21 June 2016

4. Members confirmed the above minutes unanimously.

Discussion Items

Meeting Schedule of the Committee for 2017

(Paper No. DFM 24/2016)

5. Members unanimously endorsed the above paper.

2016-2017 District Facilities and Improvement Works Proposals

(Paper No. DFM 25/2016)

6. Members unanimously endorsed the above paper.

2016-2017 Revised Work Plans Submitted by Working Groups under the Committee

(Paper No. DFM 26/2016)

7. Members unanimously endorsed the above paper.

Funding Applications of Community Libraries

(Paper No. DFM 27/2016)

8. Members unanimously endorsed the above paper.

Information Items

Reports of Working Groups

(Paper No. DFM 28/2016)

9. Members noted the above reports.

Report on the Management of Recreation and Sports Facilities in Sha Tin

(Paper No. DFM 29/2016)

10. Members noted the above report.

Report on the Services and Promotional Activities of Public Libraries in Sha Tin

(Paper No. DFM 30/2016)

11. Ms Glendy LEE, Senior Librarian (Sha Tin) of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) added that the self-charging terminals planned to be set up in Tai Wai were approximately 7 metres wide, 3.5 metres high and 3.5 metres deep. The initially proposed locations of installation were Exits C and D of MTR Tai Wai Station. The Lands Department conducted public consultation on three proposed locations in mid-July, and currently it was still collecting and processing the professional opinions of the government departments concerned. The LCSD would report to the Committee and carry out follow-up work after the details were finalised.

12. Members noted the above report.

Report on the Management of Community Halls in Sha Tin
(Paper No. DFM 31/2016)

13. The views of Mr CHENG Tsuk-man were summarised below:

- (a) some instructors and participants remarked that the air-conditioning fee charged by the Neighbourhood Activity Centre (Neighbourhood Centre) in Ma On Shan was too high. He wished to know the utilisation rate of the Neighbourhood Centre in the last quarter and the number of participants who had quit due to the high air-conditioning fee; and
- (b) given the high utilisation rates of all community halls in Sha Tin, it would be a pity if residents reduced their use of the Neighbourhood Centre due to the high air-conditioning fee. He suggested conducting a review on whether the air-conditioning fee was appropriate and discussing whether the STDC should grant allowance.

14. The views of Mr Michael YUNG were summarised below:

- (a) he enquired about the progress of the discussion between the Sha Tin District Office (STDO) and the Hong Kong College of Technology (HKCT) on the arrangement for leasing its hall;
- (b) as far as he knew, the air-conditioning fee charged by the Neighbourhood Centre was determined by the Vocational Training Council (VTC). He wished to know whether the STDO would discuss with the VTC on how to determine an appropriate air-conditioning fee; and
- (c) 11 community halls would be available in the Sha Tin District for a population of over 400 000. However, there were only two community halls in Ma On Shan which had a population of nearly 230 000, representing a relatively low proportion. He wished to know in addition to discussion on the suitability to construct a community hall in Area 103, Ma On Shan, whether the construction of community halls would be considered in other areas in order to cater for the development of the district.

15. Mr Martin CHOW, Executive Officer (District Council)2 of the STDO said that at the meeting of the District Facilities Management Committee (DFMC) on 26 April this year, discussions were held on the arrangements of the current STDC term for renewing the hiring of the Neighbourhood Centre. Given the relatively high air-conditioning fee charged by the Neighbourhood Centre, the DFMC endorsed the adoption of a “user pays” approach.

16. Mr Simon WONG, Assistant District Officer (Sha Tin) gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) the air-conditioning fee charged by the Neighbourhood Centre increased year by year, resulting in a financial burden on the STDC. Therefore, at the meeting in April this year, the DFMC endorsed the adoption of a “user pays” approach for the air-conditioning fee charged by the Neighbourhood Centre. As the STDO held no stance on the future venue hiring arrangements with the Neighbourhood Centre, the

Committee could discuss and decide on the relevant matters later;

- (b) new community halls would be completed in Ma On Shan or other places in Sha Tin, such as the community hall to be completed in Area 14B and the project under planning in Area 103, Ma On Shan. As the Neighbourhood Centre in Ma On Shan was a contracted venue, the Chairmen of the STDC, the DFMC and the Culture, Sports and Community Development Committee (CSCDC) had discussed with the VTC the rental and air-conditioning fee of the Neighbourhood Centre. The VTC had reduced the rental but not the air-conditioning fee. Therefore, the “user pays” approach was proposed for the payment of the air-conditioning fee. Members might discuss the financial arrangements for the hiring of the Neighbourhood Centre in the next year; and
- (c) the Chairman of the STDC would discuss with the HKCT the arrangements for hiring its hall, but there was no practicable proposal for the time being. The STDO would continue to follow up on the matter.

17. The Chairman said that the Neighbourhood Centre was popular with local residents. She invited members to propose venues which could be used as the Neighbourhood Centre for the Committee’s further consideration. She said that as the HKCT provided a large number of courses, the venue would only be available for hire by the STDC on Sunday evening. Therefore, there was no practicable proposal.

18. Mr HO Hau-cheung said that he had worked with the STDO as well as the Chairmen of the DFMC and the CSCDC to discuss with the VTC the rental and air-conditioning fee of the Neighbourhood Centre before the setting up of the current term’s working group. Unfortunately, the VTC was not able to reduce the air-conditioning fee. He suggested that a year-on-year comparison be made of the quarterly utilisation rates of the Neighbourhood Centre so as to provide data for future discussion. He also suggested that the Working Group on the Management of Community Halls and Libraries have a discussion and carry out a data analysis for future discussion and for further discussion with the VTC. Recently, he had met with the principal of the HKCT, who welcomed a discussion with the STDC on the opening and use of the HKCT’s venues, but there was no specific framework for the time being. If the residents of Ma On Shan had a strong demand for community halls, a further discussion with HKCT might be arranged as soon as possible.

Questions

Question to be Raised by Mr WAI Hing-cheung on the Broken Gate of the Refuse Collection Point next to the Garden on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road
(Paper No. DFM 32/2016)

19. The views of Mr WAI Hing-cheung were summarised below:

- (a) he enquired about the details of the residential development project on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road mentioned by the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) in the paper. He opined that the wording of the paper would mislead people into thinking that there would be a new residential project on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road;

- (b) a few years ago, the developer of Peak One constructed a refuse depot next to Peak One but was opposed by residents in the vicinity. The FEHD at that time responded that there was no other appropriate location for the construction of a refuse depot in the vicinity of the garden on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road. However, the FEHD mentioned in the paper that the developer would be responsible for reconstructing the refuse depot in the vicinity of the garden on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road. He hoped that the FEHD would clarify it;
- (c) he wished to know whether the FEHD or the Architectural Services Department (ArchSD) was responsible for the repair of the gate of the refuse collection point next to the garden on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road, and he enquired whether the FEHD had contacted the developer in relation to the repair of the gate;
- (d) he requested the FEHD to explain why the gate of the refuse collection point was not repaired until more than half a year after it was damaged; and
- (e) he enquired whether the repair of the above gate were carried out by the FEHD or the ArchSD.

20. The views of Mr WONG Hok-lai were summarised below:

- (a) he enquired about the handover of the site between the ArchSD and the FEHD, and why the gate of the refuse collection point was not repaired until more than half a year after it was damaged; and
- (b) he said that the residential development project next to the refuse collection point had been rejected by the Town Planning Board. He wished to know about the details of the residential development project on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road mentioned in the paper.

21. Ms AU Wai-ha, Chief Health Inspector 1, Sha Tin District Environmental Hygiene Office of the FEHD gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) the residential development project on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road mentioned in the paper referred to Peak One. No other new development plans were known for the time being. As the refuse depot (ST-46) on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road needed to be demolished and relocated, the developer needed to reconstruct the refuse depot close to the garden on Tung Lo Wan Hill Road. Subsequently, the refuse depot would be handed over to the FEHD for management;
- (b) after the completion of the facility of the FEHD, the repair of the building would be handed over to the ArchSD. However, as the developer was responsible for the construction of the refuse depot mentioned in the paper, it required more time for the handover. Therefore, the repair could not be carried out immediately; and
- (c) she explained that the properties and facilities of the FEHD were managed by the FEHD after completion, while the ArchSD was responsible for the maintenance.

22. The Chairman believed that the FEHD had noted members' views. She suggested that members discuss with the FEHD after the meeting if they had any question.

Question to be Raised by Mr YIP Wing on Area 90B, Ma On Shan
(Paper No. DFM 33/2016)

23. Mr YIP Wing enquired about the priority of the project in Area 90B, Ma On Shan (the area amid Ma On Shan Waterfront Promenade, Sai Sha Road and Hang Ming Street) among all the public works left by the former Regional Council (ex-RC). In addition, he wished to know about the future development scheme for the land in the south of Area 90B.

24. The views of Mr CHIU Man-leong were summarised below:

- (a) regarding the land in the south of the new project of the Home Ownership Scheme (HOS) in Area 90B, Ma On Shan, he enquired whether it would continue to serve as a temporary car park or would be used for other development; and
- (b) he enquired whether serious noise nuisance would be caused during the construction of “a sitting-out area on Hang Ming Street, Ma On Shan (Area 90, Ma On Shan)” as set out in Paper No. DFM 25/2016. He hoped that the residents in the vicinity such as those of Oceanaire and Baycrest would be consulted before the commencement of the construction. He also hoped that the design of Ma On Shan Waterfront Promenade could be used as a reference for the sitting-out area, and that the replication of existing facilities in the vicinity would be avoided.

25. The views of Mr Michael YUNG were summarised below:

- (a) he enquired when the public works left by the ex-RC were expected to be implemented;
- (b) he enquired what development plan was made for the unallocated land in the vicinity of Area 90B, Ma On Shan;
- (c) as the Development and Housing Committee discussed the HOS development project in Area 90B, Ma On Shan, in May 2011, he enquired how much greening area in total the Housing Department (HD) had used for the above development project;
- (d) he wished to know the possibility of providing a pet garden in Area 90B, Ma On Shan, and whether the relocation of the existing pet garden on Sai Sha Road, Ma On Shan would be considered due to environmental hygiene; and
- (e) regarding the construction of “a sitting-out area on Hang Ming Street, Ma On Shan (Area 90, Ma On Shan)” as set out in Paper No. DFM 25/2016, he enquired whether the LCSD had made any specific greening plan for the relevant area.

26. Ms Selina LEUNG, Senior Executive Officer (Planning)1 of the LCSD gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) according to the paper of the CSCDC dated 24 April 2014 numbered CSCD 20/2014, the “sitting-out area in Area 90, Ma On Shan” ranked the sixth among the eight public works projects left by the ex-RC. The public works left by the ex-RC were classified into different categories in the paper, including capital works under construction, capital works being planned and ex-RC public works pending further planning, while the “sitting-out area in Area 90, Ma On Shan” ranked the third among the ex-RC public works pending further planning;

- (b) the foundation works for the subsidised sale flats development in Area 90B, Ma On Shan commenced in July this year, and the works were expected to be completed in 2020;
- (c) the LCSD noted that members might have different views on the provision of recreational facilities at the relevant locations. It would hold an open attitude and consult the DFMC when appropriate. Members were welcome to express their views;
- (d) she noted that members' hoped that the design of Ma On Shan Waterfront Promenade could be used as a reference for the "sitting-out area on Hang Ming Street, Ma On Shan (Area 90, Ma On Shan)"; and
- (e) she responded to Mr Michael YUNG that the public works left by the ex-RC were at different stages. Of these, the construction of "sports centre, community hall and district library in Area 14B, Sha Tin" project had been completed. Interior decoration and the addition of equipment were in progress. It was expected that the facilities would be open to the public beginning from the fourth quarter of 2016. The "sports centre in Area 24D, Sha Tin" project already commenced in December 2015 and was expected to be completed in January 2019. The "Local Open Space Area 4C, Sha Tin" project already commenced as district minor works in December 2015, and was expected to be completed in December 2016. It had been approved at this meeting that the feasibility study of the "District Open Space in Area 90, Ma On Shan" project was to be carried out by a consultant. The "expansion works for Sha Tin Public Library", the "Sports Centre in Area 103, Ma On Shan", the "District Open Space in Area 11, Sha Tin" and the "Redevelopment of Fo Tan Cooked Food Market, Sha Tin" were pending further planning.

27. Ms Dorothy LAM, Executive Officer I (District Council)² of the STDO said that the Secretariat had forwarded the questions (Paper No. DFM 33/2016) on Area 90B, Ma On Shan, to the Planning Department, the Civil Engineering and Development Department and the HD before the meeting for response, and the departments were invited to send representatives to the meeting. As these departments were unable to send representatives to the meeting, the Secretariat would pass members' views to the relevant departments for following up.

Question to be Raised by Mr HUI Yui-yu, Rick on Sha Tin Town Hall Plaza
(Paper No. DFM 34/2016)

28. The views of Mr Rick HUI were summarised below:

- (a) he enquired why the LCSD did not consult the residents of Sha Tin or other stakeholders before the alteration, and whether groups supported this activity indeed;
- (b) the next year would mark the 30th anniversary of Sha Tin Town Hall. The flower beds were being trimmed during the period from the beginning of July to late July this year, and would be displayed till the beginning of next year. He opined that the display period was not relevant to the theme;
- (c) he opined that the LCSD failed to use the thematic art installation to present Sha Tin Town Hall. He suggested exhibiting the historical information of Sha Tin Town Hall to celebrate its 30th anniversary;

- (d) currently there was a new thematic art installation in the open space opposite Sha Tin Town Hall. He enquired whether it was related to its 30th anniversary;
- (e) he wished to know how long it took for the LCSD from the idea conception to the execution of the above installation; and
- (f) he opined that the LCSD should consult the STDC first in the future if it planned to use a large amount of public money to promote activities not directly related to its services.

29. The views of Mr LAI Tsz-yan were summarised below:

- (a) he said that “the staircase with a hundred steps” (“the staircase”) was a venue frequented by the residents of Sha Tin. He enquired whether the residents of Sha Tin and other stakeholders were consulted before the setting up of the flower beds. He opined that public space or public resources should not be occupied or used even if the residents in the vicinity were not affected. The LCSD should consult the residents of Sha Tin since the construction of the facilities and flower beds were funded by public money; and
- (b) he opined that the display period of the flower beds was not relevant to the theme, and that flower beds should not be constructed for the celebration of the 30th anniversary of Sha Tin Town Hall. He enquired about the expenditure on the celebration of the 30th anniversary of Sha Tin Town Hall.

30. The views of Mr WAI Hing-cheung were summarised below:

- (a) the Government did not consult the STDC before erecting the marquee over “the staircase” more than ten years ago. Many activities had been held in front of “the staircase” since then. Over the years, he had been relaying to the LCSD that many residents in the vicinity complained about the noise nuisance caused by activities. However, in its replies, the LCSD only said that it would warn and advise the groups organising the activities. There was also a covered theatre in Sha Tin Park suitable for holding activities. He hoped that the LCSD would review afresh whether “the staircase” in front of Sha Tin Town Hall was suitable for holding large-scale activities;
- (b) he wished to know whether the marquee was erected to turn “the staircase” into a spectator stand. If yes, why an art installation was set up on “the staircase”;
- (c) the open space opposite Sha Tin Town Hall was an emergency vehicular access and should not be used for holding activities; and
- (d) he enquired why the LCSD did not consult the STDC before the alteration. He opined that the LCSD should consult or notify the STDC before recommending an activity to or consulting the Sha Tin Arts and Culture Promotion Committee.

31. Ms May TSANG, Senior Manager (New Territories East) Promotion of the LCSD gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) the LCSD always set up art installations at outdoor venues and beautified art space. Consultation was not required for such items. The LCSD had always maintained

communication with hirers. Indoor venues were arranged for some hirers, while some hirers moved to outdoor venues close to Sha Tin Town Hall or changed the dates of events. During the exhibition, around 270 square metres of steps on both sides of “the staircase” remained open for the public to sit down and relax;

- (b) the flower beds took up only 1.5% of the space of the plaza. The LCSD hoped to use them to beautify the public space, and provide residents with the opportunity to appreciate art installations in their daily lives. Regarding the art installation Mr Rick HUI mentioned, she explained that the installation in the open space opposite Sha Tin Town Hall was designed by a public art artist. By embodying the agricultural history of Sha Tin and the handcars on railroads and farmland in the old days, it symbolised the development of Sha Tin over the years witnessed by Sha Tin Town Hall and the community. The 30th anniversary of Sha Tin Town Hall would be in mid-January next year. As a usual practice of the LCSD, the celebration would begin in advance and would last till mid-January. In addition to the art installations, there would be a series of artistic activities, together with an exhibition on the history of Sha Tin and Sha Tin Town Hall;
- (c) in response to the noise issue, she said that after the setting up of the floral decoration, residents remarked that it was more acceptable than large events, believing that it optimised the space and reduced noise nuisance. The LCSD would gather the views of the public and review in the future the direction for development;
- (d) the costs of production and maintenance were approximately HK\$580,000, including the cost of daily cleaning;
- (e) “the staircase” and the space nearby were within the range of Sha Tin Town Hall, and Sha Tin Town Hall was responsible for the hiring and management of the plaza. Therefore, the floral decoration was placed on “the staircase” to celebrate the 30th anniversary;
- (f) in response to Mr WAI Hing-cheung’s view on the marquee over “the staircase”, she said that the marquee was erected to provide rain shelter for residents resting there;
- (g) the emergency vehicular access should not be blocked at any time, and it should be kept unobstructed during activities held by groups; and
- (h) the LCSD began to conceive the idea last December and drew up an overall plan this April. Usually the LCSD would report to the STDC only when the interior works affected the opening of a facility. It did not consult the STDC since the activity did not affect the opening of the facility. The LCSD would make a recommendation to the STDC after a specific plan for the exhibition or celebration was made. She added that the Cultural Presentations Section of the LCSD would arrange cultural performances to celebrate the 30th anniversary of Sha Tin Town Hall. This was the reallocation of expenses for daily programmes.

32. The Chairman suggested that the LCSD should introduce the activities in celebration of the 30th anniversary of Sha Tin Town Hall to members at the meeting of the CSCDC, so as to attract more residents to the activities.

Progress Report on 2016-2017 District Facilities and Improvement Works
(Paper No. DFM 35/2016)

33. The views of Mr Michael YUNG were summarised below:

- (a) he enquired about the progress of ST-DMW150 “Provision of Public Toilets for the Park in Area 86 (i.e. inside Hang Fai Street Park)”;
- (b) he enquired about the progress of putting out to tender ST-DMW293 “Improvement Works in Area 86, Ma On Shan (i.e. Hang Fai Street Park)”;
- (c) he said that the proposed location of ST-DMW379 “Provision of Rain Shelter on Hang Shun Street, Ma On Shan” was at the new crossing and entrance to the prospective Phase 2 of Yan On Estate. He enquired whether the works would continue; if not, how the relevant resources would be deployed. After the meeting of the working group, he inspected the proposed site for the works together with the convener and the representatives of the Home Affairs Department (HAD), the consulting firm and the STDO. He asked the HAD about the latest developments; and
- (d) he wished to know whether the payment of consultant’s fee was required for ST-DMW332 “Provision of Rain Shelter on Hang Fai Street, Ma On Shan” which was cancelled at the last meeting of the DFMC.

34. Mr CHAN Nok-hang enquired whether ST-DMW372 “Improvement Works for the Sitting-Out Area at Sha Tin Tau Village” could be completed in 2018. He also wished to know about the status of the tender and whether the costs of the works would increase in the event of delay in the implementation.

35. Mr Sunny CHIU enquired whether the tender for ST-DMW292 “Provision of Toilets at Sha Tin Wai Playground” had been completed, and whether changing rooms and drinking fountains would be provided after the construction of the toilets.

36. The views of Mr Billy CHAN were summarised below:

- (a) as the commencement date of ST-DMW292 “Provision of Toilets at Sha Tin Wai Playground” was postponed from November 2016 to March 2017, he enquired whether this would result in an increase in the cost of works, and whether changing rooms would be provided after the construction of the toilets; and
- (b) as the recent unstable weather might result in seepage at ST-DMW373 “Improvement Works for Pavilions in Stone Park”, he enquired whether the HAD would conduct on-site inspections.

37. Ms Kate MA, Architect (Works)8 of the HAD gave a consolidated response as follows:

- (a) the Water Supplies Department had determined that the water fixtures of ST-DMW150 “Provision of Public Toilets for the Park in Area 86 (i.e. inside Hang Fai Street Park)” were acceptable. The department was currently arranging the commissioning with the LCSD. The HAD would continue to cooperate with the

LCSD closely then, with a view to opening the public toilets to the public as soon as possible;

- (b) the HAD was currently reviewing the tender documents of ST-DMW293 “Improvement Works in Area 86, Ma On Shan (i.e. Hang Fai Street Park)”. It was anticipated that the review would be completed in September, and the works would be commenced in October;
- (c) after the meeting of the working group, she inspected the proposed site of ST-DMW379 “Provision of Rain Shelter on Hang Shun Street, Ma On Shan” together with the convener and the representatives of the consulting firm and the STDO. In respect of the location, the representatives of the STDO would discuss with the proponent again before reporting to members; and
- (d) in response to Mr Michael YUNG’s question on ST-DMW332 “Provision of Rain Shelter on Hang Fai Street, Ma On Shan” which had been cancelled, she said that no construction fees or consultant fees were incurred because no ground investigation works had been carried out.

38. Ms Selina LEUNG said that the tender documents for ST-DMW292 “Provision of Toilets at Sha Tin Wai Playground” were being prepared. The works included changing rooms and drinking fountains, and the tender exercises were expected to commence in November 2016. The LCSD and the HAD would actively follow up on ST-DMW150 “Provision of Public Toilets for the Park in Area 86 (i.e. inside Hang Fai Street Park)”, with an aim to open the public toilets to the public as soon as possible.

39. Ms Jackie LO, District Leisure Manager (Sha Tin) of the LCSD responded that the LCSD and the ArchSD would continue to follow up on the result of ST-DMW373 “Improvement Works for Pavilions in Stone Park”. So far no reports on seepage had been received.

40. Ms Sally YAN, Deputy District Leisure Manager (District Support) Sha Tin (Acting) of the LCSD said that the ArchSD was preparing the tender documents for ST-DMW372 “Improvement Works for the Sitting-Out Area at Sha Tin Tau Village”. The works were expected to commence in November following the rainy season and to be completed in December 2017 ahead of schedule, while the costs of the works remained unchanged. The LCSD and the ArchSD would continue to follow up on and closely monitor the progress of the works.

41. Members noted the above report.

Date of Next Meeting

42. The next meeting would be held at 2:30 pm on 25 October 2016 (Tuesday).

43. The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 pm

Sha Tin District Council Secretariat
STDC 13/15/50

October 2016