

(Translation)

Minutes of the 17th Meeting of
Environmental and Health Affairs Committee (3/18-19)

Date: 6 September 2018 (Thursday)

Time: 2:30 p.m.

Venue: Main Conference Room, Tsuen Wan District Office

Present:

Members

Mr CHOW Ping-tim (Chairman)

Mr CHENG Chit-pun (Vice Chairman)

Mr MAN Yu-ming, MH

Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris

Mr LI Hung-por

Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis

Ms LAM, Lam Nixie

Mr LAM Faat-kang, MH

Mr CHAN Chun-chung, Jones

Mr CHAN Sung-ip, MH

Mr WONG Ka-wa

Mr WONG Wai-kit, MH

Mr KOT Siu-yuen

Mr CHUNG Wai-ping, SBS, MH

Mr LO Siu-kit, MH

Mr TAM Hoi-pong

Co-opted Members

Mr LAM Kwok-on

Mr LAM Fook-chuen

Mr CHUNG Ho-yin

Government Representatives

Mr CHOW Chun-hun, Gary

Assistant District Officer (Tsuen Wan), Tsuen Wan District Office

Ms KHATTAK Nasreen

District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Tsuen Wan), Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

Mr AU YEUNG Wai-ming, Arthur

Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan) 2, Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Ms TSANG Ka-man, Carmen

Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional West)2, Environmental Protection Department

Ms LO Sze-yan

Engineer/Tsuen Kwai 2, Drainage Services Department

Mr CHONG Kwok-wai

Senior District Engineer/General (3), Highways Department

Mr HO Wing-kuen, Tyler

Engineer/1 (West), Civil Engineering and Development Department

Mr WONG Shun-ping

Assistant Housing Manager/Shek Wai Kok 2, Housing Department

Mr KONG Tak-shing	Senior Land Executive/Control 2 (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing)
Mr YIM Wai-hung	Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing)
Mr YUNG Chi-wai	Senior Inspector of Works, Tsuen Wan District Office
Miss TAI Tsz-yan, Angie	Executive Officer (Development), Tsuen Wan District Office

Tsuen Wan District Council Secretariat Representatives

Miss LAM Siu-yung, Daisy	Senior Executive Officer (District Council), Tsuen Wan District Office
Mr LEE Nelson (Secretary)	Executive Officer (District Council)1, Tsuen Wan District Office

Attendance by Invitation:

For discussion of items 2A, 2J, 2K, 2L and 2N

Mr WONG Wan-chi, Ambrose	Chief Inspector of Police (Operations)1 (Tsuen Wan), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr NG Yu-tai	Neighbourhood Police Co-ordinator, Police Community Relations Office (Tsuen Wan District), Hong Kong Police Force

For discussion of item 2B

Mr NG Chi-hong, Caddy	Senior Assistant Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2), Marine Department
-----------------------	--

For discussion of item 2E

Mr TANG Kin-on	Engineer/Consultants Management 13, Drainage Services Department
Mr NG Ming-lau	Resident Engineer, Atkins China Limited, Consultant Engaged by the Drainage Services Department

For discussion of item 2G

Ms WONG Yeuk-yue	Acting Senior Operations Officer (Environmental Management), Civil Aviation Department
Miss LEE Yan-yin, Jenny	Acting Senior Operations Officer (Procedures Design), Civil Aviation Department
Mr Wing YEUNG	Deputy General Manager, Airfield, Airport Authority
Mr Peter LEE	General Manager, Environment, Third Runway, Airport Authority

For discussion of item 2N

Mr CHAU Wai	Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Assessment & Noise) ³ , Environmental Protection Department
Mr SHEK Man-pong	Acting Senior Engineer 2/Noise Mitigation, Highways Department
Mr WONG Chi-keung, Brian	Engineer/Monitoring and Projects, Transport Department

For discussion of item 3

Mr KWOK Chung-weng, Niki	Senior Administrative Officer (Waste Management Policy Division), Environmental Protection Department
--------------------------	---

For discussion of item 4

Miss LAU Pui-pui	Public Relations Manager (External Affairs), Mass Transit Railway Corporation Limited
Miss YIU Ting-ting	Manager (Environmental Engineering), Mass Transit Railway Corporation Limited

For discussion of item 7

Mr CHU Tung-fai	Senior Health Inspector, Joint Office/Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Mr TSO Kwong-wing, Tommy	Professional Officer (1), Joint Office/Buildings Department
Mr KAN Ka-man	Engineer/NTW (Customer Services) Inspection, Water Supplies Department

Absent:

Members

The Hon CHAN Han-pan, Ben, BBS, JP
The Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael, BBS, JP
Mr KOO Yeung-pong, MH

Co-opted Members

Ms CHOW Chin-tung
Ms YU Sui-wan

The Meeting

I Opening Remarks and Introduction

The Chairman welcomed the Members and representatives from the government departments to the 17th meeting of the Environmental and Health Affairs Committee (EHAC) and introduced Mr HO Wing-kuen, Tyler, Engineer/1 (West), Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) who attended this meeting on behalf of Mr LI Pui-sang.

2. The Chairman said that for Members' information, Mr KOO Yeung-pong and the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael were absent with apologies.

3. The Chairman reminded Members that according to Section 15(3) of the Tsuen Wan District Council Standing Orders (the "Standing Orders"), "in the course of a

meeting of the Council, all persons attending or observing the meeting at the place of the meeting shall switch off all devices which might emit sound and shall not use any telecommunications devices for conversation” in order to ensure the smooth progress of the meeting.

II Item 1: Confirmation of Minutes of the 16th Meeting held on 5.7.2018

4. The minutes of the previous meeting were unanimously confirmed by Members without amendment.

III Item 2: Matters Arising from the Minutes of the Previous Meeting

(A) Paragraphs 4 to 14 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Request Government Departments to Assist in Resolving the Matters Concerning Occupation of Public Area by Metals and Waste Paper Recycling Shops at Tak Wah Street

5. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

6. The Acting Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Mr WONG Wan-chi, Ambrose, Chief Inspector of Police (Operations 1) (Tsuen Wan) (CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan)) of the Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF);
- (2) Mr NG Yu-tai, Neighbourhood Police Co-ordinator (Tsuen Wan District) (Neighbourhood Police Co-ordinator (Tsuen Wan Dist)), Police Community Relations Office of the HKPF;
- (3) Ms KHATTAK Nasreen, District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Tsuen Wan) (Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan)) of the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD);
- (4) Mr YIM Wai-hung, Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing) (Admin Asst/Lands) of the District Lands Office (DLO); and
- (5) Mr KONG Tak-shing, Senior Land Executive/Control 2 (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing) (Sr Land Ex/Control 2) of the DLO.

7. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that nine joint operations were conducted from July to August 2018. During the operations, no obstruction of footpath was found but the cleansing was obstructed by unclaimed articles in three operations. These unclaimed articles were removed within four hours after the notices were put up. During the operation conducted on 23 August 2018, the HKPF requested the FEHD to remove three and six batches of obstacles placed respectively on the carriageways at Nos.10-20 Hau Tei Square and off Tsuen Wan Market on Chung On Street pursuant to section 32(1) of the Summary Offences Ordinance (Chapter 228). These obstacles were placed in the storage premises of the FEHD for impounded articles and would be destroyed after the HKPF had obtained a confiscation order from the court. The FEHD thanked the HKPF for assisting the FEHD in carrying out the joint operations.

8. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the HKPF had taken action against illegal parking by issuing 261 and 297 fixed penalty notices (“FPNs”) at the captioned location in July and August 2018 respectively.

9. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:
- (1) he requested the FEHD to indicate the date and time of the photos as submitted (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
 - (2) no obstacle was removed from Tak Wah Street during the joint operations. Although there was no obstacle as shown in the photos, he vaguely saw some articles causing obstruction on the street. The HKPF had undertaken to handle the obstacles on the carriageways but only illegally parking was mentioned in the HKPF’s report. The scope of prosecution was also not limited to the area outside the recycling shops. He hoped that the HKPF would focus on reporting on the figures of ticketing at the road section between the hospital traffic sign and the pedestrian crossing at the next EHAC meeting (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
 - (3) he enquired whether the white goods vehicle in the three photos was the same vehicle (Mr LO Siu-kit); and
 - (4) he enquired whether section 32(1) of the Summary Offences Ordinance (Chapter 228) was only enforced on 23 August 2018 (the Acting Chairman).

10. Dist Env Hygiene Supt (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that she supposed that the goods vehicle was parked at the same location every day. The FEHD would mark the date and time taken on the photos and take the photos from different angles and distances.

11. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the statistics on the law enforcement conducted by the HKPF during the joint operations had been incorporated in the report of the FEHD. The HKPF would step up the prosecution action against illegal parking in Tak Wah Street but the number of ticketing of individual road sections could not be provided. When the staff of the FEHD considered that the obstacles were not refuse and were unclaimed articles, they would request the HKPF for carrying out investigations. If the obstacles were confirmed as refuse, the FEHD would remove them without enforcing section 32(1) of the Summary Offences Ordinance (Chapter 228).

(Note: Mr LI Hung-por joined the meeting at 2:46 p.m.)

12. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:
- (1) he was discontented with the HKPF’s reply that more detailed information could not be provided (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
 - (2) as the colours of the cardboard boxes and objects at the roadside in the three sets of photos were identical, he thought that these three sets of photos were shot on the same day. If they were taken on different dates, it reflected that the joint operations were not effective and he suggested that the operations should be cancelled (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);

- (3) the obstruction of the footpath was improved but the obstruction of the carriageway was not much improved. If the HKPF was not determined to resolve the problem of street obstruction on the carriageway, he proposed to cease following up the captioned item and relay in writing that the captioned item was not followed up by the departments concerned (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (4) the increase in the number of ticketing by the HKPF showed that the Police had actively handled the problem and the situation was slightly improved. He hoped that the EHAC would continue to follow up the captioned item (Mr LO Siu-kit); and
- (5) all the three sets of photos showed that the obstacles on the carriageway were not cleared. He thought that the increase in the number of FPNs issued did not reflect that the situation was improved (Mr CHOW Ping-tim).

13. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that even if the HKPF ticketed the illegal parked vehicles, the vehicles involved would not be towed away in the absence of the motorists concerned.

14. Mr LO Siu-kit opined that it was necessary to give the departments time and space to explore and adopt different follow-up proposals subject to the restrictions arising from the existing legislation.

15. The Acting Chairman said that although the Member who submitted the captioned item proposed to cease its discussion under matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting, the district councillor of the constituency area concerned opined that the captioned item should be further followed up. Therefore, the EHAC would continue to discuss the captioned item under matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting at the next meeting. He hoped that the departments concerned would make good progress in tackling the problem by then.

16. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(B) Paragraphs 20 to 26 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Strongly Request the Marine Department and Environmental Protection Department to Stop the Contractors of the Gin Drinkers Bay Public Cargo Working Area from Making Noise Nuisance whilst Operation in order to Safeguard a Quiet Living Environment in the Waterfront Area of Tsuen Wan

17. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Ms TSANG Ka-man, Carmen, Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional West)2 (Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2) of the Environmental Protection Department (EPD); and
- (2) Mr NG Chi-hong, Caddy, Senior Assistant Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2) (Sr Asst Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2)) of the Marine Department (MD).

18. Sr Asst Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2) of the MD reported that the MD carried out patrol as well as measurement of the noise index at berth RC27 in the Rambler Channel Public Cargo Working Area (the "PCWA") irregularly in July and August 2018. During the period, it did not detect any noise level exceeding the noise standards set out in the Noise Control Ordinance.

19. Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD continued to regularly assess the noise levels at the PCWA during operation. At around 11:00 a.m. on 26 July 2018 and at around 3:00 p.m. on 30 August 2018 at the Riviera Gardens, the noise levels measured did not exceed the noise standards set out in the Noise Control Ordinance.

20. The Chairman said that he received complaints lodged by the members of the public about the operation of scrap iron workshops at the PCWA closest to Riviera Gardens on Sunday. He hoped that the MD would strengthen the monitoring of the operation in the PCWA and remind the operators to fulfil their undertakings. He thought that the best solution was to relocate the PCWA. Before that, the operation at the PCWA should still be improved.

21. Sr Asst Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2) of the MD responded that the MD arranged attendants to monitor the unloading activities carried out at berth RC27 on Sunday as usual. The operators would only carry out unloading activities on Sunday. The unloading activities in the PCWA was scheduled from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Sunday, which lasted no longer than that on normal days from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. The MD found that the noise level during operation at the above berth did not exceed the noise standards.

22. The Chairman said that the members of the public lodged complaints mainly because of the abrupt noise generated from the unloading activities carried out in the PCWA, which could not be identified with the general standard of measurement used by the MD. Owing to the difference in the levels of the performance of the outsourced staff, he hoped that the MD would enhance the training for outsourced staff or deploy public officers to monitor the operation in the PCWA.

23. Sr Asst Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2) of the MD responded that the MD would study the deployment of additional manpower to monitor the operation at berth RC27 and Members' views would be relayed.

(C) Paragraphs 35 to 37 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Installation of IP Camera at the Black Spots of Refuse Disposal by the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

24. The Chairman asked Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD to report the latest status.

25. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the two IP cameras installed at the refuse collection points (RCPs) in Gemini Beaches and Lung Yue Road had commenced operation and the situation had been improved. The FEHD would

flexibly redeploy the IP cameras to other locations in the district according to the circumstances and actual needs. Moreover, the FEHD would install the remaining two IP cameras at the RCPs in Bayside Villas and Tung Po To Monastery in October 2018. It was expected these two IP cameras would commence operation in early October 2018.

26. Mr CHENG Chit-pun said that fly-tipping was not found after the IP cameras were installed at the RCPs in Gemini Beaches and Lung Yue Road. He enquired how long it would take for the FEHD to collect evidence and institute prosecution after identifying fly-tipping by motorists. He also hoped that the FEHD would install more IP cameras as soon as possible and the warning banners would be retained for achieving the deterrent effect even after the IP cameras previously in operation were removed.

27. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD said that it was necessary to verify the vehicle plates and particulars of the vehicle owners and the FEHD would provide the time required for undergoing the prosecution procedures after the meeting. The FEHD would continue to monitor the situation of fly-tipping at the above locations and had established a dedicated task force comprising plain-clothes officers to strengthen the law enforcement actions in the district. Members could directly liaise with the FEHD to report the black spots of fly-tipping and the FEHD would take follow-up actions.

28. The Chairman said he hoped that the FEHD would install more IP cameras as soon as possible. He held that forming a dedicated task force helped enhance the effectiveness of law enforcement. He also hoped that the FEHD would provide the information of the time and procedures for taking prosecution action after the meeting.

(Note: Mr WONG Ka-wa left the meeting at 3:20 p.m.)

(D) Paragraphs 46 to 48 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Request to Review afresh the Noise Problem at Tsuen Wan Road and Fix a Timetable for Provision of Noise Barriers at Tsuen Wan Road

29. The Chairman said that the Highways Department (HyD) and EPD had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. The HyD stated in its written reply that no enquiries were raised by Members at the previous meeting and the HyD had nothing to add. The EPD stated in its written reply that it had reported the information on the measurement of noise level at City Point to the EHAC and Members did not raise other enquiries.

(Note: Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis joined the meeting at 3:04 p.m.)

30. Members noted the written replies of the HyD and EPD.

31. The Chairman said that the EHAC would continue to discuss the captioned item under matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting. If Members did not raise further enquiries, he would consider ceasing the discussion on the captioned item under matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.

(E) Paragraphs 49 to 53 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Strongly Request the Drainage Services Department to Press Ahead the “Upgrading of Tsuen Wan Sewerage” and Advance the Period of Completion to mid 2019 for Commissioning of the Four Dry Weather Flow Interceptors As Far As Possible in order to Prevent the Residents from Suffering the Odour Nuisance of the Seawater Following the In-take of Various New Properties along the Coast at Tsuen Wan West Rail One after Another Starting from 2018

32. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

33. The Acting Chairman welcomed the representatives of the Drainage Services Department (DSD) who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Ms LO Sze-yan, Engineer/Tsuen Kwai 2 of the DSD;
- (2) Mr TANG Kin-on, Engineer/Consultants Management 13 of the DSD; and
- (3) Mr NG Ming-lau, Resident Engineer of the Atkins China Limited.

34. Resident Engineer of the Atkins China Limited reported that the works in Wo Tik Street, Hoi Pa Street and Market Street were in progress. It was expected that the works in Chung On Street would commence in September 2018 and would be completed before September 2019.

35. Mr CHOW Ping-tim enquired whether the DSD had received any complaints about noise nuisance or pollution and whether difficulties were encountered during the works.

36. Resident Engineer of the Atkins China Limited responded that the DSD did not receive any complaints about pollution. In view of the existing underground conduits, realignment for part of the works was required but the expected progress of the work was not affected.

37. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(F) Paragraphs 57 to 62 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Request to Enhance the Inspection for and Efforts in Combating the Disposal of Construction Waste Casually in Tsuen Wan District

38. The Chairman said that Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2 of the EPD and Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the EPD and FEHD respectively.

39. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the FEHD had issued 129 FPNs and nine summonses from July to August 2018, including one FPN and one summons issued against illegal dumping of construction waste.

40. Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD had conducted a total of 142 inspections to combat illegal dumping of construction waste, during which four FPNs were issued and four prosecutions were completed with a fine amounting to \$9,000 in total in June and July 2018.

(Note: Ms LAM, Lam Nixies joined the meeting at 3:15 p.m.)

41. The Chairman said he thought that the existing level of fine did not help achieve the deterrent effects. He appreciated the EPD's efforts and the situation was improved.

(G) Paragraphs 63 to 65 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: The Noise Nuisance Problem arising from the Flight Departure during Late Night

42. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Mr Wing YEUNG, Deputy General Manager, Airfield of the Airport Authority (AA);
- (2) Mr Peter LEE, General Manager, Environment, Third Runway of the AA;
- (3) Ms WONG Yeuk-yue, Acting Senior Operations Officer (Environmental Management) of the Civil Aviation Department (CAD); and
- (4) Miss LEE Yan-yin, Jenny, Acting Senior Operations Officer (Procedures Design) of the CAD.

43. Acting Senior Operations Officer (Environmental Management) of the CAD said that the CAD had always been concerned about matters related to aircraft noise and reported on the aircraft noise mitigation measures as follows:

- (1) the CAD had implemented the Radius-to-Fix (RF) turn flight procedures since 2012 to allow aircraft equipped with satellite-based navigation technology to adhere closely to the nominal centre line of the flight track when departing to the northeast of the Hong Kong International Airport (HKIA) and making south turn to the West Lamma Channel. This kept the aircraft at a distance away from areas located in the vicinity of the flight paths, and reduced the impact of aircraft noise on the residential areas. In addition, with effect from 2014, the CAD no longer allowed aircraft which were marginally compliant with the noise standards stipulated in Chapter 3 (the "Chapter 3 noise standards") of the Convention on International Civil Aviation (CICA) to land and take off in Hong Kong;
- (2) taking the aircraft noise monitoring terminal (NMT) at Ma Wan as an example, upon implementation of the aforesaid noise mitigation measures, the number of noise events of high decibel level (80 decibels (dB) or above) during the night period in 2017 had significantly reduced by about 80% as compared with 2012, and those of 70 dB or above during the night period had also reduced by about 33% during the same period. It showed that the noise mitigation measures were considerably effective;
- (3) the CAD had all along been submitting the aircraft noise data recorded at six fixed NMTs in Tsuen Wan district and Kwai Tsing district to the Tsuen Wan District Council (TWDC) on a regular basis. The frequency of submitting the aircraft noise data was changed from once every three months to once a month since July 2018. The aircraft noise data recorded at the fixed NMTs in Tsuen Wan district and Kwai Tsing district had decreased in the last 12 months when compared with the same period of the previous 12 months;

- (4) the CAD would implement further aircraft noise mitigation measures. The HKIA had imposed certain restrictions on the operation of aircrafts. Since 2002, the CAD did not permit aircrafts not complying with the Chapter 3 noise standards to operate in the HKIA. Since 2014, aircrafts which were marginally compliant with the Chapter 3 noise standards were not permitted to operate in Hong Kong, so as to progressively phase out the operation of old model and noisier aircrafts in Hong Kong. The CAD had been maintaining communication with various airlines to encourage gradual fleet modernisation or adaptation of newer-model aircrafts to operate in Hong Kong; and
- (5) the CAD was conducting a detailed planning to cease accepting aircrafts which did not comply with the noise standards in Chapter 4 of the CICA (the “Chapter 4 noise standards”), or equivalent, to operate at the HKIA from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. on the following day starting from the summer of 2019. Generally speaking, the noise level of aircrafts which complied with the Chapter 4 noise standards, or equivalent, would be lower than that of aircrafts which were marginally compliant with the Chapter 3 noise standards. The CAD anticipated that the impact of aircraft noise on local communities could be further alleviated after implementation of the measures.

(Note: Mr WONG Wai-kit joined the meeting at 3:20 p.m.)

44. Deputy General Manager of the AA reported as follows:

- (1) through the implementation of a “Noise Quota Count Pilot Scheme” (the “Pilot Scheme”) for setting an annual aircraft noise quota count (QC) limit for each airline, the airlines were encouraged to use quieter aircrafts, so as to ensure that the total noise level of night-time flights at the HKIA would be maintained at the total noise level of the existing two-runway system;
- (2) the Pilot Scheme was launched in the spring/summer flight season in 2017 to formulate the annual aircraft noise QC limit and restrict the total noise level produced by the flights operating from 10:00 p.m. to 6:59 a.m.;
- (3) QC was the abbreviation of noise quota count. The noisier aircrafts were represented by bigger QC value and quieter aircrafts were represented by smaller QC value. Newer-model aircrafts and smaller aircrafts would produce a lower level of noise;
- (4) the noise level produced by aircrafts would be higher when taking off than that when landing. The newer-model aircrafts such as Boeing 787 and Airbus A320 would be allocated a QC value of 0.5 when taking off and 0.25 when landing. On the other hand, the Airbus A380 would be allocated a QC value of 2 when taking off and 0.5 when landing;
- (5) the Pilot Scheme successfully maintained the overall noise level of night flights and encouraged the airlines to use quieter and newer models of aircrafts including Boeing 787 and Airbus A320 whereas the number of aircrafts with high QC value was gradually declining for night flights;
- (6) the AA had implemented the new measure of the Pilot Scheme since the spring/summer flight season in 2018. Only the aircrafts with QC value of 2 or below were permitted to operate the newly introduced night flights; and

- (7) currently, the AA was exploring the imposition of restriction on noisier aircrafts with QC value of 4 to operate at night and would continue to review the arrangements. The AA would keep in view the latest development of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), international aviation industry and HKIA for studying the feasibility of further aircraft noise mitigation measures.

45. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) only half of the aircrafts operating in Hong Kong adopted the RF turn flight procedures in recent years. He enquired how the CAD would provide more incentives to encourage the airlines to adopt the RF turn flight procedures, or whether it would adopt a quota system similar to that of the AA to encourage the airlines to adopt the RF turn flight procedures (Mr CHENG Chit-pun);
- (2) he opined that the Pilot Scheme could effectively promote the replacement by newer models of aircrafts among the airlines (Mr CHENG Chit-pun);
- (3) he reprimanded the AA and CAD for not attending the EHAC meetings for a number of times and for disrespecting the EHAC (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (4) he thought that although the number of noisy aircrafts was reduced, the total number of operating aircrafts had increased. He hoped that the CAD would provide the total number of aircrafts operating at late-night hours (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (5) he enquired about the flight altitude of the flight paths raised by the CAD for aircrafts adopting the RF turn flight procedures (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (6) as the fireworks display by the Hong Kong Disneyland was abolished, he hoped that the Air Intrusion Limitation Zone (AILZ) at the Hong Kong Disneyland would be cancelled, so that the aircrafts could make the turning earlier and reduce the impact of aircraft noise on the residents in Ma Wan (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (7) the residents reflected from time to time that the aircrafts flew over Sham Tseng and Tsing Lung Tau too frequently and caused nuisance to their living (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (8) he enquired the CAD about the data on aircrafts using the flight path via West Lamma Channel and how to encourage more aircrafts to use the flight path (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (9) in fact, there was an increase in the total number of aircrafts, the AA and CAD attached great importance to the noise problem and formulated the monitoring objectives and system for effective management (Mr LAM Faat-kang); and
- (10) he enquired about the penalties for aircrafts which deviated from the designated flight paths (Mr LAM Faat-kang).

46. Acting Senior Operations Officer (Environmental Management) of the CAD responded as follows:

- (1) when the RF turn flight procedures were implemented in 2012, there were fewer aircrafts that could adopt the flight procedures mainly due to the requirement for installation of the required navigation equipment on board, the relevant operational training for the flight crew members, and the

respective operation approval issued to the airline by the aviation authority of the place of registry of the aircrafts concerned;

- (2) the CAD had been following up the adoption of the RF turn flight procedures with the airlines and had taken the initiative to learn from certain civil aviation authorities about their procedures of granting operation approval. The utilisation rate of the RF flight procedures had subsequently increased, and more than 40% of the aircrafts departing to the northeast of the HKIA at night adopted the RF turn flight procedures at present;
- (3) the CAD had been closely following up the overall implementation of the flight procedures. According to the information, most of the newer models of aircrafts were equipped with the navigational equipment required for using the RF flight procedures. Followed by the fleet modernisation by the airlines, more suitably equipped aircrafts would be put into service. The CAD would continue to actively encourage the airlines to adopt the RF flight procedures and closely monitor the effectiveness of the RF flight procedures;
- (4) the operation figures of the aircrafts revealed a slight increase in the number of aircrafts operating at late night in recent years. The Pilot Scheme implemented by the AA aimed to encourage the airlines to replace the old aircraft models with the newer-model aircrafts for late night flights. There were signs that frequency of high dB level recorded by the NMTs of the CAD had decreased; and
- (5) the AILZ at Penny's Bay, which was created pursuant to the Air Navigation (Flight Prohibition) Order (Chapter 448E) (the "Order"), and the fireworks display of the Hong Kong Disneyland were not directly correlated. The existing flight departing procedures in Hong Kong was formulated according to the navigation standards and recommendations prescribed by the ICAO.

47. Acting Senior Operations Officer (Procedures Design) of the CAD responded that the arrival flight path via West Lamma Channel was designed in accordance with the standards promulgated by the ICAO. Due to the mountainous terrain in the vicinity of the HKIA, the aircrafts were required to be equipped with satellite navigation system that provided more advanced navigation and operated by flight crew members who met the required standard under the flight procedures. The airlines also needed to apply for approval to perform the flight procedures from the aviation authority of their country of registry and areas concerned. The CAD would actively encourage the airlines to adopt the relevant flight procedures particularly upon the completion of the third runway in future.

48. Deputy General Manager of the AA said that he had nothing to add.

49. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:
- (1) he enquired whether the earlier turning could not be made in the flight path was due to the AILZ at the Hong Kong Disneyland or the altitude of the vase-like structure (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
 - (2) he thought that the CAD did not strategically promote the flight path via West Lamma Channel. He also enquired about the difficulties for the arriving

- aircrafts to make turn earlier to the flight path via West Lamma Channel and departing aircrafts to use the RF turn flight procedures (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (3) he thought that the number of late night flights had increased by 20% and he hoped that the CAD would provide more data on this (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
 - (4) according to the website of the CAD, the reason of designating the AILZ pursuant to the Order was to prevent noise and visual nuisance caused to the Hong Kong Disneyland. The stated reason was inconsistent with the responses by the representatives of the CAD. She therefore requested the CAD to clarify the reasons for designating the AILZ (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
 - (5) the CAD indicated previously that after the completion of the third runway, most of the late night flights would make use of the flight path via West Lamma Channel. He enquired how the CAD would promote the installation of appropriate equipment on aircrafts to the airlines, so that the aircrafts concerned could use the flight path upon completion of the third runway (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris); and
 - (6) he hoped that the CAD would, as soon as possible, require the airlines to equip their aircrafts with the appropriate navigation equipment for using the flight path via West Lamma Channel (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris).

50. Acting Senior Operations Officer (Procedures Design) of the CAD responded as follows:

- (1) regarding the turning point of the flight path for departing aircrafts, the topography of Lantau Island had been taken into account for the designation of the protection area and destinations when the flight path was designed. Aircrafts taking off via the North and South Runway and turning to West Lamma Channel had to make turns at the prescribed destinations on the flight path to avoid terrains and it was not because of the AILZ;
- (2) besides, the aircrafts would generally adopt the instrument landing system for landing. The aircrafts using the flight path via West Lamma Channel for landing adopted the “RNP AR” arrival procedure of the satellite navigation system for landing, which required precise operation of the aircrafts to fly over complicated terrains. For this reason, the more stringent requirements were set for the aircraft performance and training of flight crew members, with a view to meeting the air safety and operational requirements. The airlines also needed to obtain the operation approval issued by the respective countries of registry and areas concerned for using the flight path concerned; and
- (3) the CAD would study how to promote more aircrafts to comply with the standard for using the arrival flight path via West Lamma Channel in a progressive manner.

51. Acting Senior Operations Officer (Environmental Management) of the CAD responded that the design of departure flight path and AILZ had nothing to do with the suspension of the fireworks display of the Hong Kong Disneyland. The aircrafts using the arrival flight path via West Lamma Channel involved the “RNP AR” flight procedures, which required higher navigation performance than that for the RF turn flight procedures. The civil aviation authorities of different regions made decision on

granting operation approval subject to the more stringent safety and operation requirements such as the aircraft performance meeting the standards and the performance of the flight crew members attaining the specified level. It was necessary for the airlines to allocate resources for enhancement of aircraft configuration or modernisation of their existing fleets. Therefore, it was more difficult to promote the adaptation of the “RNP AR” arrival flight procedures via West Lamma Channel to land on the HKIA at present. The CAD would continue to promote the relevant measures but the co-operation of the airlines was required. Moreover, the CAD could submit the data on late night flight after the meeting.

52. Mr TAM Hoi-pong opined that if the CAD moved the destination westward, the aircraft could make the turning earlier and reduce the aircraft noise.

53. The Chairman said that according to the CAD and AA, no representative was sent to attend the previous EHAC meetings because the new policy or direction had not been formulated. At present, the CAD was planning to refuse the aircrafts failing to comply with Chapter 4 noise standards, or equivalent, to operate starting from the summer of 2019. It showed that it took time to formulate and introduce a new policy. The CAD had also indicated that the AILZ at the Hong Kong Disneyland and the suspension of the fireworks display of the Hong Kong Disneyland were not directly correlated. The suspension of the fireworks display was just a temporary arrangement. If the fireworks display of the Hong Kong Disneyland was staged again upon the cancellation of the AILZ, it would be more dangerous for the aircrafts. Therefore, the CAD should carefully deliberate whether the AILZ should be cancelled. In his opinion, the CAD and AA had implemented measures in response to the Members’ concern over the aircraft noise. The increase in the number of flights was a booster for the economic growth of Hong Kong and was beneficial to the overall development of the territory. He also opined that the reduced frequency of aircraft noise events exceeding the standard by about 33% despite the growing number of flights was a remarkable result achieved by the measures on aircraft noise abatement adopted by the CAD and AA. Therefore, time and space should be given to the CAD to resolve the restrictions on the use of the flight path via West Lamma Channel imposed by the foreign civil aviation authorities and faced by the airlines. He commended the CAD for requiring the local airlines to take the lead in using the flight path via West Lamma Channel and hoped that the aircraft noise would be further improved upon the completion of the third runway. Due to the influence from the trade war between China and the United States as well as other factors, the global economy was hampered and airlines might not be able to afford the replacement with newer-model aircrafts. He understood that the residents in the vicinity were suffering from the nuisance caused by aircraft noise. He hoped that the CAD and AA would implement the aircraft noise mitigating measures as soon as possible, including the ban on aircrafts which did not comply with Chapter 4 noise standards or equivalent to operate in the territory. He also hoped that the CAD and AA would understand that their presence at the EHAC meeting facilitated a clearer discussion of the captioned item, so that Members could explain to the voters. He expected that the CAD and AA would send representatives to attend the EHAC meetings as far as possible.

54. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:
- (1) he hoped that the CAD and AA would take measures under their purview to reduce the impact of aircraft noise on residents as far as possible (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);
 - (2) he hoped that the CAD and AA would study the more effective aircraft noise mitigation measures prior to the completion of the third runway and strengthen the communication and publicity with the members of the community (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);
 - (3) he proposed that the captioned item should not be further discussed by the EHAC under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting, so that the departments concerned would have enough time for making preparation. When Members raised other agenda items involving aircraft noise in future, the representatives of the departments concerned could be well-prepared for attending the meetings (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping); and
 - (4) he agreed that the captioned item should not be further discussed by the EHAC under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting. However, he would put forth the agenda item when necessary and hoped that the CAD and AA would send representatives to attend the EHAC meeting by then (Mr TAM Hoi-pong).

55. The Chairman said that the captioned item would not be further discussed by the EHAC under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.

(H) Paragraphs 66 to 72 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Proposal on Introduction of Solar-powered Outdoor Trash Compactor

56. The Chairman said that Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan)² (Dep District Leisure Mgr (Tsuen Wan)²) of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) and Dist Env Hygiene Supt (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the LCSD and FEHD respectively.

57. Dist Env Hygiene Supt (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the installed solar-powered outdoor trash compactors were in smooth operation. The FEHD would arrange the clearance of the solar-powered outdoor trash compactors once or twice a day and thorough cleansing on a regular basis.

58. Dep District Leisure Mgr (Tsuen Wan) 2 of the LCSD reported that members of the public had gradually become more familiar with the operation of the solar-powered outdoor trash compactors. The LCSD was trying to reduce the number of litter bins in Tsuen Wan Park so as to encourage the higher utilisation of the solar-powered outdoor trash compactors. Sometimes, the LCSD found that the aperture of the solar-powered outdoor trash compactors was unable to close due to insufficient solar energy collected during a long period of cloudy days. The LCSD would continue to monitor the situation and explore the improvement proposals.

59. Mr CHENG Chit-pun hoped that the departments would consider placing the solar-powered outdoor trash compactors at the locations of high pedestrian flow such as Lo Tak Court in Tsuen Wan town centre with a view to reducing the number of litter

bins and the workload of the cleansing workers, as well as studying the production of the solar-powered outdoor trash compactors in Hong Kong instead of purchasing overseas.

(Note: Mr CHUNG Wai-ping left the meeting at 4:15 p.m.)

60. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD would relay Member's views to the Headquarters.

(I) Paragraphs 73 to 76 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Request the Government to Intervene in Following up on the Cases involving Dangerous Dead Trees at Private Places that Threatening the Safety of Passers-by in order to Safeguard the Lives and Safety of the General Public

61. The Chairman said that the representative of the department responsible for giving response was Admin Asst/Lands of the DLO.

62. Admin Asst/Lands of the DLO reported that staff of the DLO had conducted an on-site inspection in August 2018 and found that some of the dead trees had been removed. However, some of the suspected dead trees were not removed due to the unstable weather recently. The DLO had issued the letter of advice which requested for removal of the dead trees within September 2018 to the lot administrator.

63. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) the most dangerous dead trees were not removed and there were signs that the withered branches would fall (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis);
- (2) some members of the public had called 1823 to lodge complaints but no reply was given or no referral for follow-up by the DLO or LCSD was made. As the lot concerned was a private area, she thought that the DLO should be held responsible for the tree removal work (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis);
- (3) it was unacceptable that the DLO tolerated the owner of the lot concerned who did not remove the dangerous dead trees over one year. She questioned whether the DLO did not take any actions due to the political affiliation of the Owners' Corporation (OC) of the housing estate (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis);
- (4) she was discontented with the DLO for not setting a deadline for the removal of the dangerous dead trees in the first letter of advice and enquired how the DLO would deal with the owner of the lot concerned if the dead trees could not be removed within September 2018 (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis);
- (5) he hoped that the DLO would assist the private lot owner in trimming and conserving the trees that were prone to collapse within the lot concerned to safeguard the public safety. The residents would live in fear every day if the trees prone to collapse were not removed (Mr CHUNG Wai-ping);
- (6) she enquired about the deadline set by the DLO for the private lot owner to remove the dead trees (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
- (7) she hoped that the DLO would actively handle the dead trees in the private lot concerned to safeguard the life and properties of the general public and reduce conflicts (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie); and

- (8) he enquired how the DLO would act against the owner of the lot concerned if the dead trees were not removed within September 2018 (the Chairman).

64. Admin Asst/Lands of the DLO responded as follows:

- (1) the DLO had all along been liaising with the Members concerned and the management office of the housing estate, and had conducted the on-site inspections in June and August 2018 respectively. The DLO had provided the management office of the housing estate with the photos of the dead trees and lot index plan for follow-up;
- (2) there were no specific conditions about tree conservation and compulsory removal of dead trees on slope in the land lease of Allway Gardens which was enacted in the 1970s. Therefore, the breach of lease conditions was not found in this case;
- (3) the DLO could only advise the lot owner to make arrangement for removing the dead trees. The lot owner, however, should be held responsible for conducting a risk assessment for the trees within the lot concerned. If the lot owner failed to take appropriate measures and someone got injured due to the collapse of the dead trees, the lot owner might be subject to legal liability and undertake the risks on compensation; and
- (4) the DLO would continue to follow up the relevant matters with the lot administrator on the ground of public safety.

(Note: Mr KOT Siu-yuen left the meeting at 4:15 p.m.)

65. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he thought that the OC's interpretation of the responsibility on tree conservation contradicted that of the DLO. Supposing that the OC was unwilling to use the reserve of the housing estate to handle the dead trees that were beyond the responsibility of the housing estate, he hoped that the DLO would discuss with the OC whom should take up the responsibility and follow-up actions (Mr LI Hung-por);
- (2) she hoped that the DLO would take the initiative to communicate with the OC for clarifying their divided views on the ownership of the dead trees. The affected location should also be enclosed first so as to maintain the public safety (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
- (3) she opined that the DLO should take the appropriate action on the ground of protecting the general public and complete the clearance of the dead trees as soon as possible (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
- (4) she was discontented with the DLO for confining to issuing letters of advice without taking immediate actions for public safety (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
- (5) he was disappointed by the progress in tackling the problem. He enquired whether the DLO had taken any other follow-up measures apart from issuing letters of advice. To ensure the safety of the public, he suggested that the DLO should first remove the dead trees and then charge the owner of the lot concerned for the relevant expenses (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
- (6) the DLO had stated at the EHAC meeting in September 2017 that the DLO would issue a warning letter and take further action if the owner of the lot

concerned did not remove the dead trees after the lot administrator was served with the letter of advice. Therefore, she was dissatisfied with the reply given by the DLO (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis); and

- (7) he requested the DLO to clarify its role and its powers conferred by the existing legislation (the Chairman).

66. Admin Asst/Lands of the DLO responded as follows:

- (1) the DLO had liaised with the management office of the housing estate and jointly conducted an on-site inspection apart from the issue of letters of advice. The DLO had requested the management office to deal with the case as soon as possible;
- (2) the management office undertook to remove the dead trees as soon as possible and had removed some of the dead trees at the location concerned. The DLO would continue to liaise with the lot administrator;
- (3) it was inappropriate for the DLO to enter a private lot to remove the trees which were private properties. In case of emergency or imminent danger, the Fire Services Department and HKPF would take immediate action and remove the dead trees when necessary;
- (4) the vegetation contractors engaged by the DLO could not enter the private lot to remove the dead trees subject to the contracts; and
- (5) the lot administrator stated that arrangement would be made to remove the dead trees and the DLO had requested the lot administrator to carry out and complete the removal of the dead trees within the lot as soon as possible.

67. The Chairman said that the DLO was not empowered to enforce the removal of dead trees in a private lot under the existing legislation. He opined that the management office of the housing estate should be held responsible for removal of the dead trees to a great extent and should hire competent arborist to conduct tree risk assessment before the removal of the dead trees. He requested the DLO to explore the actions taken by the OC to resolve the problem. The EHAC would also send a letter of request to the OC for removal of the dangerous dead trees as soon as possible to ensure the pedestrian safety.

(J) Paragraphs 77 to 81 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Strongly Request the Relevant Government Departments to Make Concerted Effort to Handle and Solve the Noise Problem caused by Performing Songs at Park Island Pier in Tsuen Wan so as to Return a Quiet Living Environment to the Residents

68. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

69. The Acting Chairman said that the Transport Department (TD) had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. In addition, the representative of the department responsible for giving response was CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF.

70. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the HKPF received no complaints on noise nuisance in July and August 2018. During a patrol conducted in

August 2018, one FPN was issued against a male for playing musical instrument in the public area. Regarding the three summonses previously issued by the HKPF, the defendants had pledged guilty before the court and each of them was sentenced to a fine of \$500.

71. The Acting Chairman said that, as stated in the written reply of the TD, the staff of the TD found someone playing musical instruments at the location concerned in the evening on 6 August 2018 and had referred the case to the law enforcement departments for follow-up. He enquired whether the Police had followed up the case.

72. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the HKPF did not have the record concerned and needed to check with the TD as to whether the case had been referred to the EPD or the HKPF.

73. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he thought that less people played musical instruments in the public areas due to frequent rainy days recently. He hoped that the Police would continue to step up patrol and law enforcement (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (2) she was concerned about the figures of complaints which would increase upon resident intake in Pavilia Bay and hoped that the departments concerned would keep in view (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
- (3) he enquired whether the same standard of law enforcement was adopted for the areas inside the pier and the areas outside the pier that was managed by the LCSD (Mr TAM Hoi-pong); and
- (4) he enquired of the HKPF whether the person playing musical instrument in the public areas was ticketed for making excessively loud noise or for playing musical instruments within the areas of the pier (Mr TAM Hoi-pong).

74. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that the LCSD could manage its venues pursuant to the relevant subsidiary legislation. The HKPF brought a prosecution at the area of the pier through the Summary Offences Ordinance. It was an offence for any person who played musical instrument without permits. The HKPF was aware of the Members' concern over the problem of noise nuisance at the said site and had classified the site as a black spot for strengthened patrol. However, the HKPF might not be able to bring a prosecution against the use of amplifiers. The HKPF would continue to conduct law enforcement at the site, with a view to achieving greater deterrent effect for solving the problem.

75. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(K) Paragraphs 84 to 87 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Request for Strengthening the Law Enforcement on the Playing of Promotional Recordings Continuously and Loudly by the Shops

76. The Chairman said that the representatives of the departments responsible for giving response were CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) and Neighbourhood Police Co-ordinator(Tsuen Wan Dist) of the HKPF, as well as Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2 of the EPD.

77. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the HKPF would closely keep in view the situation and no law enforcement action was taken for the time being.

78. Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD had conducted 11 inspections in June and July 2018 and issued a total of three written warnings. At present, the date of the court hearing for two cases had yet to be fixed and one suspected case was under follow-up.

(Note: Mr LI Hung-por left the meeting at 4:31 p.m.)

(L) Paragraphs 88 to 102 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Request the Government Departments to Seriously Handle the Trespass and Unchecked Parking of Shared Bicycles in Tsuen Wan District and Immediately Put Forth Effective Improvement Proposals to Keep Up With the Objective of Harmonious Sharing of Shared Bicycles among Members of the Public for Maintaining the Public Safety and Clean Environment in Housing Estates

79. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

80. The Acting Chairman said that the TD had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. In addition, the representatives of the departments responsible for giving response were CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF, Admin Asst/Lands of the DLO and Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD.

81. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the HKPF had issued 1, 4, 19 and 17 FPNs against the offence of cycling on pavement from May to August 2018 respectively.

82. Admin Asst/Lands of the DLO reported that a total of more than 100 bicycles were removed during the several joint clearance operations on illegally parked bicycles led by the Tsuen Wan District Office (TWDO) in summer, of which about 70% were shared bicycles. The situation was improved at present. Upon the review on the manpower and resources, the departments concerned had decided to maintain the original frequency of joint clearance operation and would arrange additional joint clearance operations in future when necessary. If Members or the members of the public identified the black spots of illegal parking of bicycles, they might report to the departments responsible for the joint clearance operations.

83. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the FEHD had participated in four joint clearance operations during July and August 2018 and removed a total of 80 bicycles, of which 22 were shared bicycles.

84. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:
(1) he opined that it was inappropriate to put in place the bicycle-sharing in Tsuen Wan district and was pleased to note that the departments concerned had

taken action against illegal parking of bicycles. He hoped that Members would continue to follow up the problem until it was completely solved (Mr CHAN Sung-ip);

- (2) the Government had renamed the bicycle-sharing service to Automated Dockless Bicycle Rental Services (ADBRS) to denote the pure nature of commercial operation without the feature of sharing (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (3) according to the TD's Code of Practice for ADBRS, Tsuen Wan town centre was a bicycle parking prohibition zone. In this connection, there was an urgent need to resolve the problem of illegal parking of bicycles in Tsuen Wan town centre (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (4) he enquired whether the FEHD would take individual actions to clear the illegally parked bicycles (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (5) he enquired about the reasons for the discrepancy between the enforcement figures reported by the FEHD and DLO (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (6) a large amount of bicycles might be abandoned on-street because the operators of the ADBRS in Hong Kong and the peripheral regions went out of their business one after the other. She hoped that the departments concerned would put forth improvement proposals to resolve the problem in the long run (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
- (7) he thought that the Development Bureau (DEVB) did not put in place the monitoring mechanism and licensing measures when introducing the ADBRS to Hong Kong, thereby failing to exercise control over the expansion of the ADBRS at present (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
- (8) he often found that the bicycles of various ADBRS operators were abandoned in Wing Shun Street. As such, he opined that the departments concerned failed to conduct effective law enforcement and management at the district level and the additional joint clearance operations were found ineffective in combating the improper operation of the ADBRS operators (Mr CHOW Ping-tim); and
- (9) he proposed to invite the representatives of the policy bureaux concerned to attend the EHAC meeting (Mr CHOW Ping-tim).

85. The Acting Chairman enquired how the DLO handled the bicycles of the operators of the ADBRS who had closed the business down.

86. Admin Asst/Lands of the DLO responded that irrespective of the business status of the ADBRS operators, the DLO would remove all illegally parked bicycles after the warning notices were posted on them for a specified period. There was no contradiction between the figures provided because the additional joint clearance operations, which were launched starting from late June 2018, indicated that the data collection periods of the operations were different from that by the FEHD.

87. The Acting Chairman said that as the representatives of the departments concerned failed to give response to some of the Members' views, the EHAC would invite the representatives of the policy bureaux and departments concerned to attend the next EHAC meeting.

88. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(M) Paragraphs 106 to 111 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Incense Smoke from Tin Hau Kung, Luk Yeung Sun Chuen, Tsuen Wan

89. The Chairman said that Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2 of the EPD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the EPD.

90. Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD had met the person-in-charge of Tin Hau Kung, who said that the procurement of environmental-friendly joss sticks that produced less smoke was under consideration and the smoke extraction system and cleaning of incense burners would be enhanced to raise the efficiency of the incense burners. The components for the air pollution control device would also be replaced timely for further reduction of smoke. The person-in-charge of Tin Hau Kung agreed to arrange for burning incense at appropriate facilities outside the venue as far as practicable on the first day and the fifteenth day of the month of the Chinese calendar. The EPD would further liaise with the person-in-charge of Tin Hau Kung and arrange for an on-site inspection at Tin Hau Kung.

91. Mr LAM Faat-kang proposed that the agenda item should not be further discussed by the EHAC under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting. He also hoped that the EPD would regularly submit the data on air pollutant emitted by Tin Hau Kung for Members' reference.

92. The Chairman said in his opinion, the EHAC could continue to discuss the agenda item under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting for following up on the effectiveness of the improvement measures adopted by Tin Hau Kung.

(N) Paragraphs 112 to 118 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Construction of Noise Barriers at Tuen Mun Road Return of a Quiet Home Environment for Tsing Lung Tau and Sham Tseng

93. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Mr SHEK Man-pong, Acting Senior Engineer 2/Noise Mitigation (Ag Sr Engr 2/Noise Mitigation) of the HyD;
- (2) Mr CHAU Wai, Senior Environmental Protection Officer(Assessment & Noise)3 (Sr Env Protection Offr (Assessment & Noise)3) of the EPD; and
- (3) Mr WONG Chi-keung, Brian, Engineer/Monitoring and Projects, (Engr/Monitoring and Projects) of the TD.

Besides, CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the HKPF.

94. Ag Sr Engr2/Noise Mitigation of the HyD reported as follows:

- (1) in 2014, the HyD installed additional noise barriers along Tuen Mun Road under the project "Reconstruction and Improvement of Tuen Mun Road" and had effectively alleviated the impact of traffic noise on the residents of Hong Kong Garden. The overall traffic noise level at Hong Kong Garden Phase 2 had been reduced to below 70 dB;

- (2) the vegetation temporarily removed on the slope off Hong Kong Garden due to the construction works were replanted;
 - (3) according to the findings of study provided by the engineering consultant at that time, the sources of traffic noise at Phase 1 of Sea Crest Villa were the adjacent Tuen Mun Road and Sham Tseng Viaduct of Tuen Mun Road. Under the “Reconstruction and Improvement of Tuen Mun Road”, a 260-metre-long and 8.3-metre-high cantilever noise barrier was constructed on Tuen Mun Road off Sea Crest Villa. As Sham Tseng Viaduct of Tuen Mun Road was constructed over 30 years ago, the bridge structure could not withstand an additional noise barrier of the height more than two metres and thus a vertical noise barrier of 600 metres long and two metres high was constructed to minimise traffic noise as far as practicable; and
 - (4) as the traffic noise partly came from the adjacent Tuen Mun Road and partly came from Sham Tseng Viaduct of Tuen Mun Road, the overall effectiveness of noise mitigation achieved by replacing the cantilever noise barrier with a noise enclosure would be insignificant. The existing noise mitigation measures were already the most feasible and appropriate option.
95. Sr Env Protection Offr (Assessment & Noise)³ of the EPD reported as follows:
- (1) the EPD was the leading department for the traffic noise mitigation works on Tuen Mun Road;
 - (2) upon reconstruction of Tuen Mun Road, the noise level at Hong Kong Garden had met the requirement of the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines;
 - (3) the traffic noise at Phase 1 of Sea Crest Villa came from Tuen Mun Road and Sham Tseng Viaduct of Tuen Mun Road. The HyD had, under the “Reconstruction and Improvement of Tuen Mun Road”, constructed a cantilever noise barrier on Tuen Mun Road off Sea Crest Villa. As the bridge structure of Sham Tseng Viaduct of Tuen Mun Road could not support heavy noise barriers, only the vertical noise barrier could be provided to mitigate the traffic noise impact as far as practicable;
 - (4) the noise level at Phase 1 of Sea Crest Villa exceeded the statutory limits because of the traffic noise at Sham Tseng Viaduct of Tuen Mun Road, but no feasible noise mitigation proposals were available for the time being. The construction of cantilever noise barrier and vertical noise barrier on some sections of Tuen Mun Road were the most feasible and practicable solutions at present; and
 - (5) the EPD would continue to look into the adjustment of noise barrier design and the materials used as well as monitoring the road conditions so as to conduct road maintenance works as soon as possible.
96. Engr/Monitoring and Projects of the TD reported as follows:
- (1) the TD was responsible for inspecting the braking systems, lamps and structures of all vehicles for use in Hong Kong;

- (2) noise emission approvals issued by the EPD should be obtained for a vehicle newly registered in Hong Kong in accordance with the Noise Control (Motor Vehicles) Regulation (Chapter 400I, Laws of Hong Kong) before registration with the TD for use on road; and
- (3) during the annual inspection of a vehicle, the TD would check the exhaust system protruded excessively beyond the bodywork, air leakage and missing automobile parts of the vehicle, if any. A Certificate of Roadworthiness and a renewed vehicle licence would be issued when the vehicle had fully met the requirements.

97. The Chairman enquired whether the TD had formulated a policy requiring vehicles to meet the standard below the noise level for obtaining a Certificate of Roadworthiness.

98. Engr/Monitoring and Projects of the TD responded that all vehicles were required to obtain the relevant certificate issued by the EPD according to the Noise Control (Motor Vehicles) Regulation (Chapter 400I, Laws of Hong Kong) before they were registered with the TD for use on road.

99. Sr Env Protection Offr (Assessment & Noise)³ of the EPD added that all vehicles newly registered in Hong Kong should undergo inspection based on the noise standards of the European Union or Japan at present. The EPD would issue a noise emission approval to a vehicle meeting the relevant noise standards. As there was no automobile industry in Hong Kong, the noise standards of the European Union or Japan was adopted. The vehicle owners were required to make improvements of their vehicles which produced noise due to the improper modification or poor maintenance when detected in the operations and annual inspections by the Police and TD.

100. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that Traffic New Territories South had taken 10 and 20 law enforcement actions against speeding in June and July 2018 respectively.

101. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris were summarised as follows:

- (1) after the completion of the project, there were no significant changes in the noise level as shown in the measurement conducted by the HyD. Also, no significant improvement was made to a road section of approximately 100 metres long without noise barrier on Tuen Mun Road and the nearby road section. He enquired why no noise barriers were provided at the 100-metre-long road section to further reduce the traffic noise;
- (2) he understood that the traffic noise at Phase 1 of Sea Crest Villa came from Tuen Mun Road and Sham Tseng Viaduct of Tuen Mun Road. He thought that if noise enclosure or cantilever noise barrier was adopted at the road section off Sea Crest Villa, the traffic noise from either source could be reduced; and
- (3) as the situation of noise nuisance caused by “loud-noise vehicles” in Tsing Lung Tau had not been improved, he enquired whether the HKPF had instituted prosecution against the motorists driving “loud-noise vehicles”

during its operations. He also hoped that the EPD and HKPF would step up law enforcement actions against illegal modification or poor maintenance of vehicles to reduce traffic noise at source.

102. Ag Sr Engr 2/Noise Mitigation of the HyD responded as follows:

- (1) as the Tuen Mun Road to the east of Phase 2 of Hong Kong Garden was sheltered by the terrain nearby and was located a bit far away from Phase 2 of Hong Kong Garden, the existing noise barrier had provided adequate shelter from the noise nuisance for Hong Kong Garden;
- (2) according to the estimation made prior to the project and the data measured on-site upon completion of the project, the overall noise level of Hong Kong Garden had been reduced to below 70dB;
- (3) the existing cantilever noise barrier at Phase 1 of Sea Crest Villa, which was 260 metres long and 8.3 metres high, had been effective in mitigating noise; and
- (4) as some traffic noise originated from Sham Tseng Viaduct of Tuen Mun Road, the HyD and its consultant company considered that the construction of noise enclosures was not conducive to achieving the efficiency on noise mitigation. The existing noise mitigation measures were already the most feasible and practicable solutions for the problem.

103. Sr Env Protection Offr(Assessment & Noise)³ of the EPD responded that the EPD, HyD and its consultant company had considered various design proposals of noise barriers during the study stage of the “Reconstruction and Improvement of Tuen Mun Road”. It was also held that the installation of noise enclosure at the road section off Phase 1 of Sea Crest Villa was not conducive to achieving the desirable effectiveness on improvement of the traffic noise at the locations in question. The EPD and HyD would study whether there was still room for improvement in the design.

104. Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris said in his view, the design in the past was problematic and hoped that the HyD and EPD would study how to improve the current situation. He thought that the westward residential units of Sea Crest Villa would be benefitted from the provision of noise enclosure or cantilever noise barrier.

105. CIP(OPS1) (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the Traffic New Territories South would regularly conduct operations against speeding and illegal road racing. However, these operations aimed at combating speeding and illegal road racing activities but not the traffic noise. Therefore, the HKPF would arrange these intelligence-led operations by frequency instead of noise level. The HKPF would submit data on the relevant enforcement operations at the next meeting.

106. The Chairman said he hoped that the HyD and EPD would follow up the situation with Members after the meeting.

(O) Paragraphs 119 to 125 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Proposal on Introduction of Automated Cleaning Machines for Tsuen Wan District

107. The Chairman said that Assistant Housing Manager/Shek Wai Kok 2 (Asst Housing Mgr/SWK 2) of the Housing Department (HD), Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD and Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the HD, LCSD and FEHD respectively.

108. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD said that the FEHD had nothing to add with respect to the captioned item.

109. Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD said that the LCSD adopted an open attitude towards the proposal and would relay the proposal to the cleansing contractors.

110. Asst Housing Mgr/SWK 2 of the HD said that the HD would continue to keep in view the development of introducing the automated cleaning machines. If the Government enhanced the equipment in future by replacing some types of cleansing services with the use of machines, the HD would consider requesting the outsourced cleansing contractors to use automated cleaning machines according to the actual needs.

111. Mr CHENG Chit-pun said he hoped that the FEHD would invite Members to observe the operation of pressure water surface cleaners when they were introduced in late 2018.

112. The Chairman said that this agenda item would not be further discussed by the EHAC under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.

(P) Paragraphs 126 to 131 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2018: Saving Drinking Water – Fresh Water Mains Leakage in Tsuen Wan District

113. The Chairman said that the Water Supplies Department (WSD) had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

114. The Chairman said that the WSD stated in the written reply that it had nothing to add and therefore this agenda item would not be further discussed by the EHAC under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.

(Note : Ms LAM, Lam Nixie left the meeting at 5:25 p.m.)

IV Item 3: Issue about Recycling Flow of the "Regulated Electrical Equipment"
(EHAC Paper No. 33/18-19)

115. The Chairman said that Mr TAM Hoi-pong submitted the paper. The EPD had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. He welcomed Mr KWOK Chung-weng, Niki, Senior Administrative Officer (Waste Management Policy Division) (Sr AO(Waste Mgt Policy Div)) of the EPD who specially joined the meeting.

116. Mr TAM Hoi-pong introduced the paper.

117. Sr AO(Waste Mgt Policy Div) of the EPD responded as follows:

- (1) the EPD implemented the “Producer Responsibility Scheme on Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment” (WPRS) with the aim to facilitate the recycling and proper treatment of the abandoned regulated electrical equipment (REE) for achieving resources recovery and turning waste into resources;
- (2) the operation of the WPRS was generally smooth so far;
- (3) the public could request the seller to provide the statutory free removal service when purchasing the new REE under the WPRS through door-to-door collection of the same type of waste equipment for delivery to the licensed recycler for proper treatment. Members of the public could request the seller to arrange the delivery of the new item and the removal of the waste equipment on the same day without additional charge;
- (4) under statutory removal service, it was not necessary for the consumers to contact the recyclers for recycling arrangement. The sellers were responsible for making the relevant arrangements for consumers including making contact with the recyclers;
- (5) it was learnt that the sellers generally arranged delivery on a number of specified days within a week for the remote areas such as Ma Wan as mentioned in an enquiry from the Member. When the consumers purchased the new REE, they could request the sellers to make coordinated arrangements for delivery of the new product and removal of the used equipment on the same day;
- (6) ALBA Integrated Waste Solutions (Hong Kong) Limited (ALBA-IWS) was the commissioned operator of the Government’s waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) treatment and recycling facility. The ALBA-IWS was responsible for collecting and treating the REE for turning into resources;
- (7) according to the number of endorsement of the removal service plan, most of the REE sellers had commissioned the ALBA-IWS as the collection and recycling service provider under the statutory removal service plan;
- (8) the members of the public who needed the recovery service but did not purchase the new REE could make appointment for collection service with the ALBA-IWS through its hotline at 2676 8888. The staff of the ALBA-IWS would follow up the messages from the incoming calls outside the office hours on the answering machine.

118. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) despite a little confusion during the early stage of the implementation of the WPRS, the situation had been improved at present. He hoped that the EPD would step up publicity on delivery of collection service by ALBA-IWS for disposal of used electrical appliances, with a view to preventing the electrical appliances in bulk from being abandoned at the RCPs (Mr LO Siu-kit);

- (2) he enquired whether the EPD would allow the REE sellers to charge a specified fee for providing immediate collection service of WEEE for the members of the public (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (3) he enquired whether the general public could make delivery of the WEEE to the ALBA-IWS on their own (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (4) the existing standard of the EPD required that the collection should be done within three days upon the purchases of the new REE. However, the ALBA-IWS could only provide the collection service in about one week for the members of the public who disposed of WEEE without purchasing the new REE. It was not desirable and would create other property management problems such as the disposal of WEEE at fire escapes (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (5) he enquired whether the management office of a residential property was also required to obtain a licence or would be granted exemption when a licensing system for storage and transportation of WEEE was implemented by the EPD in future (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (6) he enquired whether the EPD could shorten the interval for collection service to the day of purchase of the new REE and whether administrative support of temporary storage of the WEEE could be provided for the housing estates (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (7) currently, the operation of the WPRS had been improved when compared with its operation in August 2018. Recently, WEEE were not found abandoned in the RCPs (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (8) he thought that the most ideal arrangement was that the sellers collected the WEEE when they delivered the new REE, so that the frequency of goods delivery could be reduced (Mr TAM Hoi-pong); and
- (9) he enquired whether it was stated in the applications submitted to the EPD for endorsement of RSP that the sellers were responsible for collection, storage and delivery of the used REE to the ALBA-IWS (Mr TAM Hoi-pong).

119. Sr AO(Waste Mgt Policy Div) of the EPD responded as follows:

- (1) according to the EPD's observation, the ALBA-IWS had met the requirement of providing the collection service for WEEE according to the customers' request on the third working day onward upon receipt of the appointment made by a REE seller on the transaction day of the REE concerned;
- (2) it was necessary for the REE sellers to apply to the EPD for the endorsement of the removal service plan according to the legislation. In about 3 000 applications, over 90% had commissioned the ALBA-IWS as the collection and recycling service provider of the statutory removal service plan. However, under the WPRS, sellers were allowed to use their fleets or other different logistics service providers for collecting and transporting the WEEE to the qualified WEEE treatment and recycling facility instead of engaging the Government's operators. The Government welcomed the trade and the qualified WEEE treatment and recycling facility to jointly explore the collaboration opportunities for further improvement of provision of service;
- (3) the free-of-charge statutory removal service should be provided, but it was not stipulated in the legislation that the sellers should provide other services

and charge for such services. The sellers were thus required to explain to the consumers clearly;

- (4) the EPD was aware that the illegal disposal of the REE existed before and after the implementation of the WPRS. However, it was expected that the general public had become more concerned about the illegal disposal of the REE after the implementation of the WPRS;
- (5) fly-tipping (including the REE) was an offence and the offenders were liable to prosecution. Under the WPRS, a more convenient way for proper disposal of the REE was provided for the general public and the EPD encouraged the general public to make good use of the scheme;
- (6) the FEHD would liaise with the EPD and ALBA-IWS for collection and proper treatment of the abandoned REE in the RCPs once detected;
- (7) the EPD had informed the property management agencies in writing that they could contact the ALBA-IWS for collection after a certain amount of the abandoned REE were collected;
- (8) the disposal (including storage) licensing control of WEEE with effect from late 2018 mainly aimed to improve the recycling performance of some recycling and dismantling operations of WEEE in the rural areas of the New Territories;
- (9) there were exemptions from obtaining waste disposal licence including the storage of a small quantity of WEEE or the storage of not more than 50 m³ in multi-storey buildings. It was believed that the exemptions could cater for the situation of the property management agencies; and
- (10) apart from using the door-to-door collection service, members of the public could also deliver the WEEE to the five Regional Collection Centres of the ALBA-IWS, Community Green Stations in operation and other voluntary agencies concerned.

120. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD tied in with the EPD's implementation of the WPRS by arranging storage of WEEE at six RCPs attended by attendants in Tsuen Wan district, including the RCPs at Sham Tseng, Hoi Hing Road, Mei Wan Street, Heung Che Street, Luen Yan Street and Market Street. After stocktaking, the FEHD would liaise with the operators engaged by the EPD to collect the WEEE in about one week. The FEHD had requested the operators engaged by the EPD to collect a total of 51 WEEE since 14 May 2018 till present.

121. The Chairman said in his opinion, the overall operation of the WPRS was smooth and hoped that the trades concerned could maintain their service targets.

V Item 4: Noise Generated from MTR Tsuen Wan Depot
(EHAC Paper No. 34/18-19)

122. The Chairman said that Mr LAM Faat-kang submitted the paper and welcomed the representatives of the Mass Transit Railway Corporation Limited (MTRCL) who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Miss LAU Pui-pui, Public Relations Manager (External Affairs); and
- (2) Miss YIU Ting-ting, Manager (Environmental Engineering).

Besides, the Transport and Housing Bureau had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal, and Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the EPD.

123. Mr LAM Faat-kang introduced the paper.

124. Public Relations Manager (External Affairs) of the MTRCL responded as follows:

- (1) the MTRCL would carry out regular inspection and maintenance of the tracks and trains to ensure normal operation;
- (2) the MTRCL would adopt various measures to reduce the friction between the tracks and train wheels, so as to mitigate the noise during the operation of trains at source;
- (3) the MTRCL would carry out regular maintenance and grinding of tracks as well as wheel grinding according to the conditions of the trains;
- (4) the air-conditioning system of the trains would be switched off when the trains entered the MTR Tsuen Wan Depot in order to avoid noise produced by the system;
- (5) to reduce the noise from friction between the tracks and wheels, the trains would be slowed according to the speed limit imposed when they entered the fan area of the MTR Tsuen Wan Depot;
- (6) the MTRCL would minimise the operation of the trains on winding tracks at late night as far as possible;
- (7) the MTRCL had installed 40 lubrication devices beside the tracks for lubricating the tracks and train wheels, with a view to reducing the high-frequency sound produced therefrom;
- (8) the MTRCL had invited Members to visit the MTR Tsuen Wan Depot and demonstrated the operation and effectiveness of the lubrication devices in 2015; and
- (9) the MTRCL would continue to keep in view the application and effectiveness of the lubrication devices to ensure the effective noise mitigation during the operation of the trains and compliance with the requirements of railway safety.

125. Manager(Environmental Engineering) of the MTRCL responded as follows:

- (1) the number of lubrication devices installed in the MTR Tsuen Wan Depot had reached the ceiling at present. The MTRCL should ensure that the measures adopted could mitigate the noise from train operation, whereas the railway safety requirement was complied with. If too many lubrication devices were installed, it could lead to excessive lubrication and risk skidding; and
- (2) various factors such as lubrication devices, tracks and wheels would contribute to the noise from the operation of the trains. The MTRCL would continue to monitor the operation of the above facilities and carry out maintenance when necessary in order to minimise the noise from train operation.

126. Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD responded that the EPD would regularly monitor the noise level at the MTR Tsuen Wan Depot. The EPD would

request the MTRCL to follow up the irregularities detected during the inspections, if any, as soon as possible.

127. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Mr LAM Faat-kang were summarised as follows:

- (1) although he understood that the MTRCL had endeavored to reduce noise from the operation of the trains, the residents were still affected by the noise nuisance from time to time;
- (2) he requested the MTRCL to continue to study and review whether the noise problem could be further improved. He hoped that the EPD would continue to monitor and report the data on noise nuisance to the EHAC; and
- (3) he recently received complaints lodged by the sleep-deprived residents nearby about the intermittent noise nuisance from the MTR Tsuen Wan Depot from 12:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m., which had lasted for almost one month. He requested the MTRCL to study whether there were any other sources of noise, apart from the trains.

128. Public Relations Manager (External Affairs) of the MTRCL said that the MTRCL would study as to whether there were other sources of noise and would provide the information on the operation of the lubrication devices after the meeting.

VI Item 5: Request the Home Affairs Bureau, Food and Health Bureau and Development Bureau to Allocate Resources to Address the Prevailing Unexpected Grave Situation of Dengue Fever by Immediately Reinforcing the Effectiveness of the Anti-mosquito Measures in Tsuen Wan District Taken by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department, Food and Environmental Hygiene Department and Lands Department to Actively Liaise with the Management Offices of Residential Properties to Make Concerted Efforts to Prevent the Virus Brought by the Mosquito Problem, With a View to Safeguarding the Public Health
(EHAC Paper No. 35/18-19)

129. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

130. The Acting Chairman said that the Food and Health Bureau (FHB), DEVB and LCSD had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. Besides, the representatives of the departments responsible for giving responses were Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD, Assistant District Officer (Tsuen Wan) (ADO(TW)) of the TWDO, Admin Asst/Lands of the DLO, Asst Housing Mgr/SWK 2 of the HD and Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD.

131. Mr CHOW Ping-tim introduced the paper.

132. Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD responded that the LCSD would continue to enhance the liaison with other departments apart from joining the inter-departmental meetings on anti-mosquito work. When the FEHD proposed to make improvements at the venues under the management of the LCSD, the LCSD

would cooperate and make improvements accordingly and would seek views from the FEHD when necessary. Besides, the LCSD had allocated an additional provision of \$400,000 together with an allocation of \$470,000 provided by the TWDC to step up the anti-mosquito measures at the venues of the LCSD for the current year.

133. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded as follows:

- (1) the FEHD would continue to collaborate with other departments and the members of the public to enhance the anti-mosquito measures and promote the measures for mosquito prevention. The FEHD would also maintain close collaboration with Members of the EHAC and the members of the community such as the village representatives, and would conduct inspections at the target areas for organising publicity campaign on pest control;
- (2) the FEHD would issue the alert notices to other departments to strengthen the mosquito prevention measures at the venues under their management according to the Area Ovitrap Index. The FEHD would request the departments concerned to keep in view and take corresponding actions as soon as possible when slopes or enclosed sites with stagnant water or mosquito breeding grounds were identified;
- (3) the FEHD was carrying out a territory-wide All-out Anti-mosquito Operation in view of the spread of dengue fever with an aim to step up the clearance of stagnant water and the elimination of mosquito breeding in public areas in the district;
- (4) the FEHD invited district councillors of the constituency areas and members of the community to carry out the anti-mosquito work in target areas of the district every day starting from 20 August 2018. On 23 August 2018, the FEHD briefed the residents of Shek Wai Kok Estate on mosquito-borne diseases and mosquito prevention;
- (5) the FEHD carried out mosquito preventive work with the funding allocated by the TWDO under the District-led Actions Scheme (DAS). For example, the FEHD briefed the staff of schools in the district on anti-mosquito know-how and provided them with technical advice in Princess Alexandra Community Centre on 31 August 2018;
- (6) the FHB had also provided an additional allocation of \$4 million for the FEHD for procurement of mosquito control equipment such as devices for anti-mosquito fogging operation as well as the enhanced publicity and education work and gifts;
- (7) the FEHD had set up four Pest Control Inspection Teams and one of them took charge of the New Territories West. These teams were responsible for the inspection work of the mosquito problem at the construction sites over the territory and would institute prosecution when mosquito infestation was identified;
- (8) in 2018, the FEHD had taken a total of four prosecution actions, including the construction sites of Ocean Pride and Parc City. The FEHD would step up the inspection work of the construction sites; and
- (9) the newly formed inter-departmental anti-mosquito working group would invite various departments or venue managers to give views to the FEHD and

work out the scope of the anti-mosquito measures at its monthly meetings. The FEHD had convened meetings of the inter-departmental anti-mosquito working group on 27 July 2018 and 30 August 2018.

134. ADO(TW) of the TWDO responded as follows:

- (1) the TWDO carried out the joint anti-mosquito and publicity work in the district with the FEHD and district councillors with the resources under the DAS;
- (2) when unsatisfactory hygiene conditions were identified, the TWDO would immediately arrange the cleaning work and give advice to the parties concerned;
- (3) the TWDO would put up anti-mosquito posters, distribute promotional leaflets and anti-mosquito cleaning kits at various locations in the district such as the Home Affairs Enquiry Centre, housing estates and villages. The anti-mosquito measures for rural areas such as clearance of drains, weeding and removal of stagnant water at pavilions were also strengthened;
- (4) the TWDO and FEHD had organised the anti-mosquito exhibition and seminar in Princess Alexandra Community Centre on 31 August 2018 and were pleased to note the support and attendance of the seminar by numerous schools in the district; and
- (5) the TWDO would continue to keep up-to-date with various departments and Members of the EHAC.

135. Asst Housing Mgr/SWK 2 of the HD responded that the HD had been in close collaboration with the FEHD to monitor the mosquito problem in the housing estates and their surrounding areas. The HD would continue to strengthen the anti-mosquito measures in the housing estates, including clearing stagnant water, applying mosquito larvicidal oil and conducting fogging operations according to the seven-day life cycle of mosquitoes to eliminate mosquito breeding. Trimming of vegetation and grass would also be conducted regularly to eliminate mosquito infestation at source. The HD would continue to monitor the mosquito problem in the housing estates and endeavour to take all mosquito control measures to safeguard the health of the residents.

136. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he commended the FEHD for its efficiency in carrying out the mosquito control measures and publicity in the district (Mr LAM Faat-kang);
- (2) he hoped that DLO and TWDO would step up vegetation trimming at the boundary of the rural areas under their management for prevention of mosquito infestation (Mr LAM Faat-kang);
- (3) he opined that the departments should tie in with the enhanced anti-mosquito measures (Mr MAN Yu-ming);
- (4) he thanked the staff of the FEHD for carrying out anti-mosquito work and publicity in Shek Wai Kok Estate and the HD for conducting mosquito control measures at the podiums of the housing estates and schools (Mr MAN Yu-ming);
- (5) he hoped that departments concerned would keep in view the relatively serious mosquito problem due to the dried leaves and weeds in a heap at the

- footbridge and subway connecting Shek To House and Tsuen Wan MTR Station and establish a mechanism to solve the problem in the long run (Mr MAN Yu-ming);
- (6) he hoped that the powers and responsibilities as well as purview of the departments concerned would be clarified and coordinated, with a view to enhancing the effectiveness of mosquito control measures (Mr LO Siu-kit);
 - (7) she commended the FEHD for its day-to-day efforts in carrying out mosquito control measures in the district (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis);
 - (8) she hoped that the FEHD would note that puddles were often found at the RCP of Block A, Allway Gardens. As the demolition of scaffolding at Sheeny Terrace was completed, she anticipated that the mosquito problem in the open area between the said site and Summit Terrace under the management of the DLO would become more serious in future. Some members of the public also complained about the serious mosquito infestation at the slope between Joyful Building and Tsuen Tak Gardens. She therefore requested the FEHD to strengthen the inspection work for the aforesaid locations and requested the departments concerned to carry out the follow-up work (Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis);
 - (9) he thought that the FEHD had achieved vigorous results in its mosquito preventive and control measures at mosquito infestation blackspots at the district level (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
 - (10) he hoped that the FEHD would urge the OCs and management offices of private housing estates to enhance mosquito control measures in the lots under their management (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
 - (11) he requested the various departments to strengthen the coordination of the clearance and anti-mosquito work at the enclosed government land. He also proposed that the DLO should allocate additional resources to carry out the anti-mosquito work on government land near the residential buildings (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
 - (12) he commended the departments concerned for the vigorous results in their measures on prevention of the spread of dengue fever in the district. The LCSD had also increased the frequency of anti-mosquito work at its venues near Riviera Gardens to once a week (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
 - (13) he requested the Education Bureau and HyD to keep in view the anti-mosquito measures for schools or areas under their management (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
 - (14) he enquired how the departments concerned would handle the mosquito problem at the construction site in Tsuen Wan Area 7 near Wing Shun Street managed by the Cheung Kong (Holdings) Limited, and requested the departments concerned to collaborate with each other to address the loophole. He suggested that the TWDO should intervene when necessary (Mr CHOW Ping-tim);
 - (15) he enquired whether the LCSD had invited the residents' organisations to jointly implement the anti-mosquito measures; if yes, he hoped that the LCSD would also invite all district organisations to participate in the anti-mosquito work (Mr CHOW Ping-tim); and

(16) he commended the FEHD for its recent efforts in conducting the daily anti-mosquito work in the district (the Acting Chairman).

137. Sr Land Ex/Control 2 of the DLO responded as follows:

- (1) the DLO had strengthened the anti-mosquito work such as trimming vegetation and applying mosquito larvicidal oil at the enclosed government land under its management in view of the outbreak of the dengue fever. The DLO would also prioritise the handling of complaints about the weeds in the areas where dengue fever cases were reported and complaint referrals from other departments were received;
- (2) the DLO had increased the frequency of inspection at the enclosed government land to once a week and requested the contractors to immediately inform the DLO to follow up any environmental problems identified, such as the overgrown weeds, stagnant water or accumulation of refuse; and
- (3) the DLO would regularly attend the meetings of the inter-departmental working group on anti-mosquito work and seminars convened by the FEHD and instruct the front-line officers to carry out the follow-up work.

138. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that apart from stepping up the inspections in private housing estates and areas managed by other departments, the FEHD would coordinate with all parties concerned such as the private housing estates to carry out the anti-mosquito work concurrently, in order to achieve the better results of mosquito control through a two-pronged approach. The FEHD would also study how to tackle the mosquito problem at the private land including the construction site next to Wing Shun Street.

139. Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)² of the LCSD responded that the LCSD would regularly carry out the anti-mosquito work at Tsuen Wan Riviera Park. The LCSD would not take initiative to invite the organisations to observe or assist in conducting the anti-mosquito work.

140. ADO(TW) of the TWDO responded that the TWDO would step up the anti-mosquito work in the areas under its management and had asked the cleansing contractors of community halls to step up the anti-mosquito work. The TWDO would also discuss the measures for the above locations with the FEHD.

141. Asst Housing Mgr/SWK 2 of the HD said that the HD had nothing to add.

142. The Acting Chairman said he hoped that the departments concerned would follow up the situation of individual locations with Members after the meeting.

VII Item 6: Proposal on Introduction of Reverse Vending Machine in Tsuen Wan District

(EHAC Paper No. 36/18-19)

143. The Chairman said that the EPD and Government Property Agency had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal. The representatives of the departments responsible for giving response were Dep

District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD, Asst Housing Mgr/SWK 2 of the HD and Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD.

144. Mr CHENG Chit-pun introduced the paper.

145. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD would tie in with the policies of the EPD.

146. Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD responded that all indoor venues managed by the LCSD were provided with recycling bins and the recycling rate was satisfactory. The LCSD would tie in with the policies of the EPD.

147. Asst Housing Mgr/SWK 2 of the HD responded that the outsourced cleansing contractors were responsible for the cleansing and recycling of waste in public housing estates. According to the contracts, the outsourced cleansing contractors were required to handle the domestic waste and recyclable materials separately, such as the recyclable materials collected from recycling bins. The contractors were required to record the quantity of the recycled items and submit work reports and supporting documents to the estate offices for verification on a monthly basis. The HD would also set up recycling stalls in public housing estates to encourage residents to participate in recycling of waste and enhance their awareness of environmental protection, say, through bartering. The HD would keep in view the development of introducing reverse vending machines and would consider the use of these machines according to the actual needs of the public housing estates when the relevant policies were implemented.

148. Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD responded that the EPD had stated in the previously submitted written reply that the EPD was conducting the feasibility study and the reverse vending machine was under consideration.

149. Mr CHENG Chit-pun said he supported the EPD to consider the introduction of a producer responsibility scheme (the "PRS") on plastic product containers and implementation of the pilot scheme of reverse vending machines. He hoped that the EPD would carry out the pilot scheme of reverse vending machines in Tsuen Wan.

150. The Chairman said that the EHAC supported the introduction of reverse vending machines. As stated in the written reply of the EPD, the Government would firstly implement a pilot scheme of recycling waste plastics. He agreed that the introduction of the PRS could effectively reduce waste and pollution at source and hoped that the Government would provide treatment facilities for waste plastics in large housing estates. However, the PRS was not implemented due to the lack of Government's subsidy at present. Although the scheme of plastics recycling had been launched in Tsuen Wan since 1996, no progress was made so far. He hoped that the Government would draw experience from other countries such as Germany to make legislative amendments so as to tie in with the implementation of the recycling policy as soon as possible.

VIII Item 7: Work Report on Environmental Hygiene in Tsuen Wan District
(EHAC Paper No. 37/18-19)

151. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Mr CHU Tung-fai, Senior Health Inspector (Sr Health Insp) of the Joint Office (JO)/FEHD;
- (2) Mr TSO Kwong-wing, Tommy, Professional Officer 1 (Professional Offr (1)) of the JO/BD; and
- (3) Mr KAN Ka-man, Engineer/New Territories West (Customer Services) Inspection of the WSD.

152. The Chairman asked the representatives of the JO to report the latest status of water seepage in buildings in the district.

153. Professional Offr (1) of the JO/BD reported as follows:

- (1) as at late July 2018, the JO received a total of 1 359 reports of water seepage in Tsuen Wan, among which 936 cases had been processed. Among the processed cases, 475 cases were screened out, and 461 cases were concluded. Among the concluded cases, the number of cases in which water seepage ceased during investigation was 123, the source of water seepage identified for 187 cases, and the source of water seepage was not identified for 151 cases. The JO had initiated prosecution for six cases; and
- (2) during investigation, if the staff of the JO were denied entry into the premises for investigation, the JO would apply to the court for a “Warrant to Effect Entry in Premises” in accordance with legislation to enter the premises for investigation and testing. The JO had issued a total of 21 “Notices of Intention to Apply for Warrant of Entry” but had not applied to the West Kowloon Magistrates’ Courts for “Warrants of Entry into Premises”.

154. Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis said that water seepage frequently occurred at the floor drain outlets of the water closets in the residential flats of Allway Gardens. She enquired how the JO would conduct the water seepage tests and whether more water seepage tests would be conducted if the colour tests could not be applied.

155. Sr Health Insp of the JO/FEHD responded that when the FEHD conducted an investigation on water seepage, the colour water was discharged through the floor drain outlets. If the drainage pipes were damaged, the colour water would leak to the ceiling of the flat of the lower floor. Then, the FEHD would collect samples for examination in order to identify the damaged pipes and request the household concerned to carry out repair works. If an external drainage pipe was damaged, the FEHD would refer the case to the BD for follow-up. The FEHD prepared the colour water by diluting dyes proportionally with a few litres of water for pouring and discharging into the outfall wash basins and water closets. Time was allowed for the leakage of the colour water and the test result was observed finally.

156. The Chairman said that in general, the source of seepage could be identified in only about 10% of the colour water tests. If the JO did not upgrade the testing

equipment, it would still be difficult to confirm the source of water seepage. He enquired whether the JO would conduct more colour water tests.

157. Sr Health Insp of the JO/FEHD responded that the FEHD would dilute the colour dyes proportionally. The colour water would remain in the drainage pipes for longer time after a large amount of colour water was poured into the pipes. The FEHD would also consider conducting more colour water tests.

158. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD introduced the Work Report on Environmental Hygiene in Tsuen Wan District.

IX Item 8: Work Report on Environmental Pollution Control in Tsuen Wan District (June 2018 to July 2018)
(EHAC Paper No. 38/18-19)

159. Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD introduced the Work Report on Environmental Pollution Control in Tsuen Wan District.

X Item 9: Progress Report on Minor Environmental Improvement Programme and Maintenance Projects in 2018/19
(EHAC Paper No. 39/18-19)

160. Senior Inspector of Works of the TWDO briefed on the progress of the projects and added that the 17 new construction projects progressed smoothly. Regarding the new construction project no. 9 (Construction of a Rain Shelter at Sha Tsui Road near Sheung Chui Court and Lamp Post FA1851 in Tsuen Wan), as the Works Section found a lot of underground pipelines at the location after investigation, he anticipated that the project could not be implemented. After negotiation with the project proponent, the it was proposed to cancel the project and launch the next project thereafter on the list.

161. The Chairman asked whether Members endorsed the proposal. The EHAC agreed to endorse the proposal.

XI Item 10: Application for District Council Funds for Activities Co-organised by Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community and Local Organisation
(EHAC Paper No. 40/18-19)

162. The Secretary introduced the paper and said that the Chairman, the Hon CHAN Han-pan, Ben, Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis, Mr MAN Yu-ming, Mr KOO Yeung-pong, Mr WONG Ka-wa, Mr WONG Wai-kit, Mr TAM Hoi-pong and Ms YU Sui-wan were the Members of the Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community, so as to indicate that they had made declaration of their membership.

163. As the Chairman had made declaration of interest, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

164. The Acting Chairman approved that the Members who were concurrently the Members of the Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community could remain in the meeting as observers but could not speak or vote on the matter

according to section 48(12) of the Standing Orders, and asked if any Members needed to make declaration of other interest immediately. Mr CHAN Chun-chung, Jones and Mr WONG Wai-kit made declaration of their Vice Chairmanship of the Tsuen Wan Kwai Tsing Residents' Association. Mr CHOW Ping-tim made declaration of his Chairmanship of the Residents Association of Tsuen Wan Hoi Bun District.

165. The Acting Chairman approved that the Members who made declaration of other interest could remain in the meeting as observers but the Members could not speak or vote on the matter.

166. The EHAC endorsed the funding applications as follows:

	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Applicant Organiser/ Co-organiser</u>	<u>Amount Approved (\$)</u>
(1)	Waste Reduction at Source Day Tour	Women's Association of Tsuen Wan Kwai Tsing District	18,000.00
(2)	"Dump Less, Save More, Recycle Right" Day Tour	Residents Association of Tsuen Wan Hoi Bun District	18,000.00
(3)	"Turning Waste into Energy" Green Tour	Tsuen Wan Kwai Tsing Residents' Association	18,000.00

XII Item 11: Application for Funds for Community Participation Initiative on Environmental Protection Projects Co-organised by Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community and Local Organisation
(EHAC Paper No. 41/18-19)

167. The Secretary introduced the paper.

168. As the Chairman had made declaration of interest, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

169. The Acting Chairman approved that the Members who were concurrently the Members of the Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community could remain in the meeting as observers but could not speak or vote on the matter according to section 48(12) of the Standing Orders, and asked if any Members needed to make declaration of other interest immediately. Mr LO Siu-kit made declaration of his membership of the Tsuen Wan Safe and Healthy Community Steering Committee. Mr WONG Wai-kit made declaration of his Chairmanship of the Tsuen Wan Youth Association. Mr CHAN Sung-ip made declaration of his Vice Chairmanship of the Tsuen Wan Safe and Healthy Community Steering Committee.

170. The Acting Chairman approved that the Members who made declaration of other interest could remain in the meeting as observers but the Members could not speak or vote on the matter.

171. The EHAC endorsed the funding applications as follows:

	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Applicant Organiser/ Co-organiser</u>	<u>Amount Approved (\$)</u>
(1)	Children Puppetry - A Green Pioneer	Tsuen Wan Culture & Recreation Co-ordinating Association Limited	100,000.00
(2)	“All Green Dishes” Community Promotional Activity	Tsuen Wan Safe and Healthy Community Steering Committee	38,000.00
(3)	Green Dream Workshop	Tsuen Wan Youth Association	62,000.00

XIII Item 12: Work Progress Report of Working Groups under Environmental and Health Affairs Committee

(A) Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community

172. Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis reported that the Monitoring Group had held its second and third meetings on 13 August 2018 and 23 August 2018 to discuss the activities and budgets in detail for the current year. The Waste Reduction at Source Day Tour co-organised by the Monitoring Group and the Women’s Association of Tsuen Wan Kwai Tsing District would be held on 3 November 2018, which aimed to facilitate better understanding of the residents in the district about the situation of refuse treatment in Hong Kong and the importance of waste reduction at source by studying the planning of landfills. Also, “Turning Waste into Energy” Green Tour co-organised by the Monitoring Group and the Tsuen Wan Kwai Tsing Residents’ Association would be held on 14 September 2018 and 23 January 2019, which aimed to facilitate better understanding of the residents of Tsuen Wan district about the existing sludge treatment plants in Hong Kong and enhance their awareness on “turning waste into energy” by visiting the T-PARK. The Green Dream Workshop co-organised by the Monitoring Group and the Tsuen Wan Youth Association would be held from October 2018 to December 2018, which aimed to cultivate the awareness of environmental protection among the youth in the district and enhance the alertness of environmental protection among the residents in the district through activities such as training of volunteers and exchange of used books. Other activities of the Monitoring Group would also be held by phase in due course.

(B) Monitoring Group on Public Health and Food Safety

173. The Chairman reported that the District Service Scheme cum Carnival under the “First Thing is Health 2018” co-organised by the Monitoring Group and the Residents Association of Tsuen Wan Hoi Bun District would be held on 24 November 2018, 1 December 2018 and 8 December 2018. Also, the Precaution against Virus Infection and Awareness of Food Safety cum Extravaganza in Tsuen Wan District would be held on 5 January 2018 to enhance Tsuen Wan residents’ concern over deadly viruses, awareness of healthy eating and joint efforts to build a healthy community through various activities promoting the theme of “Precaution against virus infection and Forming good eating habits”.

(C) Task Force on Environmental Pollution and Improvement

174. Mr LO Siu-kit reported that the Task Force would co-organise the “Buildings Cleaning Campaign in Tsuen Wan District” with the Women’s Association of Tsuen Wan Kwai Tsing District which would be held from 1 November to 27 November 2018. It was hoped that the living environment of the residents and hygienic condition in the district would be improved by carrying out cleansing work for the public places (including roof tops, yards and staircases) of about 20 private buildings in Tsuen Wan district as proposed by the FEHD. The Task Force would also co-organise the “Rear Lane Improvement Works in Tsuen Wan District” with the Jockey Club Riviera Gardens Lutheran Integrated Service Centre which would be held from November 2018 to December 2018. It was hoped that the importance of maintaining environmental hygiene would be instilled in the residents of Tsuen Wan district by conducting improvement works at the three rear lanes as proposed by the FEHD. The mural would be painted at one of the rear lanes.

XIV Item 13: Any Other Business

(A) Information Paper

175. Members noted the contents of the following information papers:

- (1) Financial Statement of the Funds Allocated to Environmental and Health Affairs Committee as at 22 August 2018 (EHAC Paper No. 42/18-19);
- (2) Odour Prediction Assessment at the Riviera Gardens and Tsuen Wan Slaughter House (June 2018 to July 2018) (EHAC Paper No. 43/18-19, submitted by the EPD);
- (3) Work Report on the Problem of Sea Water Pollution of the Rambler Channel, Tsuen Wan (June 2018 to July 2018) (EHAC Paper No. 44/18-19, submitted by the EPD);
- (4) Noise Monitoring Result of MTR Tsuen Wan Depot (June 2018 to July 2018) (EHAC Paper No. 45/18-19, submitted by the EPD);
- (5) Tsuen Wan District Anti-mosquito Campaign 2018 (Phase3) (EHAC Paper No. 46/18-19, submitted by the FEHD); and
- (6) Brief on the 2019 Lunar New Year Fair in Tsuen Wan District (EHAC Paper No. 47/18-19, submitted by the FEHD).

XV Adjournment of Meeting

176. The Chairman reminded Members that the next meeting was scheduled at 2:30 p.m. on 1 November 2018 (Thursday) and the deadline for submission of paper was 16 October 2018.

177. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:05 p.m.

Tsuen Wan District Council Secretariat

11 October 2018