

**Minutes of the 13th Meeting of
the District Facilities Management Committee (2016-2017) of
the Tuen Mun District Council**

Date: 5 December 2017 (Tuesday)

Time: 9:32 a.m.

Venue: Tuen Mun District Council (TMDC) Conference

<u>Present</u>		<u>Time of Arrival</u>	<u>Time of Departure</u>
Mr TO Shek-yuen, MH (Chairman)	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr TSUI Fan, MH (Vice-chairman)	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr LEUNG Kin-man, BBS, MH, JP	TMDC Chairman	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr LEE Hung-sham, Lothar, BBS, MH	TMDC Vice-chairman	9:34 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr SO Shiu-shing	TMDC Member	9:34 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr KWU Hon-keung	TMDC Member	9:34 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr CHU Yiu-wah	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Ms KONG Fung-yi	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Ms WONG Lai-sheung, Catherine	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Ms HO Hang-mui	TMDC Member	9:36 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr LAM Chung-hoi	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Ms CHING Chi-hung	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Ms LUNG Shui-hing, MH	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr CHAN Man-wah, MH	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr CHAN Manwell, Leo	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr CHEUNG Hang-fai	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
The Hon HO Kwan-yiu, JP	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Ms CHU Shun-nga, Beatrice	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr TSANG Hin-hong	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Ms SO Ka-man	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr KAM Man-fung	TMDC Member	9:41 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr MO Shing-fung	TMDC Member	10:14 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr YIP Man-pan	TMDC Member	9:41 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr YEUNG Chi-hang	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr YAN Siu-nam	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Mr TAM Chun-yin	TMDC Member	9:30 a.m.	End of meeting
Ms LEE Yan-chi, Blair (Secretary)	Executive Officer (District Council)3, Tuen Mun District Office, Home Affairs Department		

Absent with Apologies

Mr NG Koon-hung TMDC Member

Mr CHAN Yau-hoi, BBS, MH, JP TMDC Member

By Invitation

Mr SHEK Man-pong Engineer 3/Noise Mitigation, Highways Department

Mr Toby NG Resident Engineer, Mannings (Asia) Consultants Limited

Mr Ken TONG Senior Project Manager, Spence Robinson Limited

In Attendance

Ms FUNG Ngar-wai, Aubrey District Officer (Tuen Mun), Home Affairs Department

Miss TSUI Man-ye, Joanna Assistant District Officer (Tuen Mun)1,

Home Affairs Department

Ms YAN Yuet-han, Fion Senior Liaison Officer (1), Tuen Mun District Office,

Home Affairs Department

Mr CHEUNG Chi-keung, Endy Senior Executive Officer (District Management),

Tuen Mun District Office, Home Affairs Department

Ms SIU Wai-mei, Minnie Liaison Officer i/c (District Facilities), Tuen Mun

District Office, Home Affairs Department

Mr LEUNG Kam-wai Senior Inspector of Works, Tuen Mun District Office,

Home Affairs Department

Mr LEE Wang-yui, Eddie Architect (Works)7, Home Affairs Department

Mr WONG Ying-ming District Leisure Manager (Tuen Mun),

Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Ms LEE Lai-fan, Jenny Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tuen Mun),

Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Ms LAW Lai-chun, Gladys Senior Executive Officer (Panning) 2,

Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Ms WONG Fan-ni, Jasmine Senior Manager (New Territories West) Promotion,

Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Mr TONG Tung-kit, Terry Senior Librarian (Tuen Mun),

Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Mr MOK Hing-cheung Administrative Assistant/ Lands (District Lands Office,

Tuen Mun), Lands Department

Mr YIP Kwok-leung Neighbourhood Police Co-ordinator, Police Community

Relations Office (Tuen Mun District), Hong Kong Police

Force

I. Opening Remarks

The Chairman welcomed all present to the 13th meeting of the District Facilities Management Committee (“DFMC”).

2. The Chairman welcomed Miss Joanna TSUI, Assistant District Officer (Tuen Mun)¹, Ms Fion YAN, Senior Liaison Officer (1) of the Tuen Mun District Office (“TMDO”), and Ms Blair LEE, the new Secretary of the DFMC, who were for the first time present at a DFMC meeting. Besides, he thanked Mr LO Chun-hang, Simpson, the former Assistant District Officer (Tuen Mun)¹, Ms KOO Kit-yee, Angie, the former Senior Liaison Officer (1) of the TMDO, and Ms SHU Pui-ki, Becky, the former DFMC Secretary, for the contributions they had made to the DFMC.

3. The Chairman said the Secretariat had received Mr Kenneth LAU’s notification on his withdrawal from the DFMC. On behalf of the DFMC, the Chairman thanked Mr Kenneth LAU for the contributions he had made to the DFMC.

4. The Chairman reminded Members that Members who were aware of their personal interests in any matters discussed at the meeting should declare the interests before the discussion. The Chairman would, in accordance with Order 39(12) of the Tuen Mun District Council (“TMDC”) Standing Orders, decide whether the Members who had declared interests might speak or vote on the matters, might remain at the meeting as observers, or should withdraw from the meeting. All cases of declaration of interests would be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

II. Absence from Meeting

5. The Secretariat had received no applications from Members for leave of absence.

III. Confirmation of Minutes of the 12th Meeting Held on 10 October 2017

6. The above minutes were unanimously confirmed by the DFMC.

IV. Discussion Items

(A) Noise Barrier Retrofitting Works on Tuen Mun Road (Town Centre Section)

(DFMC Paper No. 49/2017)

7. Mr SHEK Man-pong of the Highways Department (“HyD”) said he was

joined by Mr Toby NG of the consultant to attend the meeting to give Members a brief introduction to the proposal to designate a small part of the greening zone in San Hui Sitting-out Area as a works area on a temporary basis.

8. Mr Toby NG of the consultant then gave a PowerPoint presentation (Annex 1) to briefly introduce such information as the site, scope and dates of the works to Members. He said the HyD would use water-filled barriers and plastic panels to separate the works site and the sitting-out area during the works, so that members of the public could go to San Hui Sitting-out Area via Castle Peak Road to use the facilities as usual. He further said the HyD would restore the sitting-out area to its original appearance after the works, and the HyD would also keep in close contact with the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (“LCSD”) and the management offices of nearby housing estates during the works.

9. As Members had no objection, the Chairman announced that the DFMC supported the proposals in the paper. He asked the HyD and the LCSD to continue to take steps to ensure the safety of pedestrians and the users of the park.

(B) LCSD’s Recreational and Sports Activities Plan for Tuen Mun District from April 2018 to March 2019

(DFMC Paper No. 50/2017)

10. The Chairman said the paper set out the plan for recreation and sports activities to be held by the LCSD in Tuen Mun from April 2018 to March 2019 and the related funding application. As stated in paragraphs 4 and 10 of the paper, the expenditures on LCSD activities in March 2018 in the sum of \$394,412 should be carried forward to the 2018-2019 financial year for payment, whereas the expenditures on LCSD activities in March 2019 in the sum of \$402,695 should be carried forward to the 2019-2020 financial year for payment. It followed that the sum recommended in the current application was \$7,313,442 in total.

11. The Chairman continued to say that the Home Affairs Department had not yet announced the total amount of funding for the new financial year (i.e. 2018 to 2019), but according to the district council (“DC”) funding budget endorsed for the current financial year, the maximum funding for the activities concerned was \$7,343,090, which was larger than the amount of funding currently requested by the LCSD for 2018 to 2019, so the DFMC could allocate the full amount of funding that the LCSD applied for to organise the activities concerned.

12. As Members had no objection, the Chairman announced that the DFMC endorsed the allocation of \$7,313,442 for the recreation and sports activities to be organised by the LCSD. The above funding application would be tabled at the meeting of the Finance, Administration and Publicity Committee (“FAPC”) on 15 December 2017 and the TMDC meeting on 9 January 2018 for final endorsement.

(C) Proposal on LCSD’s Free Cultural Programmes in Tuen Mun District (2018-19)

(DFMC Paper No. 51/2017)

13. The Chairman said that similarly, the amount of funding in the current application made by the LCSD did not exceed the maximum DC funding budget endorsed for the current financial year, so the DFMC could allocate the full amount of funding that the LCSD applied for to organise the activities concerned.

14. As Members had no objection, the Chairman announced that the DFMC endorsed an allocation of \$86,620 for the entertainment activities to be organised by the LCSD in March 2018 and an allocation of \$1,042,170 for the entertainment activities to be organised by the department from April 2018 to February 2019. The above funding application would be tabled at the FAPC meeting on 15 December 2017 and the TMDC meeting on 9 January 2018 for final endorsement.

(D) Extension Activities Programmes of Public Libraries in Tuen Mun District

(DFMC Paper No. 52/2017)

15. The Chairman said that similarly, the amount of funding in the current application made by the LCSD did not exceed the maximum DC funding budget endorsed for the current financial year, so the DFMC could allocate the full amount of funding that the LCSD applied for to organise the activities concerned.

16. As Members had no objection, the Chairman announced that the DFMC endorsed an allocation of \$70,535 for the activities to be organised by the LCSD from March 2018 to February 2019. The above funding application would be tabled at the FAPC meeting on 15 December 2017 for final endorsement.

**(E) LCSD
Proposal for Name of New Facility - Government Complex in Area 14 (Siu Lun), Tuen Mun**

(DFMC Paper No. 53/2017)

Action

17. Ms Gladys LAW of the LCSD said that in January 2015, the Legislative Council (“LegCo”) had approved the funding for the government complex under construction in Area 14, Tuen Mun, which was located at Siu Lun Street near Castle Peak Road, and the works had started in August of the same year and were due for completion in early 2019. The new complex would house the facilities of five government departments, which included a sports centre of the LCSD, a community hall of the TMDO, a delivery office and speedpost centre of the Post Office, a regional office of the Immigration Department, and the Tuen Mun Social Security Field Unit of the Social Welfare Department. Details of the facilities were provided in the current paper.

18. Ms Gladys LAW of the LCSD further said that after discussion among the five government departments, the LCSD proposed the new complex be named “Tuen Mun Siu Lun Complex” because the new complex was located at Siu Lun Street near Siu Lun Sports Ground, and the sport centre be named “Siu Lun Sport Centre” to match the name of the neighbouring facility. She hoped Members could offer ideas and consider endorsing the above proposals.

19. Members made comments and enquiries on the LCSD’s proposals, which are summarised as follows:

- (i) A Member said the historical background of the place should be taken into consideration in the naming of the new complex. The nearby police station had been named after “Castle Peak Bay”, and the new complex was close to Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay, so the Member hoped the department would consider naming the new complex after “Castle Peak Bay”;
- (ii) A Member said that the new complex was situated at Siu Lun Street and should thus be named “Tuen Mun Siu Lun Complex” for easy identification by residents;
- (iii) A Member asked whether a marriage registry would be set up in the new complex and, if not, the Member would suggest a marriage registry be set up there;
- (iv) A Member enquired about the timeline for the commissioning of the government department facilities in the new complex after its completion in early 2019;

- (v) In view of inconsistency in the standards for the naming of government complexes in recent years, a Member would like the department to note that the names of government facilities should be consistent; and
- (vi) A Member said the project had been discussed for many years and Members and the public had always been calling this facility “Siu Lun Complex”, so the department should consider naming the complex after “Siu Lun”.

20. Mr WONG Ying-ming of the LCSD responded that the department’s proposal to name the new complex “Tuen Mun Siu Lun Complex” was based mainly on its geographic location and the name commonly used by the public. The department would consider the proposal just made by Members that the complex be named after “Castle Peak Bay”. Moreover, the department considered that both “Siu Lun” and “Castle Peak Bay” were appropriate for naming, but the place had been renamed after “Siu Lun” after land reclamation, so the department would prefer the name with “Siu Lun” if it chose either one of the two. As for the opening dates of the government facilities in the new complex, he said the government departments would strive to launch their services around the same time, but the dates were yet to be confirmed. The LCSD would report back to Members after the departments confirmed the launch dates for the services.

21. Members made different comments on the responses given by Mr WONG Ying-ming of the LCSD, which are summarised as follows:

- (i) A Member remarked that due respect should be given to the historical background in the naming, instead of basing the decision of whether to name the complex after “Castle Peak Bay” on whether Castle Peak Bay still existed;
- (ii) A Member said that besides respect for history, convenience for the public was also a primary consideration. As there was already a sports ground nearby named after “Siu Lun”, the public might be confused and inconvenienced if the complex was named after “Castle Peak Bay”. The Member considered that the new complex should be named after “Siu Lun”, which was actually more consistent with its geographic location and convenient for residents;

- (iii) A Member said the new complex was near Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay, so naming it after “Castle Peak Bay” would make it easier for the public to locate it on a map; whereas naming it after “Siu Lun” could mislead people in thinking that the new complex was situated near On Ting Estate and Siu Lun Court.

22. The Chairman asked the LCSD about the procedure for naming new government venues.

23. Mr WONG Ying-ming of the LCSD responded that in naming venues, the department would, in accordance with the “guidelines on naming of venues”, first refer to the names of nearby roads and take the nature of the facilities into consideration. He summarised the views of Members and proposed the new complex be named “Castle Peak Bay Siu Lun Complex” and the sport centre in the new complex be named “Siu Lun Sport Centre”.

24. Members made comments on the responses given by Mr WONG Ying-ming of the LCSD, which are summarised as follows:

- (i) A Member agreed that the new complex be named “Castle Peak Bay Siu Lun Complex”;
- (ii) A Member considered the name “Castle Peak Bay Siu Lun Complex” too cumbersome;
- (iii) A Member said the new complex was next to Siu Lun Sports Ground and should thus be named “Siu Lun Complex” for consistency;
- (iv) A Member said the complex should be named by reference to the name used in the application for funding from the LegCo, namely “Government Complex in Area 14 (Siu Lun), Tuen Mun”;
- (v) A Member suggested the new complex be named “Siu Lun Complex”, and the history of the place and its relationship with Castle Peak Bay be described through an exhibition or a commemorative plaque to be unveiled at the opening ceremony; and
- (vi) A Member suggested from the preservation and the history and

Action

cultural perspectives that the new complex be named “Castle Peak Bay Complex”.

25. The Chairman concluded by saying that this matter would be further discussed at the next meeting. He asked the LCSD to study the various proposals made by Members in respect of the naming of the new complex. LCSD

(F) Calling for Government to Use Idle Land Resources near Fu Tai Estate to Build Community Leisure Facilities

(DFMC Paper No. 54/2017)

26. The first proposer of the paper said that built more than 17 years before, Fu Tai Estate was a large-scale public housing estate in Tuen Mun, but community facilities were not enough in the estate or its vicinity. Despite this, two pieces of government land near Fu Tai Estate had been idle for some time, so he requested the Government to make good use of land by building community leisure facilities there.

27. Mr MOK Hing-cheung of District Lands Office, Tuen Mun, responded that the government land at the Tuen Kwai Road roundabout near Fu Tai Estate had been allocated through temporary land allocation to the Civil Engineering and Development Department in May 2016 for the purposes of greening works until May 2019, whereas another piece of government land next to Lingnan University had also been allocated through temporary land allocation to the Drainage Services Department in May 2016 for drainage works until 2019. He said he had no comments on the matter concerning the use of the two pieces of government land for the provision of community facilities in the future. The department would certainly render full assistance if it received requests from government departments for the provision of the relevant community facilities after the term of land grant expired.

28. Members had no objection. The Chairman would like the proposers of the paper to use the template for proposals on minor works distributed earlier to submit a proposal to the Secretariat for follow-up in due course. Secretariat

(G) Request for Moving of Television Screen at Tseng Choi Street Community Hall

(DFMC Paper No. 55/2017)

29. The first proposer of the paper said the current position of the television screen at Tseng Choi Street Community Hall was so high that passengers on the

pavement could not see the information shown on the television screen. She had written to the TMDO requesting arrangements for the television screen at Tseng Choi Street Community Hall to be moved to a lower position, but the TMDO had replied that such arrangements could not be made due to technical difficulties. She hoped the TMDO would explore whether the television screen at Tseng Choi Street Community Hall could be moved to a lower position; if not, she would suggest a smaller television screen be put up there for the public to view leisure and cultural information.

30. Mr Endy CHEUNG of the TMDO responded that before the installation of the television screen, the TMDO had joined the TMDC Member who made the proposal to visit Tseng Choi Street Community Hall for inspection. He said that due to various objective constraints, the current position was a relatively feasible position for installation. Having considered TMDC Members' comments and carried out initial inspections, the TMDO reckoned that two positions were available for consideration, but these positions had their respective weaknesses. He then gave a PowerPoint presentation (see Annex 2) to introduce the possible positions A and B for discussion by Members.

31. Mr Endy CHEUNG of the TMDO continued to say that as both positions were close to the entrance and exit of the car park of the building, it would be risky for the public to stay there to watch the television screen, and that as the new positions (especially Position B) were at relatively low heights, the thickness of the screen might block the pedestrian walkway. Moreover, the TMDO had consulted the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department and the Architectural Services Department ("ArchSD") about the proposal, and the installation and wiring of the existing screen had cost about \$260,000 in 2009, and when such factors as inflation were taken into account, the moving cost was estimated to be about \$400,000 to \$500,000. Besides, both the EMSD and its contractor believed that the screen might be damaged during the moving process, but parts for repairs might not be available on the market as the screen was a years-old model, so a new screen might be needed for replacement in such a case, and the relevant cost was estimated to exceed \$1 million. As for the loading capacity of walls, the preliminary view of the ArchSD was that the walls of the above two positions might not be able to support the weight of the existing screen, and further calculation was needed to assess whether the options were feasible. After considering the above factors, the TMDO had reservations about the proposal to move the existing television screen.

32. Mr Endy CHEUNG of the TMDO further said the TMDO was installing 60-inch LED televisions at the lobbies of community halls in the district, but it was quite difficult to install such a television at Tseng Choi Street Community Hall without causing damage to its walls, which were made of marble, so Tseng Choi Street Community Hall was not on the list of the first two batches of community halls for installation of televisions. The TMDO would actively explore with the ArchSD ways to install such a television in a suitable position in the community hall as soon as possible, and it was believed that the function of the existing television screen on the external wall of Tseng Choi Street Community Hall could then be partially replaced.

33. A Member expressed understanding for the technical constraints on the installation or moving of the television screen at Tseng Choi Street Community Hall. He noted that the pavement on the opposite side of Tseng Choi Street Community Hall would be reopened after the works for the pavement at Kam Hing Building fronting on to Tseng Choi Street were complete, and the public would then find it easier to view the information shown on the existing television screen at Tseng Choi Street Community Hall. However, he opined that the TMDO might explore with the ArchSD the installation of a new, thinner television screen in Position A, so that the public could still get the information before the opening of the pavement.

34. The first proposer of the paper said that while the pavement on the opposite side of Tseng Choi Street Community Hall would be reopened, few people used the pavement, so it was still necessary to install a new television screen. Therefore, if it was not possible to move the existing television screen at Tseng Choi Street Community Hall to a lower position due to technical difficulties, she would agree that the new 60-inch television screen should be put up in Position A rather than inside the community hall. In addition, she suggested an on-site inspection.

35. A Member suggested keeping the existing television screen and reckoned that the TMDO might consider installing a thinner television screen in another position.

36. As Members had no further comments, the Chairman would like the first proposer of the paper to use the template for proposals on minor works to submit a proposal to the Secretariat for follow-up, so as to facilitate the study by the TMDO and the ArchSD on the feasibility of the positions concerned. Secretariat

V. Reporting Items

(A) Report of Working Group on Community Involvement

(DFMC Paper No. 56/2017)

37. Members had no objection. The Chairman announced that the DFMC endorsed the application made by the Working Group on Community Involvement for funding of \$427,800. The above funding application would be tabled at the FAPC meeting on 15 December 2017 and the TMDC meeting on 9 January 2018 for final endorsement.

38. The DFMC endorsed the report by the working group.

(B) Report of Working Group on Facilities and Works

(DFMC Paper No. 57/2017)

39. Members had no objection to the proposal to display pictures before and after district minor works on the large LED electronic panel on the external wall of Tuen Mun Government Offices. The Chairman asked the Secretariat and the TMDO to follow up on the proposal accordingly.

40. The DFMC endorsed the report by the working group.

(C) Report on LCSD's Cultural Activities Plan for Tuen Mun District for 2018-2019, Cultural Activities in Tuen Mun District and Usage of Tuen Mun Town Hall

(DFMC Paper No. 58/2017)

41. A Member said performers had expressed the view that there was not enough space for photo-taking at the backstage of Tuen Mun Town Hall. The Member hoped the LCSD could follow up on this matter.

42. Ms Jasmine WONG of the LCSD responded that after the meeting, she would seek to know more about the situation from the Member and take appropriate follow-up action.

43. Members noted the above paper.

(D) Work Report on Management of Recreation, Sports and Passive Facilities in Tuen Mun District by LCSD

(DFMC Paper No. 59/2017)

44. Members noted the above paper.

(E) Report on Usage and Extension Activities of LCSD's Public Libraries in Tuen Mun District

(DFMC Paper No. 60/2017)

45. Members noted the above paper.

VI. Any Other Business

(A) Nomination for LCSD Sports Ambassadors

46. The Chairman said the LCSD invited the TMDC to nominate two TMDC Members to serve as Sports Ambassadors from January 2018 to December 2019 to assist in promoting district sports.

47. After discussion, the DFMC nominated Mr KAM Man-fung and Mr CHAN Man-wah to be Sports Ambassadors for the new year and asked the Secretariat to give a reply to the LCSD. Secretariat

(B) 2017 Hong Kong (Tuen Mun) International Half-Marathon

48. The Chairman said the organiser of the 2017 Hong Kong (Tuen Mun) International Half-Marathon proposed Christmas lighting at Tin Hau Bridge be on from 2:00 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. on the day of the event to add to the atmosphere.

49. Miss Joanna TSUI, Assistant District Officer (Tuen Mun)¹, said the contractor said it could keep Christmas lighting at Tin Hau Bridge on from 2:00 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. on the day of the event and would not charge extra for this.

50. A Member expressed support for the above proposal, and hoped that the marathon could be held annually as a mega event of Tuen Mun. Two other Members said they had no comments.

51. After discussion, the DFMC endorsed the above organiser's proposal as there was only one Member with opposing views. The Chairman asked the TMDO to give a reply to the organiser of the event. TMDO

(C) Visit to Hong Kong Institution of Engineers

52. The Chairman said the Hong Kong Institution of Engineers invited the TMDC for a visit to the institution. He would like Members to discuss whether to accept the invitation.

53. After discussion, two Members expressed interests and other Members agreed that the two Members join the visit as the representatives. The Chairman asked the Secretariat to arrange with the institution matters concerning the date of visit.

VII. Date of Next Meeting

54. The Chairman said the Chairman and Vice-chairman of the DFMC of the new term would be elected at the first DFMC meeting to be held on the morning of 9 January 2018 (Tuesday), and the formation of the working groups of the new term would only be discussed at the second DFMC meeting. After the current term of office ended, all the working groups would have to continue to follow up on their activities and work until the working groups of the new term were formed. In view of this, the TMDC had agreed that the previous practice should be followed, in which the Convenors of the working groups should help follow up on their work until the formation of the working groups of the new term.

55. The Chairman further said the current meeting was the last meeting of the DFMC of the current term. He thanked all departments and Members for their participation and support in the current term of the DFMC.

56. There being no other business, the meeting was closed at 11:03 a.m.

Tuen Mun District Council Secretariat

Date: 13 December 2017

File Ref: HAD TMDC/13/25/DFMC/17