

(Translation)

Minutes of the 15<sup>th</sup> Meeting of  
Environmental and Health Affairs Committee (1/18-19)

Date: 3 May 2018 (Thursday)

Time: 2:30 p.m.

Venue: Main Conference Room, Tsuen Wan District Office

Present:

Members

Mr CHOW Ping-tim (Chairman)  
Mr CHENG Chit-pun (Vice Chairman)  
Mr MAN Yu-ming, MH  
The Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael, BBS, JP  
Mr KOO Yeung-pong, MH  
Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris  
Mr LI Hung-por  
Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis  
Ms LAM, Lam Nixie  
The Hon CHAN Han-pan, Ben, JP  
Mr CHAN Chun-chung, Jones  
Mr CHAN Sung-ip, MH  
Mr WONG Ka-wa  
Mr WONG Wai-kit, MH  
Mr KOT Siu-yuen  
Mr CHUNG Wai-ping, SBS, MH  
Mr LO Siu-kit, MH  
Mr TAM Hoi-pong

Co-opted Members

Mr LAM Kwok-on  
Mr LAM Fook-chuen  
Mr CHUNG Ho-yin

Government Representatives

Mr CHOW Chun-hun, Gary	Assistant District Officer (Tsuen Wan), Tsuen Wan District Office
Mr CHAN Kwok-hung	Chief Health Inspector 1 (Tsuen Wan), Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Mr AU YEUNG Wai-ming, Arthur	Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan)2, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms TSANG Ka-man, Carmen	Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional West)2, Environmental Protection Department
Ms LO Sze-yan	Engineer/Tsuen Kwai 2, Drainage Services Department
Mr CHOI Kin-man	District Engineer/SW(W), Highways Department
Mr LI Pui-sang	Contract Project Coordinator/2 (New Territories West), Civil Engineering and Development Department

Mr WONG Shun-ping	Assistant Housing Manager/Shek Wai Kok 2, Housing Department
Mr TSE Hing-chit	Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing)
Mr YUNG Chi-wai	Senior Inspector of Works, Tsuen Wan District Office
Miss TAI Tsz-yan, Angie	Executive Officer/Development, Tsuen Wan District Office

Tsuen Wan District Council Secretariat Representatives

Miss LAM Siu-yung, Daisy	Senior Executive Officer (District Council), Tsuen Wan District Office
Mr LEE Nelson (Secretary)	Executive Officer (District Council) 1, Tsuen Wan District Office

Attendance by Invitation:

For discussion of items 2A, 2B, 2O, 4 and 5

Mr WONG Wan-chi, Anbrose	Chief Inspector of Police (Operations) 1 (Tsuen Wan), Hong Kong Police Force
--------------------------	--

For discussion of item 2C

Mr CHEUNG Tit-kwan, Ivan	Marine Manager/Cargo Handling (2), Marine Department
Mr NG Chi-hong, Caddy	Senior Assistant Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2), Marine Department

For discussion of item 2F

Mr CHAN Simon	Property Services Manager/PM24, Architectural Services Department
Mr KWAN Man-ho	Architect Assistant, Llewelyn-Davies Hong Kong Limited, Consultant Engaged by the Architectural Services Department
Mr HUNG Chun-hin	Structural Engineer, AECOM Asia Company Limited, Consultant Engaged by the Architectural Services Department
Ms NG Sze-chung, May	Executive Officer (Planning) 4, Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

For discussion of item 2I

Mr TANG Kin-on	Engineer/Consultants Management 13, Drainage Services Department
Mr NG Ming-lau	Resident Engineer, Atkins China Limited, Consultant Engaged by the Drainage Services Department

For discussion of item 2P

Dr LOH Lai-ting, Taron

Senior Medical and Health Officer (Community Liaison) 1, Department of Health

For discussion of item 9

Mr CHU Tung-fai

Senior Health Inspector, Joint Office/Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

Mr TSO Kwong-wing, Tommy

Professional Officer (1), Joint Office/Buildings Department

Mr KAN Ka-man

Engineer/New Territories West (Customer Services) Inspection, Water Supplies Department

Absent:

Member

Mr LAM Faat-kang, MH

Co-opted Members

Ms CHOW Chin-tung

Ms YU Sui-wan

Minutes of Meeting

I Opening Remarks and Introduction

The Chairman welcomed the Members and representatives from the government departments to the 15<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Environmental and Health Affairs Committee (EHAC) and introduced Ms LO Sze-yan, Engineer/Tsuen Kwai 2 (Engr/Tsuen Kwai 2) of the Drainage Services Department (DSD) who attended the meeting for the first time and replaced Mr TSE Man-hong to attend the meeting.

2. The Chairman said that for Members' information, Mr LAM Faat-kang was absent with apologies.

3. The Chairman reminded Members that according to Section 15(3) of the Tsuen Wan District Council Standing Orders (the "Standing Orders"), "in the course of a meeting of the Council, all persons attending or sitting in on the meeting at the place of the meeting shall switch off all devices which might emit sound and shall not use any telecommunications devices for conversation" in order to ensure the smooth progress of the meeting.

II Item 1: Confirmation of Minutes of the 14th Meeting held on 1.3.2018

4. The minutes of the previous meeting were unanimously confirmed by Members without amendment.

III Item 2: Matters Arising from the Minutes of the Previous Meeting

(A) Paragraphs 5 to 14 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request Government Departments to Assist in Resolving the Matters Concerning Occupation of Public Area by Metals and Waste Paper Recycling Shops at Tak Wah Street

5. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

6. The Acting Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Mr WONG Wan-chi, Ambrose, Chief Inspector of Police (Operations) 1 (Tsuen Wan) (CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan)) of the Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF);
- (2) Mr CHAN Kwok-hung, Chief Health Inspector 1 (Tsuen Wan) (CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan)) of the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD); and
- (3) Mr TSE Hing-chit, Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing) (AA/Lands) of the District Lands Office (DLO).

(Note: Mr MAN Yu-ming joined the meeting at 2:38 p.m.)

7. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the FEHD and other government departments had conducted ten joint operations from March to April 2018. No obstruction of footpath and obstruction of cleansing caused by articles were found in the operations which required immediate prosecution. The FEHD would continue to collaborate with other government departments and keep the public area clean and tidy as far as possible.

8. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the HKPF had classified Tak Wah Street as one of the traffic black spots and would take targeted action on illegal parking and conduct regular inspections.

9. Mr CHOW Ping-tim said that although the obstruction of footpath and obstruction of cleansing caused by articles were not identified in the ten joint operations, these operations aiming at addressing the shop front extensions in Tak Wah Street scarcely warranted the desired outcome. He also suggested that Members of the EHAC should inspect the sites concerned when necessary. He pointed out that some handcarts were still placed on the carriageway as shown in the pictures taken on 16 March and 6 April 2018. He enquired about the existing legislation for instituting prosecution against the offence of obstructing the traffic caused by handcarts.

10. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the HKPF would take law enforcement actions only when there was serious traffic congestion and actual danger to the road users. The HKPF would also tie in with the FEHD in the joint operations and consider taking law enforcement actions on carriageways.

11. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the HKPF might request the FEHD to remove and confiscate the articles placed on the carriageways by invoking section 32(1) of the Summary Offences Ordinance (Chapter 228).

12. Mr CHOW Ping-tim said that if the HKPF had invoked section 32(1) of the Summary Offences Ordinance (Chapter 228), the street obstruction by handcart as shown in the pictures would not have taken place. If the situation continued, the salespersons of the telecommunications service might extend their selling practice to the carriageways. He suggested that the joint operations should be conducted together with the street cleansing by the street washers, so that the Police could take law enforcement actions on the grounds of traffic obstruction.

13. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that generally, the street washers should run on specific routes. He was worried that the cleansing work would be disrupted when the street washers reached the site concerned.

14. The Acting Chairman hoped that the Police would step up law enforcement against the situations concerned.

15. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reposed that the FEHD was responsible for dealing with street obstruction in the joint operations. The HKPF would take law enforcement actions against street obstruction on the carriageway, so as to prevent the exploitation of the loophole that the FEHD was not in a position to deal with the street obstruction caused by the articles placed on carriageways by some members of the public. The HKPF would not take law enforcement actions proactively beyond the joint operations and would only take law enforcement actions when there was serious traffic congestion and actual danger to the road users.

16. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he hoped that the departments would take resolute law enforcement actions when conducting the joint operations so as to tackle the problem concerned at the earliest possible (Mr LO Siu-kit); and
- (2) he was pleased to note that the Police had undertaken to take law enforcement actions during the joint operations and hoped that street obstruction at the locations concerned would be improved (Mr CHOW Ping-tim).

17. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(B) Paragraphs 15 to 20 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request for Taking Operation against the Easy-mount Frames on the Two Bridges of Fou Wah Centre, Citistore and Chung On Street

18. The Chairman asked the representative of FEHD to report on the follow-up work taken by the FEHD on the obstruction caused by easy-mount frames on the two footbridges of Fou Wah Centre and Citistore as well as the pedestrian walkway on Chung On Street.

19. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that from March to April 2018, the FEHD had issued six fixed penalty notices (the “FPNs”) at the footbridge off Tsuen Fung Centre, the footbridge connecting Tsuen Wan MTR Station with Discovery Park and near T. Mark and Tsuen Wan Donor Centre of the Hong Kong Red Cross on Tai Ho Road; and confiscated a total of seven unattended easy-mount frames on Tai Ho Road as well as at the footbridge connecting Tsuen Wan MTR Station with Discovery Park and the footbridge connecting Nan Fung Centre with Lo Tak Court. The FEHD would closely keep in view of the situation.

20. The Chairman said that the EHAC would continue to discuss this agenda item under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.

(C) Paragraphs 21 to 23 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Strongly Request the Marine Department and Environmental Protection Department to Stop the Contractors of the Gin Drinkers Bay Public Cargo Working Area from Making Noise Nuisance whilst Operation in order to Safeguard a Quiet Living Environment in the Waterfront Area of Tsuen Wan

21. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Ms TSANG Ka-man, Carmen, Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional West) 2 (Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2) of the Environmental Protection Department (EPD);
- (2) Mr CHEUNG Tit-kwan, Ivan, Marine Manager/Cargo Handling (2) of the Marine Department (MD); and
- (3) Mr NG Chi-hong, Caddy, Senior Assistant Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2) (Sr Asst Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2)) of the MD.

22. SASM/Cargo Handling (2) of the MD reported that the MD carried out the daily patrol as well as the measurement and monitoring of the noise index at irregular hours in the Rambler Channel Public Cargo Working Area (the “PCWA”) in March and April 2018. During the period, it did not detect any noise level exceeding the noise standards set out in the Noise Control Ordinance.

23. SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD continued to assess the noise level at the PCWA during operation on a regular basis. At around 11:00 a.m. on 19 March 2018 and at around 3:00 p.m. on 18 April 2018 at the rooftop of Hoi Sing Mansion of the Riviera Gardens, the noise levels measured did not exceed the noise standards set out in the Noise Control Ordinance.

24. The Chairman said that the EHAC would continue to discuss this agenda item under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.

(D) Paragraphs 24 to 28 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Strongly Request the District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing to Specify the Requirement of Provision of Professional Consultancy Report on Environmental and Traffic Assessment by the Successful Tenderer in the Tender for the Grant of the Temporary Allocated Area in the Proposal in question, with a view to Ensuring that the Living of Residents of the Housing Estates Nearby would not be Adversely Affected due to the Grant of the Site, and Request the Departments to Review the District Consultation Mechanism of Grant of Sites, under which Communication and Cooperation with District Council and Members should be an Integral Part in future

25. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

26. The Acting Chairman said that SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD and AA/Lands of the DLO would give response to Members’ enquiries on behalf of the EPD and DLO respectively.

27. SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD was still exploring the feasible options and would seek views from the EHAC after consolidating various options.

28. AA/Lands of the DLO said that the DLO had nothing to add for the time being.

29. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(E) Paragraphs 29 to 30 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Installation of IP Camera at the Black Spots of Refuse Disposal by the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

30. The Chairman asked the CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD to report the latest status.

31. CCHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the FEHD had installed two IP cameras at the refuse collection points of Gemini Beaches and Lung Yue Road in mid-May 2018 and they would be put into operation in early June 2018. The FEHD would put up notices in the areas under video recording to warn the potential offenders for achieving a deterrent effect according to the Guidance on CCTV Surveillance Practices issued by the Office of the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data. The installation of the remaining two IP cameras at the refuse collection points of Bayside Villas and Tung Po To Monastery were tentatively scheduled for September 2018.

32. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he suggested that the FEHD should display the bigger banners to enhance the deterrent effect and should display banners at the locations without IP cameras (Mr CHENG Chit-pun);
- (2) he hoped that the number of IP cameras would be increased and that one IP camera would be installed at Lo Tak Court although the illegal disposal of refuse thereat was improved recently (Mr KOT Siu-yuen); and
- (3) he suggested that the FEHD should consider installing the cases of the IP cameras to enhance the deterrent effect (Mr WONG Ka-wa).

33. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded as follows:

- (1) the FEHD would display the notices of bigger size to warn the general public;
- (2) the FEHD had recently taken a series of follow-up actions on the illegal disposal of refuse in Lo Tak Court;
- (3) the FEHD understood that the number of IP cameras was inadequate. The installation of IP cameras was a territory-wide pilot scheme. The FEHD would follow up on and review the trial run as well as considering shifting the IP cameras to other places for installation when the situation of the location installed with IP cameras had improved; and
- (4) the FEHD would study Members' suggestion of installing the cases of IP cameras.

34. The Chairman suggested that an observation on prospective improvements should be made after the installation of the IP cameras.

(F) Paragraphs 31 to 32 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request for an Overall Improvement of the Facilities and Hygienic Condition of the Public Toilets in Tsuen Wan District

35. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Mr CHAN Simon, Property Services Manager/PM24 of the Architectural Services Department (Arch SD);
- (2) Mr KWAN Man-ho, Architect Assistant of the Llewelyn-Davies Hong Kong Limited;
- (3) Mr HUNG Chun-hin, Structural Engineer of the AECOM Asia Company Limited; and
- (4) Ms NG Sze-chung, May, Executive Officer (Planning) 4 (EO (Planning)4) of the FEHD.

36. EO (Planning)4 of the FEHD reported that some of the technically feasible proposals raised by the EHAC in the previous meetings would be incorporated in the new layout of the public toilets of Tsuen Wan Multi-storey Carpark Building and Tak Wah Park and the “one-stop design of lavatory basin/liquid soap dispenser/electric hand dryer” would be introduced. At the meeting of a working group on 29 March 2018, the FEHD and Arch SD considered that the installation of the electric hand dryer inside the mirror box placed above the lavatory basin (the “two-in-one design of electric hand dryer and mirror box”) was the most suitable choice.

37. Architect Assistant of the Llewelyn-Davies Hong Kong Limited reported as follows:

- (1) the new design of the female public toilets of Tak Wah Park included 11 water closet cubicles and five lavatory basins. The two-in-one design of electric hand dryer and mirror box would also be adopted;
- (2) there would be one duty room in the male and female toilets respectively for cleaners to store the cleansing supplies;
- (3) one additional electric hand dryer would be installed near the entrance of the male and female toilets respectively;
- (4) the sensor-activated hand dryers, water taps and liquid soap dispensers would be adopted; and
- (5) Members had suggested the relocation of the entrance of the female toilets. Upon studying with the structural engineers, it was considered that the suggestion was infeasible owing to the alteration to the structural wall.

38. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he opined that the two-in-one electric hand dryer and mirror box on each lavatory basin would give rise to users queuing in front of the lavatory basins because the usage of the public toilets in Tak Wah Park was high (Mr LO Siu-kit);

- (2) he enquired whether the bolded lines on the design layout represented the drains (Mr LO Siu-kit);
  - (3) he enquired whether each lavatory basin would be provided with a liquid soap dispenser (the Vice Chairman);
  - (4) he opined that the reaction time of the sensor-activated soap dispensers should be shortened in order to save the liquid soap (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
  - (5) he opined that the installation of the electric hand dryer above the lavatory basin would probably pose a risk of electric shock and cause an obstruction of the flow of users. Therefore, he disagreed with the adoption of the two-in-one design of electric hand dryer and mirror box (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
  - (6) in his opinion, practicality should be the underlying principle of public toilet design. However, the number of water closet cubicles in the female toilet under the new design was one fewer than that in the past. He hoped that the number of water closet cubicles would remain unchanged (Mr KOO Yeung-pong); and
  - (7) poor maintenance was common in public toilets. He suggested that the Arch SD should adopt the materials of better quality in order to prevent the mouldy conditions which would affect the appearance of the public toilets (the Chairman).
39. Architect Assistant of the Llewelyn-Davies Hong Kong Limited responded as follows:
- (1) the bolded lines on the design layout represented the covers of the drains on the ground for preventing the other parts of the toilet from being affected during toilet leakage;
  - (2) the impact of the two-in-one design of electric hand dryer and mirror box on the user flow could not be estimated for the time being;
  - (3) each lavatory basin would be equipped with a sensor-activated soap dispenser and the inclusion of specification of the dispensers in the tender had been studied in order to ensure an appropriate reaction speed of the dispensers;
  - (4) the number of water closet cubicles of the female toilet was not reduced; and
  - (5) durability was the major consideration for the choice of the materials in the public toilets such as anti-skid floor tiles and plastic plates. In case of damage, the Arch SD would make arrangement for repairs.
40. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:
- (1) he proposed to improve the ventilation system of the public toilets through the light-penetration design for natural air ventilation and the greening design for alleviating the odour problem (Mr WONG Ka-wa);
  - (2) he enquired about the estimated time for completing the public toilet refurbishment and suggested that the FEHD should prepare a new design based on the consolidated views of the Members for finalisation of the design and commencement of the works at the earliest possible (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);
  - (3) he opined that the FEHD should tackle the odour problem of the public toilets and the installation of an air-conditioning system could solve the odour problem. However, the refurbishment cost would be substantially increased and the budget might not be sufficient (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);
  - (4) he suggested that the public toilet design should be finalised as soon as possible for early commencement of works by the FEHD (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);

- (5) he was worried that the adoption of the two-in-one design of electric hand dryer and mirror box would lengthen the time of using the lavatory basin (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);
- (6) he agreed that the public toilet design should be finalised as soon as possible and hoped that the consultant companies would discuss with Members individually the views on public toilets (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (7) he hoped that the public toilets would be provided with the maximum number of water closet cubicles (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (8) Members had only received the new design at the meeting and did not have sufficient time to go through the details (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (9) he opined that the commencement of works would be delayed due to the discussion of the design details at the EHAC meetings. He suggested that the consultant companies should contact the Members directly to discuss their views individually (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (10) he opined that the public toilet was of a high usage rate and hoped that the number of water closet cubicles provided would be maximised. He suggested that the two design proposals should be integrated (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (11) he opined that the design of the public toilet could be finalised after a decision was made as to whether the proposed two-in-one design of electric hand dryer and mirror box was adopted (Mr LO Siu-kit); and
- (12) he only suggested the use of a better ventilation system in the public toilets (Mr WONG Ka-wa).

41. The Chairman said that the FEHD submitted the paper behind schedule. The discussion on this matter arising from the minutes of the previous meeting would inevitably be prolonged because Members did not have enough time to study the details. He enquired when the FEHD could determine the design of the public toilets and suggested that a special meeting should be convened for the discussion of the design of the public toilet.

42. EO (Planning)<sup>4</sup> of the FEHD responded that the FEHD and Arch SD would conduct a preliminary examination on the proposed refurbishment design of the public toilet project on 31 May 2018 to prepare for submission to the Working Group for endorsement.

43. After the discussion, the EHAC agreed to hold a special meeting at 11:00 a.m. on 23 May 2018 for discussion of the refurbishment design of the public toilets in Tsuen Wan Multi-storey Carpark Building and Tak Wah Park.

(G) Paragraphs 33 to 35 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request the Environmental Protection Department to Re-examine the Locations of the Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Tsuen Wan District

44. The Chairman said that the EPD had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

45. Mr TAM Hoi-pong enquired how the EPD would install the air quality monitoring instruments at the locations relatively high above the ground, such as the interior of the noise barriers on Cheung Pei Shan Road.

46. SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD said that she would forward Member's views to the officers concerned.

47. The Chairman requested the EPD to send the staff concerned to attend the next EHAC meeting.

(H) Paragraphs 36 to 38 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request to Review afresh the Noise Problem at Tsuen Wan Road and Fix a Timetable for Provision of Noise Barriers at Tsuen Wan Road

48. The Chairman said that the Highways Department (HyD) and EPD had submitted their written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

49. Members noted the written replies from the HyD and EPD.

(I) Paragraphs 39 to 42 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Strongly Request the Drainage Services Department to Press Ahead the "Upgrading of Tsuen Wan Sewerage" and Advance the Period of Completion to mid 2019 for Commissioning of the Four Dry Weather Flow Interceptors As Far As Possible in order to Prevent the Residents from Suffering the Odour Nuisance of the Seawater Following the In-take of Various New Properties along the Coast at Tsuen Wan West Rail One after Another Starting from 2018

50. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

51. The Acting Chairman welcomed the representatives from the DSD who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Ms LO Sze-yan, Engr/Tsuen Kwai 2;
- (2) Mr TANG Kin-on, Engineer/Consultants Management 13 (Engr/Consultants Mgt 13); and
- (3) Mr NG Ming-lau, Resident Engineer of the Atkins China Limited.

52. Resident Engineer of the Atkins China Limited reported as follows:

- (1) the Transport Department (TD) and HKPF had requested the DSD twice in April 2018 to submit the supplementary information on the temporary traffic arrangements for the implementation of the works. The arrangements had been approved by the TD in late April 2018;
- (2) the DSD would make application of the Road Works Permit to the HKPF upon completion of the application of the excavation permit to the HyD;
- (3) the DSD anticipated that the works in Wo Tik Street and Hoi Pa Street could commence in late May 2018. To tie in with another waterworks project, the works at Market Street was expected to commence in mid-June 2018; and
- (4) in order to conduct a traffic impact assessment on Chung On Street, the DSD was required to submit the proposed temporary traffic arrangements again, such as the road section for vehicles entering Yeung Uk Road from Chung On Street. Therefore, the project was expected to commence in the third quarter of 2018.

53. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:
- (1) he enquired about the estimated time for completing the project (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
  - (2) he enquired whether the DSD had conducted an assessment of the traffic impact of the works in Wo Tik Street and about which department would be responsible for managing the temporary traffic arrangements in Wo Tik Street (Mr LAM Fook-chuen);
  - (3) he said that the stallholders of Yeung Uk Road Market were unaware of the works and the relevant temporary traffic arrangements. He enquired why the DSD did not seek views from the stallholders of Yeung Uk Road Market on the works in Market Street (Mr LAM Fook-chuen);
  - (4) he enquired about the difference between the DSD's revised temporary traffic arrangements in Market Street and the traffic arrangements as discussed at the last EHAC meeting (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
  - (5) he enquired about the construction time for each dry weather flow interceptor (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);
  - (6) he opined that the greater impact of the works would be brought to Market Street, Wo Tik Street and Chung On Street, all of which were of higher patronage and more shops. He suggested that some courses of the works should be carried out during nighttime (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);
  - (7) he opined that the works in Market Street, Wo Tik Street and Chung On Street would probably lead to traffic congestion if conducted in daytime. He enquired how the DSD would deal with the situation (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping); and
  - (8) he opined that the impact on traffic brought by the project should be discussed by the Traffic and Transport Committee (Mr CHOW Ping-tim).
54. Engr/Consultants Mgt 13 of the DSD responded as follows:
- (1) the DSD would request the contractor to complete the works at the earliest possible and expected that the project would be completed in September of the third quarter of 2019; and
  - (2) the DSD had set up a hotline for the project. Members of the public or stallholders could contact the project management staff for immediate follow-up actions when necessary.
55. Resident Engineer of the Atkins China Limited responded as follows:
- (1) the DSD would urge the contractor to carry out the works and hoped that the works would be completed earlier, subject to the actual situation;
  - (2) it was learnt that the traffic in Wo Tik Street was quite heavy and only one lane would be closed for works implementation by then. The DSD had also formulated a contingency plan and reserved supplies to cope with emergencies;
  - (3) the construction period of Wo Tik Street was shorter than those of the other three locations. The DSD hoped that the project would be completed at the earliest possible to minimise the impact on traffic;
  - (4) consent had been obtained from the TD and HKPF on the proposed temporary traffic arrangements in Market Street. During the construction period, the DSD

- would strengthen its efforts to brief the affected stallholders on the details of the traffic arrangements and to reduce the impact on stallholders;
- (5) the DSD would comply with the code of practice newly published by the HyD in order to minimise the impact on traffic as far as possible;
  - (6) it was anticipated that the works in Hoi Pa Street would take more than one year and the works in the remaining three locations would take approximately one year;
  - (7) the works would be conducted in daytime;
  - (8) the DSD would erect and cover in advance the road signs for traffic diversion due to emergency, so that the road signs would be available for use at any time. The site supervisor of the contractor would be responsible for overseeing the works implementation; and
  - (9) the contractor and consultant companies had sought views from the affected persons near the work sites.

56. The Acting Chairman suggested that the DSD should liaise with Members directly for the consultation on the project.

57. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(J) Paragraphs 43 to 45 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request for Improvement of the Ventilation and Waiting Environment of the Public Transport Interchanges in Tsuen Wan District

58. The Chairman said that the TD had submitted its written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. As stated in the written reply from the TD, the TD had completed the installation of one fan at the bus terminus at Bayview Garden. It was anticipated that the installation of another fan at the terminus would be completed in mid-May 2018 and that the installation of ten fans at the Tsuen Wan West Public Transport Interchange would be completed in the third quarter of 2018.

(K) Paragraphs 46 to 51 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request to Enhance the Inspection for and Efforts in Combating the Disposal of Construction Waste Casually in Tsuen Wan District

59. The Chairman said that SEPO (Regional W)<sup>2</sup> of the EPD and CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the EPD and FEHD respectively.

60. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the FEHD had been deploying staff to conduct inspection at the sites concerned. When indiscriminate disposal or fly-tipping of construction waste was identified, the patrolling staff would issue the FPNs to the offenders. The FEHD had issued 112 FPNs from March to April 2018 but no FPNs had been issued for the offence of fly-tipping of construction waste. From March to April 2018, the FEHD had referred 28 cases related to fly-tipping of construction waste in public places to the HyD. The staff of the FEHD had inspected the vicinity of Po On Commercial Association Wong Siu Ching Secondary School but did not identify fly-tipping of construction waste. From February to March 2018, the FEHD had made referral of cases related to fly-tipping of construction waste in public places by email to the HyD once a month.

61. SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD would continue to step up inspections for combating fly-tipping of construction waste. In late March 2018, the EPD had completed the installation of IP cameras at three locations in the district and was following up on six or seven suspected cases.

62. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) she enquired the EPD about when the installation locations of IP cameras would be changed (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis); and
- (2) he enquired the EPD about the locations for the installation of IP cameras and whether the installation of IP cameras was under a pilot scheme (Mr KOO Yeung-pong).

63. SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD responded that the three installation locations of IP cameras were Mei Wan Street, Tai Chung Road and Tak Wah Street respectively. The EPD would firstly review the effectiveness of the IP video cameras installed and consider changing the installation locations of the IP cameras when appropriate. As the trial run of IP camera was at its early stage, there was no timetable of changing the installation locations of the IP cameras for the time being.

64. The Chairman hoped that the EPD would further report on the relevant details at the next EHAC meeting.

(L) Paragraphs 52 to 54 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: The Noise Nuisance Problem arising from the Flight Departure during Late Night

65. The Chairman said that the Airport Authority (AA) and Civil Aviation Department (CAD) had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

66. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Mr TAM Hoi-pong were summarised as follows:

- (1) he was discontented with the replies from the AA and CAD which stated that the AA and CAD expected that staff could be arranged to attend the EHAC meeting in the second half of the year but no exact date was provided. In his opinion, the AA and CAD did not respect the EHAC;
- (2) Ma Wan, Sham Tseng and Lei Muk Shue, etc. had all along been affected by the aircraft noise nuisance. He strongly reprimanded the AA and CAD for not sending representatives to attend the EHAC meetings; and
- (3) he opined that the CAD should first listen to Members' views and observe the situation before formulating the relevant policies for a targeted solution.

(M) Paragraphs 55 to 61 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Proposal on Introduction of Solar-powered Outdoor Trash Compactor

67. The Chairman said that the Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan)2 (Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2) of the LCSD and CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the LCSD and FEHD respectively.

68. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the FEHD had completed the installation of a solar-powered trash compactor outside Sham Tseng Refuse Collection Point on 14 February 2018, which operated normally at present and the hygiene conditions of the surrounding environment reached a satisfactory level. In March 2018, the FEHD had completed the installation of 18 solar-powered trash compactors in other districts of the territory for testing and performance assessment.

69. DDLM(Tsuen Wan)<sup>2</sup> of the LCSD reported that the LCSD had launched a trial run of the solar-powered outdoor trash compactor in Tsuen Wan Park. The LCSD would conduct a review after assessing the capacity and effectiveness of the solar-powered trash compactor.

70. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he hoped that the FEHD would step up the efforts on the education and promotion of the use of the solar-powered outdoor trash compactors and provide captions or illustrations beside the solar-powered trash compactors to teach the members of the public how to use the solar-powered trash compactors (the Vice Chairman);
- (2) he suggested that the solar-powered outdoor trash compactor should be equipped with the indicator light to show the volume filled whilst operation, so as to ensure safety (the Vice Chairman);
- (3) he enquired whether the solar-powered trash compactors used by the LCSD and that used by the FEHD were the same model (the Vice Chairman); and
- (4) he opined that the aperture of the solar-powered trash compactors was narrow and the effectiveness was beyond expectation. According to some media reports, the members of the public could not put the domestic wastes into the solar-powered trash compactors through the narrow aperture. He suggested that the LCSD should study the widening of the aperture of the solar-powered trash compactors (Mr LO Siu-kit).

71. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD had conveyed Members' suggestions on the installation of indicator lights on the solar-powered trash compactors and the widening of the aperture of the solar-powered trash compactors to the Headquarters of the FEHD and held a discussion on the suggestions. The FEHD had provided illustrations for the solar-powered trash compactor. The usage of the solar-powered trash compactors had been on the rise according to observation.

72. DDLM(Tsuen Wan)<sup>2</sup> of the LCSD responded that the solar-powered trash compactors used by the LCSD and FEHD were the same model. According to his observation, members of the public might not find it difficult to use them but the LCSD would still consider putting up more instructions. However, it would probably be difficult to install the additional indicator light or alter the size of the aperture of the solar-powered trash compactors as they were imported from foreign countries. As the size of the opening of the refuse bins currently used in the LCSD venues was similar to that of the aperture of the solar-powered trash compactors, he thought that the size of the aperture would not be mattered.

73. The Chairman said that the EHAC would continue to discuss this agenda item under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.

(N) Paragraphs 67 to 71 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request the Government to Intervene in Following up on the Cases involving Dangerous Dead Trees at Private Places that Threatening the Safety of Passers-by in order to Safeguard the Lives and Safety of the General Public

74. The Chairman said that AA/Lands of the DLO would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the DLO.

75. AA/Lands of the DLO reported that the lot administrator replied that the clearance of withered branches was completed in April 2018. The DLO would confirm whether the clearance was completed at the earliest possible.

76. Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis said that she still received complaints about this matter from the members of the public last week. She thus enquired whether the DLO had sent a warning letter to the lot administrator and hoped that the DLO would address the problem directly and take actions.

77. Adm Asst/Lands of the DLO responded that the DLO had just received the reply from the lot administrator recently and arranged the staff to confirm the completion of clearance of withered branches at the site concerned.

78. The Chairman said he hoped that the DLO would solve the problem concerned at the earliest possible and liaise with Members when necessary.

(O) Paragraphs 72 to 79 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Strongly Request the Relevant Government Departments to Make Concerted Efforts to Handle and Solve the Noise Problem affecting the Residents caused by Performing Songs at Park Island Pier in Tsuen Wan so as to Return a Quiet Living Environment to the Residents

79. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

80. The Acting Chairman said that the TD had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. Besides, CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the HKPF.

81. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the HKPF continued to deploy staff to patrol at the captioned site regularly. From January to February 2018, a total of three prosecutions against noise nuisance were instituted. From March to April 2018, seven complaints on noise nuisance were received but no prosecution action was taken.

82. Mr CHOW Ping-tim said that he had recently received complaints from the residents about noise nuisance from the pier. The TD also found that someone played music instruments at the pier on 16 April 2018. However, the Police failed to take law enforcement

in April 2018. He enquired the Police whether the three prosecutions were instituted by tickets or summonses and he hoped that the Police would continue to patrol and take prosecution action at the sites concerned.

83. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the issue of three summonses applied by the HKPF was pending for court hearing. The HKPF would report to the EHAC when there was new development of the cases. The HKPF would conduct regular patrols at the pier.

84. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(P) Paragraphs 80 to 88 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1 March 2018: Request to Enhance the Corresponding Measures against Seasonal Influenza

85. The Chairman welcomed Dr LOH Lai-ting, Taron, Senior Medical and Health Officer (Community Liaison) 1 (Sr Med & Health Offr (Community Liaison) 1) of the Department of Health (DH) who specially joined the meeting. Besides, the DH had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

86. Sr Med & Health Offr (Community Liaison) 1 of the DH reported as follows:

- (1) to raise the seasonal influenza vaccination uptake rate among primary school children, the DH was actively studying the improvement measures on enhancement of the vaccination arrangements;
- (2) in 2018/19, the DH would launch the "School Outreach Vaccination Pilot Programme" (the "Pilot Programme") to reach out to school for giving free influenza vaccination to the students by the Government Outreach Teams or Public-Private-Partnership Outreach Teams;
- (3) the DH would also enhance the existing school outreach vaccination service (the "Enhanced Vaccination Subsidy Scheme") by increasing the amount of subsidy on influenza vaccination service and medical waste treatment for students; and
- (4) school children could also receive influenza vaccination at private clinics under the Vaccination Subsidy Scheme.

87. The Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael said that according to the representative of the DH, the enhanced scheme which would be launched in the new school year did not include the second influenza surge during the summer vacation in this school year. He hoped that the scheme would be launched earlier in order to prevent influenza-associated deaths which occurred in March 2018. He enquired about the Government's attitude towards the opt-out mechanism of school children who received the influenza vaccination.

88. Sr Med & Health Offr (Community Liaison) 1 of the DH responded as follows:

- (1) at the beginning of every year, the World Health Organization (WHO) would make recommendation on the virus composition of influenza vaccine for that year. The vaccination programme normally was not launched before the end of the school summer vacation because vaccine research and manufacturing took time;
- (2) receiving influenza vaccination prior to the start of an influenza surge by members of the public normally enabled them to retain immunity till the end of the influenza

- surge. However, the effectiveness of the vaccine would be reduced as time went by and the level of effectiveness also varied from one person to another;
- (3) as vaccine manufacture took time, the DH would make arrangement for provision of the 2018/19 influenza vaccination at the earliest possible before the influenza season next year;
  - (4) the DH considered that signing a written consent by parents before their school children received vaccination was a prudent practice because parents could decide whether their school children received the vaccination after obtaining the relevant information; and
  - (5) the DH would actively study the enhancement of the scheme and the improvement of the arrangement.
89. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:
- (1) he enquired whether the Pilot Programme would be extended to the kindergartens (the Vice Chairman);
  - (2) he enquired whether the virus composition of influenza vaccine during the influenza surge in the school summer vacation was the composition as announced by the WHO at the beginning of the year (the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael);
  - (3) Macao currently adopted the opt-out mechanism for provision of influenza vaccination for school children with a vaccination rate of over 70%. As a result, there was a lower mortality rate in Macao in the last influenza surge when compared to Hong Kong. Therefore, he hoped that the influenza vaccination rate of school children would be raised through the adoption of opt-out mechanism (the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael); and
  - (4) he enquired whether the Pilot Programme covered all primary schools in the territory (the Chairman).
90. Sr Med & Health Offr (Community Liaison) 1 of the DH responded as follows:
- (1) the DH would review the effectiveness of the Pilot Programme and enhance the Pilot Programme when necessary;
  - (2) as the Pilot Programme would be launched in primary schools, the DH had organised briefing sessions for the management of primary schools and private doctors and sent letters to the school supervisors/principals to collect their intention of participating in the Pilot Programme;
  - (3) other school children not participating in the Pilot Programme (including those of primary schools, kindergartens and child care centres) could obtain additional subsidies for influenza vaccination and medical waste treatment through the outreach influenza vaccination service under the Enhanced Vaccination Subsidy Scheme Outreach Vaccination. The DH would conduct review and enhancement based on the implementation situation;
  - (4) generally, the WHO would make recommendation on the virus composition of influenza vaccine at the beginning of a year. The influenza vaccine under research and manufacture thereafter would be used during the influenza surge in the coming year;
  - (5) the DH considered that it was prudent to obtain the parents' consent before the provision of influenza vaccination for school children. This practice was also

adopted under the “Hong Kong Childhood Immunisation Programme”. Opt-out mechanism might give rise to confusion too. The DH would continue to study how to enhance the arrangement for provision of vaccination; and

- (6) the DH had sent letters to the supervisors/principals of the primary schools to collect their intention of participating in the Pilot Programme and would report to Members when further information was available.

IV Item 3: Implementation of Further Extension of Opening Hours for Luen Yan Street RCP in Tsuen Wan

(EHAC Paper No. 1/18-19)

91. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD introduced the paper.
92. The EHAC agreed to the FEHD’s proposal on extension of opening hours for Luen Yan Street Refuse Collection Point.

V Item 4: Request for Strengthening the Law Enforcement on the Playing of Promotional Recordings Continuously and Loudly by the Shops

(EHAC Paper No. 2/18-19)

93. The Chairman said that CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF and SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD would give response to Members’ enquiries on behalf of the HKPF and EPD respectively.
94. Mr CHENG Chit-pun and the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael introduced the paper.
95. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded as follows:
  - (1) the HKPF noted the noise nuisance caused to the members of the public;
  - (2) under the existing Noise Control Ordinance, the HKPF was required to take prosecution actions against the suspected only when the members of the public who suffered from the noise nuisance were willing to become witnesses and testify in courts;
  - (3) the HKPF attempted to take law enforcement actions six to nine months ago, but it was rejected by the court. After seeking advice from the Department of Justice (DOJ) on the collection of evidence, it was learnt that when prosecution was to be taken against someone for making noises that caused nuisance to any person, the definition of “any person” did not include police officers. In this connection, police officers could only advise the shop operators under the Noise Control Ordinance;
  - (4) upon receipt of complaints, the HKPF would first contact the complainants, conduct investigation and identify the source of the noise. As currently promotional recordings were played by loudspeakers of many shops in Ho Pui Street and Yeung Uk Road, it would be difficult for the HKPF to identify the source of the noise;
  - (5) the HKPF could apply to the court for issue of summons for prosecution only after it had confirmed that the source of the noise had caused nuisance to the daily life of the complainant. However, the HKPF could only advise the shop operator concerned to reduce the noise nuisance if the complainant refused to become a witness and testify in courts;

- (6) when the EPD took prosecution actions, the HKPF conducted joint operations with the FEHD for law enforcement against various problems such as shop front extensions, with a view to enhancing the deterrent effect; and
- (7) he hoped that the EHAC would understand the difficulties encountered by the HKPF in addressing the noise nuisance.

96. SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD responded as follows:

- (1) the EPD exercised the regulatory control on the use of loudspeakers by shops according to the Noise Control Ordinance and had been conducting inspections for the noise caused by the use of the loudspeakers of shops in Tsuen Wan district;
- (2) in 2017, the EPD conducted 139 inspections and issued 24 written warnings. During January and March 2018, the EPD conducted 34 inspections and no written warning was issued. However, two prosecutions were successfully instituted; and
- (3) if the EPD found that loudspeaker was used by the shop during its direct inspections, the EPD would remind the person-in-charge of the shop to pay attention to the noise level in order to avoid affecting the residents nearby. If the noise had reached a considerable level, the EPD would issue a written warning, which would be delivered to the head office of the shop. If it was found that the noise generated by the shop had reached the level of nuisance again, the EPD would institute prosecution directly without giving further warning.

97. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he opined that the success on initiating prosecutions by the EPD on some chain stores for causing noise nuisance was an indicator of progress in tackling the problem of noise nuisance (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (2) he agreed that it was difficult to request the members of the public to be the witnesses of the noise nuisance (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (3) he hoped that the EPD would strengthen law enforcement actions to avoid exacerbation of the noise problem (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (4) according to some media reports, the noise generated from the shop was considered to be at a reasonable level when it could be heard at the doorsteps of the shop. However, if the noise could also be heard at the doorsteps of the shops nearby, the noise level was considered to be excessive. He thus enquired how to determine whether the noise generated from a shop was excessive (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (5) he enquired why the EPD conducted fewer inspections in 2018 (the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael);
- (6) he enquired whether the staff of an office of the district councillor could collect evidence by measuring the noise level outside the shop generating noises and become a witness as well as measuring the respective locations or distances in order to determine if the noise level exceeded the noise standard (the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael); and
- (7) he enquired whether the staff of an office of district councillor should report to the HKPF or EPD if there was noise nuisance.

98. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the decibel limit was not specified in the Noise Control Ordinance because the noise was classified as domestic noise instead of industrial noise. As police officers could not be witnesses and members of the public who lodged the complaints were unwilling to testify, it would be difficult to take prosecution actions. If the staff of the office of the district councillor was willing to testify, the Police could take prosecution action without measuring the noise level.

99. SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD responded that both the EPD and HKPF carried out law enforcement pursuant to the Noise Control Ordinance. The staff of the EPD had been the witnesses of the two prosecutions successfully initiated by the EPD. If necessary, the EPD could exchange views on the relevant enforcement arrangements with the HKPF. The EPD would continue to undergo the same procedures to take law enforcement actions. The criteria based on the level of annoyance instead of the actual decibel level was adopted under the Noise Control Ordinance. The EPD would assess whether the noise generated from a shop was too loud, persistent, repetitive and disturbing to the normal daily life from the perspective of a reasonable man.

100. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the EPD did not seek DOJ's instructions on evidence collection and the defendants did not defend in the two cases under prosecution. It had given rise to the exposure to the risk of missing procedures. The HKPF would comply with the DOJ's instructions to take enforcement actions.

101. The Chairman hoped that the Police and EPD would conduct the joint operations to step up the law enforcement.

VI Item 5: Request the Government Departments to Seriously Handle the Trespass and Unchecked Parking of Shared Bicycles in Tsuen Wan District and Immediately Put Forth Effective Improvement Proposals to Keep Up With the Objective of Harmonious Sharing of Shared Bicycles among Members of the Public for Maintaining the Public Safety and Clean Environment in Housing Estates  
(EHAC Paper No. 3/18-19)

102. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

103. The Acting Chairman said that the TD had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. Besides, CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF, Adm Asst/Lands of the DLO and CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the HKPF, DLO and FEHD respectively.

104. Mr CHOW Ping-tim introduced the paper.

105. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the HKPF would take prosecution actions against the offences including cycling on footpaths, etc. Before the shared bicycles were available, the number of offences related to the illegal use of bicycles in Tsuen Wan constituted only a small portion of the offences concerned in the New Territories South. The

HKPF would continue to keep in view of the situation and take prosecution actions against the illegal use of bicycles.

106. AA/Lands of the DLO said that the DLO would take land control action according to the resources available and priorities on the leased or allocated land which was not managed by the government department during the joint clearance operation coordinated by the Tsuen Wan District Office (TWDO) pursuant to section 28(6) of the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance. Statutory notices would be put on the bicycles that illegally occupied the government land to request the occupiers to cease the occupation of government land before the specified date. Otherwise, their bicycles would be removed by the DLO and confiscated by the Government as government property and would not be returned to the owners according to the laws and regulations. The DLO would request the Government Logistics Department to dispose of the bicycles through open auctions depending on the situations. In general, the FEHD would assist the DLO to deliver the bicycles to the storage site and the DLO would arrange for an auction of the bicycles within four months.

107. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD said that the FEHD provided manpower and transport resources during the joint clearance operations to assist the DLO to remove the illegally parked bicycles and transfer them to the designated places for storage.

108. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) the shared bicycles were massively parked in the relatively busy streets in Tsuen Wan town centre for the first time during the long Christmas holidays in 2017. It was alleviated and improved after the TWDO, DLO and FEHD had promptly taken the joint clearance operations (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
- (2) the bike-sharing operators always parked the shared bicycles in the district before the holidays. He hoped that the Government would regulate the operation of bike-sharing through legislation (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
- (3) as the time limit for the posting of the legal notices was not specified in the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Chapter 28), he enquired about the legal basis for the DLO to remove the illegally parked bicycles only after the legal notices had been posted for 24 hours and whether the time limit could be shortened (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
- (4) he opined that the joint operations were not as effective as expected because the bicycles could only be removed after the legal notice had been posted for 24 hours, and that the notices were only served to remind the residents nearby to remove the illegally parked bicycles prior to the joint operations (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
- (5) bike-sharing was a business and would cause great nuisance to the general public if the shared bicycles were massively parked at particular locations. He enquired how the DLO would solve the problem through the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Chapter 28) (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
- (6) it was not appropriate for the use of shared bicycles in the Tsuen Wan town centre and suggested that the DLO should set up a complaint mechanism for the members of the public to lodge complaints immediately when they encountered access obstruction caused by the shared bicycles (Mr LO Siu-kit);

- (7) he suggested that the DLO should download the mobile applications of the shared bicycles service in order to identify and remove the illegally parked bicycles (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (8) in his opinion, if the days before and after the posting of legal notices were excluded, it would take three days to remove an illegally parked bicycle. As such, the bike-sharing operators would be able to transfer their bicycles in advance and the effectiveness of the joint operations was undermined (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (9) the joint operations for clearance of the illegally parked bicycles were conducted bimonthly. He enquired how Members could report to the DLO when they found the illegally parked bicycles and suggested that a complaint mechanism should be established (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (10) as the shared bicycles were not maintained and inappropriate for the use in Tsuen Wan town centre, he hoped that the DLO would take follow-up actions for the problems of shared bicycles well before the accidents of shared bicycles happened in Tsuen Wan district (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (11) he considered that the existing regulatory control on shared bicycles was inadequate and it was not satisfactory that the bike-sharing operators only maintained liaison with the Development Bureau (DEVB) but never sought views from the District Council (DC) (Mr KOO Yeung-pong);
- (12) he was worried that the shared bicycles parked near the schools would probably topple and knock over the school children accidentally. He enquired how to ascertain the liability when accidents were caused by the shared bicycles (Mr KOO Yeung-pong);
- (13) he wished to learn more from the DEVB about the permitted number of parking spaces for shared bicycles in future (Mr KOO Yeung-pong);
- (14) he expressed regret that the TD did not send representatives to attend the EHAC meeting. The TD stated in its written reply that starting from January 2017, the government departments had been joining hands to implement a trial scheme at Sheung Shui MTR Station in North District to strengthen the combating of illegal bicycle parking. The illegally parked bicycles causing obstruction were removed without notice pursuant to sections 4A and 32(1) of the Summary Offences Ordinance (Chapter 228). He thus enquired which departments were responsible for the relevant law enforcement action and which departments were responsible for the coordination of the joint operations (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (15) TD also indicated in its reply that some operators had started to reduce the scale of operation and recall the shared bicycles since late 2017. Meanwhile, however, some operators would launch their shared bicycle business in the urban area. It showed that the DLO did not have a direction for the regulatory control on the shared bicycles. He opined that the TD should take up an important role in the regulatory control of the situation concerned (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (16) he opined that the DEVB did not consider the obstruction arising from bike-sharing when introducing the bike-sharing. He hoped that the TWDO would study the solutions to the problem from a higher level (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);

- (17) he thought that the promotion of shared bicycles was driven by good intention. However, the problems such as illegal bicycle parking, access obstruction and unlawful occupation of government land should be tackled through the regulatory control (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (18) he suggested that the joint operations against illegal bicycle parking should be carried out more frequently and the regulatory control on the operation of shared bicycles should be enhanced in the short run. He opined that reference could be drawn from foreign countries on the planning of parking areas of shared bicycles but various factors such as tendering exercise or designating areas for franchised operation should be considered (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (19) he opined that the long-term modus operandi should be considered when tackling the problem of illegal bicycle parking (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (20) he thought that the development of a “bicycle-friendly” environment and promotion of the “green commuting” should only be carried out in the rural area and the direction of the Government’s policy on bike-sharing was not suitable for Hong Kong which was a place of limited land and dense population (Mr CHAN Sung-ip);
- (21) some members of the public complained about the road obstruction caused by shared bicycles in Ting Kau district and the use of bicycles was inappropriate for urban area. Hence, he opposed to the roll-out of shared bicycles (Mr CHAN Sung-ip); and
- (22) some members of the public who agreed with the concepts of environmental protection and healthy life-style supported the bike-sharing. On the other hand, some members of the public opposed to the bike-sharing due to the factors such as street obstruction and dangers. At present, most of the shared bicycle users went cycling on the footpaths. She suggested that the publicity should be enhanced in order to make the general public understand that they should go cycling at the suitable places and park their shared bicycles at appropriate places (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis).

109. Assistant District Officer (Tsuen Wan) (ADO(TW)) of the TWDO responded as follows:

- (1) the TWDO had been paying attention to the problem of illegal bicycle parking and considered that the shared bicycles had made it even worse;
- (2) he opined that the illegal bicycle parking could be improved by short, interim and long-term measures;
- (3) the practice of removing the illegally parked bicycles after the legal notices had been posted on the illegally parked bicycles for 24 hours was formulated after the DOJ’s advice was sought. The TWDO notified the housing estates nearby prior to the bimonthly joint operations taken by the TWDO, DLO and FEHD for the purpose of enabling the residents to transfer the bicycles and solve the problem of illegal parking;
- (4) on the short-term measures, the TWDO would consider carrying out the joint operations with the DLO and FEHD more frequently. It could be implemented after the allocation of the resources;
- (5) on the interim measures, the TWDO had studied the details of taking enforcement actions pursuant to the Summary Offences Ordinance. The relevant enforcement actions were carried out by the TD and HKPF, and the departments concerned were

currently studying and reviewing whether the issue could be well addressed by the Summary Offences Ordinance. If it was considered to be feasible upon the review, the TWDO would invite the TD and HKPF to conduct a trial run in Tsuen Wan district; and

- (6) on the long-term measures, the TD was studying to coordinate the relevant policies and considering making amendments to the relevant ordinances. The TWDO would continue to convey the views of the EHAC and the general public to the TD and hoped that the TD would thoroughly solve the problem of illegal bicycle parking.

110. AA/Lands of the DLO responded as follows:

- (1) the practice of removing the illegally parked bicycles after the legal notices had been posted on the bicycles concerned for 24 hours was formulated after seeking advice from the DOJ. The DLO invoked the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance which targeted at the unlawful structures. A reasonable period of time should be given to the owners of the unlawful structures to carry out the required actions specified on the legal notice;
- (2) the valid specified period was from 12:00:00 a.m. after posting the legal notices to 11:59:59 p.m. at the following night. The public or statutory holiday immediately following the posting of the legal notice did not fall within the valid specified period, which would be automatically extended to the day immediately after that public or statutory holiday;
- (3) the DLO considered that the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance was not the most effective tool to solve the problem of illegal bicycle parking; and
- (4) the DLO would tie in with the TWDO to step up the efforts on law enforcement or increase the number of actions against illegal bicycle parking.

111. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the HKPF would take the law enforcement actions against the cyclists who cycled on the footpaths.

112. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he enquired when and under what circumstances the DLO consulted the DOJ and whether there was room to review the practice of removing the bicycles after the legal notices had been posted for 24 hours (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
- (2) he opined that the TD should take up an important role in the regulatory control of the shared bicycles. The loopholes in the existing legislation led to inadequate regulatory control (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (3) the operators of the bike-sharing service could concurrently collect a large amount of deposit from the users. By adopting the promotion strategies such as offering several free rides of bicycles for the existing users who introduced other people to register as the members of the bike-sharing service, the operators could collect even more deposit. Whether the users were using the bike-sharing service was no longer the major concern of the operators. Also, as the operators would not recall their bicycles, street obstruction was caused by the shared bicycles which were casually parked everywhere (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);

- (4) he suggested that the TD should establish a licensing system to regulate the operators of the bike-sharing service and collect deposit from them. When street obstruction was found due to the bicycles owned by the licensed operators, the TD would deduct their deposit or impose a punishment on the operators, so as to manage the operators and control the number of operators effectively (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (5) he hoped that the Police would provide the figure of prosecutions against cycling on footpaths. He opined that the law enforcement actions taken by the Police against cycling on the footpaths should achieve a deterrent effect (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (6) he suggested that the EHAC should issue a letter to request the TD to send representatives of the TD to attend the next EHAC meeting for provision of the information on the trial run of law enforcement in North district pursuant to the Summary Offences Ordinance (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (7) he was discontented with the DLO for removing the publicity materials of Members without posting the notices and seeking views from the DOJ. It was different from the normal practice (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (8) he opined that it would be more appropriate to issue the letter to the DEVB because the bike-sharing service was introduced by the DEVB (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (9) he requested the departments to jointly set up a hotline for the members of the public to lodge complaints and try to increase the frequency of the joint operations to once every two weeks in order to encourage the operators to take initiative in recalling the shared bicycles (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (10) it was inappropriate and dangerous for cycling in Tsuen Wan district that was crowded with people and vehicles (Mr LO Siu-kit); and
- (11) he opined that the designated parking areas and policy support were usually put in place for the cities such as Taiwan and Barcelona which successfully implemented the bike-sharing service. Tsuen Wan district was not suitable for the development of bike-sharing service. He enquired why the operators of the industry were not prosecuted (Mr TAM Hoi-pong).

113. AA/Lands of the DLO responded as follows:

- (1) the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance mainly targeted at the unlawful occupiers but not the operators. The DLO did not have the experience in prosecuting the operators;
- (2) the DLO would relay Members' views to the Headquarters of the Lands Department and would again seek legal advice on the review of the need to maintain the requirement of 24-hour notification; and
- (3) the FEHD removed the Members' publicity materials according to the Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance according to the DLO's notification.

114. ADO(TW) of the TWDO responded that the TWDO would study with the DLO on the increase in the frequency of the joint operations.

115. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the police's manpower was mainly deployed for the densely populated areas. The HKPF would remind the police officers to take prosecution actions against the cyclists who cycled on the footpaths and would provide

the relevant prosecution figures at the next EHAC meeting.

116. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD would spare no efforts in participating in the joint operations. The FEHD should identify the offenders of street obstruction before instituting prosecution pursuant to section 4A of the Summary Offences Ordinance.

117. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he suggested that the Police should pay attention to Tai Ho Road, Yeung Uk Road and Wing Shun Street where the cyclists frequently rode on the footpaths (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (2) he hoped that the departments would provide a complaint mechanism, so that Members could relay to the departments when members of the public were exposed to the danger and/or obstruction arising from illegal bicycle parking (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (3) he enquired about how to handle or which department was responsible for handling the illegal bicycle parking which posed the danger (Mr LO Siu-kit); and
- (4) he suggested that a letter should be issued to the DEVB requesting for a long-term solution (Mr CHAN Sung-ip).

118. ADO(TW) of the TWDO responded that the TWDO would discuss the extent of the operations with the departments concerned prior to the bimonthly joint operations. The extent of the operations would be determined subject to the blackspots of illegal bicycle parking and the locations under complaints received by the TWDO and DLO. Members who needed to lodge complaints on illegal bicycle parking could relay the situations to the TWDO and DLO and the locations concerned would be dealt with in priority during the next joint operation.

119. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that requests could be made to the Police to handle the illegal bicycle parking which was considered to have posed a potential danger and caused a serious obstruction.

120. The Acting Chairman said that the EHAC would write to the Commerce and Economic Development Bureau and Transport and Housing Bureau which had introduced the bike-sharing in order to express the aspiration for the formulation of the regulatory measures at the earliest possible and invite the TD to send representatives to attend the EHAC meetings.

121. The Chairman resumed the chair.

VII Item 6: The Refuse and Hygiene Problems in Chuen Lung Street and the Streets Nearby  
(EHAC Paper No. 4/18-19)

122. Mr TAM Hoi-pong introduced the paper.

123. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD attached great importance to the cleanliness of the street and would issue the FPNs against offences. In some evenings in early 2018, the FEHD had organised publicity and educational activities such as the

distribution of promotional leaflets in Chuen Lung Street, San Tsuen Street, Ho Pui Street and the vicinity of Yeung Uk Road Market in order to advise the shop operators not to dispose of refuse in the streets and the law enforcement actions were also taken subsequently. The FEHD had issued 19 FPNs at the above locations from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. in January 2018 and issued 14 FPNs during the irregular inspections conducted in March and April 2018. The FEHD would continue to step up the law enforcement actions during the time slots concerned. The FEHD emphasised that it was an offence unless a person had properly packed and disposed of the refuse in the refuse collection points of the FEHD.

124. Mr TAM Hoi-pong said that the fully filled black plastic waste bags were put outside the shops after closing. The effluent leaked from those plastic bags. He enquired about the proper way of refuse disposal in old residential buildings without refuse collection service.

125. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that it was an offence if a person disposed of the refuse in the streets. The proper method was to engage a contractor to dispose of the refuse or to pack the refuse properly by oneself before taking them to the refuse collection points of the FEHD.

VIII Item 7: Funds Allocation for the Environmental and Health Affairs Committee 2018/19  
(EHAC Paper No. 5/18-19)

126. The Secretary introduced the paper.

127. The EHAC approved the funds allocation for 2018/19 as follows:

<u>Project</u>	<u>Funding available (\$)</u>
(1) District Minor Works Funding (Minor Environmental Improvement Programme)	
(a) New Construction Projects	2,600,000.00
(b) Maintenance Projects	200,000.00
Sub-total:	<u>2,800,000.00</u>
(2) DC Funds (Community Involvement Project)*	
(a) Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community	68,000.00
(b) Monitoring Group on Public Health and Food Safety	224,000.00
(c) Task Force on Environmental Pollution and Improvement	147,000.00
(d) Site Visit Travelling Expenses	7,600.00
(e) Reserve	0.00
Sub-total:	<u>446,600.00</u>
<b>Total:</b>	<b><u>3,246,600.00</u></b>

\* The allocation included 5% deficit budget.

128. The Committee endorsed that the Secretariat was authorised to approve the applications for changes of the activity names, venues, dates and time on its own discretion in accordance with the approved funding applications without making amendments to the approved budget whilst the approved amount would remain unchanged.

IX Item 8: Maintenance Projects of Minor Environmental Improvement Programme in 2018/19

(EHAC Paper No. 6/18-19)

129. Senior Inspector of Works (SIOW) of the TWDO introduced the paper.

130. The EHAC approved the following maintenance project:

<u>Maintenance Project</u>	<u>Estimated Expenditure</u> (\$)
(1) Maintenance works of the distance post in Tsuen Wan (in the vicinity of the Catchwater along Shing Mun Reservoir) and maintenance works of the rain shelter covers at Wing Shun Street (near Lamp Post FA5238) and Allway Gardens (near Tsuen King Circuit Bus Terminus)	200,000.00

X Item 9: Work Report on Environmental Hygiene in Tsuen Wan District

(EHAC Paper No. 7/18-19)

131. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Mr CHU Tung-fai, Senior Health Inspector (SHI) of the Joint Office (JO)/FEHD;
- (2) Mr TSO Kwong-wing, Tommy, Professional Officer (1) (Professional Offr (1)) of the JO/Buildings Department (BD); and
- (3) Mr KAN Ka-man, Engineer/New Territories West (Customer Services) Inspection, Water Supplies Department.

132. The Chairman asked the representative of the JO to report the latest status of water seepage in buildings in the district.

133. Professional Offr (1) of the JO/BD reported as follows:

- (1) as at late March 2018, the JO received a total of 563 reports of water seepage in Tsuen Wan, among which 428 cases had been handled. Among the handled water seepage cases, 221 cases were identified to be uninvestigated, and investigation was completed for 207 cases. Among the investigated cases, the number of cases in which water seepage ceased during investigation was 65 cases, with 106 cases in which the source of water seepage was confirmed, and 36 cases in which the source of water seepage was not confirmed. The JO had initiated prosecution in four cases; and

- (2) during investigation, if the staff of the JO were denied entry into the premises for investigation, the JO would apply to the court for a “Warrant to Effect Entry into the Premises” in accordance with legislation to enter the premises for investigation and testing. The JO had issued a total of 7 “Notices of Intention to Apply for Warrant of Entry” but had not applied to the West Kowloon Magistrates’ Courts for revised entry warrants.

134. Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis enquired about the reasons for the decrease in the number of prosecutions although the number of water seepage reports increased every year. According to the data in 2017, more than 700 water seepage reports were pending and the JO could not complete the investigation of water seepage cases within five to six months. She wished to know the reasons for not handling the more than 700 water seepage reports. She also enquired about which stage of investigation was referred to in “the course of investigation” and why the number of issuance of “Notices of Intention to Apply for Warrant of Entry” was fewer.

135. Professional Offr (1) of the JO/BD responded that the water seepage reports were received at a latter time in 2017 by the JO and the several hundred cases in 2017 pending for investigation were still underway at present.

136. SHI of the JO/FEHD responded that the JO would issue the “Nuisance Notice” upon identification of the source of water seepage and require the occupants concerned to make repairs by the specified period. If the occupants complied with the requirement of making repairs within the specified period and the water seepage ceased, the JO would not institute prosecution. Therefore, the number of prosecutions was small. Besides, the JO would continue to contact the occupants concerned to arrange for testing after the issue of the “Notices of Intention to Apply for Warrant of Entry”. If the occupants were cooperative and the officers of the JO were allowed to enter the premises to conduct the test, it was unnecessary to apply to the court for the entry warrant.

137. CHI 1 (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD introduced the Work Report on Environmental Hygiene in Tsuen Wan District.

XI Item 10: Work Report on Environmental Pollution Control in Tsuen Wan District (February 2018 to March 2018)  
(EHAC Paper No. 8/17-18)

138. SEPO (Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD had taken the prosecution actions for 2 complaints on noise nuisance between February and March 2018 and the total amount of fines was \$12,000. During the same period, the EPD had completed the prosecution of 5 cases and the total amount of fines was \$31,000.

XII Item 11: Progress Report on Minor Environmental Improvement Programme and Maintenance Projects in 2018/19  
(EHAC Paper No. 9/18-19)

139. SIOW of the TWDO introduced the progress of various projects. He said that the consultation on the new project proposals under the Minor Environmental Improvement

Programme in 2018/19 was underway and anticipated that the tendering for the first batch of projects could be conducted in May 2018.

XIII Item 12: Work Progress Report of Working Groups under Environmental and Health Affairs Committee

(A) Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community

140. Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis reported that the Monitoring Group would convene a meeting as soon as possible to discuss the work plan for this year.

(B) Monitoring Group on Public Health and Food Safety

141. The Chairman reported that the Monitoring Group would convene a meeting as soon as possible to discuss the work plan for this year.

(C) Task Force on Environmental Pollution and Improvement

142. Mr LO Siu-kit reported that the Task Force had held its first meeting on 18 April 2018. It planned to propose to the FEHD the improvement works for the four rear lanes with more complaints received. A mural would be painted at one of these locations. The Task Force also planned to organise a Building Cleansing Campaign in Tsuen Wan district and would report to the EHAC after it had decided the list of co-organisers and completed the tendering exercise.

(D) Working Group on Waste Reduction and Recycling for Lunar New Year Fair in Tsuen Wan

143. Mr LO Siu-kit reported that the Working Group had held its fourth meeting on 19 April 2018 to review the measures of waste reduction and recycling for the Lunar New Year Fair in Tsuen Wan. The four measures of waste reduction and recycling adopted in the Lunar New Year Fair in Tsuen Wan were very effective. First of all, a total of 115 stalls participated in the Green Lunar New Year Fair. The participating stall operators did not proactively distribute the plastic bags to customers and appealed to the members of the public to take those from the spot of shared shopping bags. Some stall operators took the initiative to take the unsold goods and recyclables to the resources sharing zone. Besides, under the assistance of the Members of the Working Group, more than 2 000 shopping bags were collected for distribution in the Lunar New Year Fair in Tsuen Wan. This had effectively reduced the use of plastic bags. The volunteers of Green Sense were arranged to be stationed at the recycling bins in the Lunar New Year Market to promote the importance of clean recycling to the general public. As a result, the volume of refuse from the Lunar New Year Market reduced by 13.62 tonnes when compared with that in 2017 and the amount of various kinds of recyclables collected increased by 4 to 11 times. These were encouraging. In the early morning of the First Day of the Lunar New Year, Green Sense had altogether collected and sent more than 1 000 items to the non-profit-making organisations or for public collection. All items were distributed on the Seventh Day of the Lunar New Year. The Working Group considered the measures of waste reduction and recycling adopted in the Lunar New Year Fair in Tsuen Wan were satisfactory.

144. The Chairman thanked Mr LO Siu-kit and Green Sense for their efforts and hoped that the green groups would promote waste reduction for the Lunar New Year Fair in Tsuen Wan in 2019.

XIV Item 13: Any Other Business

(A) Information Paper

145. Members noted the contents of the following information papers:

- (1) Financial Statement of Environmental and Health Affairs Committee Funds 2017-18  
(EHAC Paper No. 10/18-19);
- (2) Financial Statement of Minor Environmental Improvement Programme and Maintenance Projects 2017-18  
(EHAC Paper No. 11/18-19);
- (3) Odour Prediction Assessment at the Riviera Gardens and Tsuen Wan Slaughter House (February 2018 to March 2018)  
(EHAC Paper No. 12/18-19, submitted by the EPD);
- (4) Work Report on the Problem of Sea Water Pollution of the Rambler Channel, Tsuen Wan (February 2018 to March 2018)  
(EHAC Paper No. 13/18-19, submitted by the EPD);
- (5) Noise Monitoring Result of MTR Tsuen Wan Depot (February 2018 to March 2018)  
(EHAC Paper No. 14/18-19, submitted by the EPD);
- (6) Tsuen Wan District Anti-mosquito Campaign 2018 (Phase 2)  
(EHAC Paper No. 15/18-19, submitted by the EPD); and
- (7) FEHD Tsuen Wan District Action Plan 2018-19  
(EHAC Paper No. 16/18-19, submitted by the EPD).

XV Adjournment of Meeting

146. The Chairman reminded Members that the next meeting was scheduled at 2:30 p.m. on 5 July 2018 (Thursday) and the deadline for submission of paper was 19 June 2018.

147. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:22 p.m.

Tsuen Wan District Council Secretariat  
26 June 2018