

(Translation)

Minutes of the 22nd Meeting of
Environmental and Health Affairs Committee (2/2019)

Date: 4 July 2019 (Thursday)

Time: 2:30 p.m.

Venue: Main Conference Room, Tsuen Wan District Office

Present:

Members

Mr CHOW Ping-tim (Chairman)
Mr CHENG Chit-pun (Vice Chairman)
Mr MAN Yu-ming, MH
The Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael, BBS, JP
Mr KOO Yeung-pong, MH
Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris
Mr LI Hung-por
Mr YAU Kam-ping, BBS, MH
Ms LAM, Lam Nixie
Mr LAM Faat-kang, MH
The Hon CHAN Han-pan, Ben, BBS, JP
Mr CHAN Chun-chung, Jones
Mr CHAN Sung-ip, MH
Mr WONG Ka-wa
Mr WONG Wai-kit, MH
Mr KOT Siu-yuen
Mr LO Siu-kit, MH
Mr TAM Hoi-pong

Co-opted Members

Ms CHOW Chin-tung
Mr CHUNG Ho-yin
Mr LAM Fook-chuen
Mr LAM Kwok-on

Government Representatives

Mr CHOW Chun-hun, Gary	Assistant District Officer (Tsuen Wan), Tsuen Wan District Office
Mr KUAN Man-hou	District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Tsuen Wan), Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Ms FONG Wei-king, Luna	Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan) 2, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Ms TSANG Ka-man, Carmen	Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional West)2, Environmental Protection Department
Ms LO Sze-yan	Engineer/Tsuen Kwai 2, Drainage Services Department
Ms CHAN Hoi-ki, Maggie	District Engineer/General (3), Highways Department

Mr LI Pui-sang	Contract Project Coordinator/2 (New Territories West), Civil Engineering and Development Department
Mr NG Choi-ping	Assistant Housing Manager/Shek Wai Kok 3, Housing Department
Mr PANG Chin-chiu	Senior Land Executive/Control 2 (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing)
Mr YIM Wai-hung	Administrative Assistant/Lands (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing)
Mr YUNG Chi-wai	Senior Inspector of Works, Tsuen Wan District Office
Miss TAI Tsz-yan, Angie	Executive Officer (Development), Tsuen Wan District Office

Tsuen Wan District Council Secretariat Representatives

Miss LAM Siu-yung, Daisy	Senior Executive Officer (District Council), Tsuen Wan District Office
Mr LEE Nelson (Secretary)	Executive Officer (District Council)1, Tsuen Wan District Office

Attendance by Invitation:

For discussion of item 2A

Mr CHEUNG Tit-kwan, Ivan	Marine Manager/Cargo Handling (2), Marine Department
Mr MA Chi-ping	Senior Assistant Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2) (Acting), Marine Department

For discussion of item 2C

Ms LO Sze-yan	Engineer/Tsuen Kwai 2, Drainage Services Department
Mr TANG Kin-on	Engineer/Consultants Management 13, Drainage Services Department
Mr NG Ming-lau	Resident Engineer, Atkins China Limited, Consultant Engaged by Drainage Services Department

For discussion of item 2E

Mr LO Tim-fat	Officer-in-Charge, Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr CHEUNG Kim-hung, Michael	Engineer/Tsuen Wan 2, Transport Department

For discussion of item 2F

Mr LO Tim-fat	Officer-in-Charge, Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan), Hong Kong Police Force
---------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Mr WONG Chi-keung, Brian	Engineer/Monitoring and Projects, Transport Department
Mr CHAU Wai	Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Assessment & Noise) ³ , Environmental Protection Department
<u>For discussion of item 2H</u>	
Ms FONG Wei-king, Luna	Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan) 2, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
<u>For discussion of item 2I</u>	
Mr WONG Wai-leung, Edmond	Chief Tobacco and Alcohol Control Inspector, Department of Health
Mr NG Kwan-lok	Executive Officer I (Enforcement) ² , Department of Health
Dr LUI Siu-yun	Medical & Health Officer (Community Liaison) ¹ , Department of Health
<u>For discussion of item 2J</u>	
Mr LO Tim-fat	Officer-in-Charge, Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan), Hong Kong Police Force
Mr WONG Chi-keung, Brian	Engineer/Monitoring and Projects, Transport Department
<u>For discussion of item 2K</u>	
Mr SIN Wai-kuen	Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Waste Management Policy) ³ , Environmental Protection Department
<u>For discussion of item 2L</u>	
Mr LEUNG Yiu-nam	Assistant District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan) 4, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Mr CHEN Kin-tung, Tommy	Senior Estate Surveyor/Central (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing)
Ms FONG Wei-king, Luna	Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan) 2, Leisure and Cultural Services Department
<u>For discussion of item 2M</u>	
Dr CHING Wai-kuen	Hospital Chief Executive of Yan Chai Hospital, Hospital Authority
Dr ZHAO Quan	Environmental Protection Officer (Waste Reduction & Recycling) ¹¹¹ , Environmental Protection Department

For discussion of item 2N

Ms CHAU King-mui, Alice Senior Health Inspector (Contract Management) Sheung Shui Slaughterhouse, Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

For discussion of item 4

Ms NGAR Yuen-ngor Senior Country Parks Officer (Management 2), Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department

Mr CHEN Kin-tung, Tommy Senior Estate Surveyor/Central (District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing)

Mr NG Ki-yiu Engineer/New Territories West (Headworks 3), Water Supplies Department

For discussion of item 5

Mr WONG Wai-ki, Constant Senior Building Supervisor (Property Management) New Territories, Government Property Agency

Mr YAU Wai-yip Senior Property Services Manager/Sham Shui Po & Tsuen Kwai, Architectural Services Department

Mr CHAN Hei-yeung, Vincent Property Services Manager/Tsuen Wan, Architectural Services Department

Absent:

Member

Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis

The Meeting

I Opening Remarks and Introduction

The Chairman welcomed the Members and representatives from the government departments to the 22nd meeting of the Environmental and Health Affairs Committee (EHAC) and introduced Ms CHAN Hoi-ki, Maggie who attended the meeting for the first time and replaced Mr CHONG Kwok-wai to assume the post of District Engineer/General (3) (Dist Engr/G(3)) of the Highways Department (HyD), and Ms FONG Wei-king, Luna who replaced Mr AU YEUNG Wai-ming, Arthur to assume the post of Deputy District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan) 2 (Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2) of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD). He also introduced Mr NG Choi-ping, Assistant Housing Manager/Shek Wai Kok 3 of the Housing Department, who attended the meeting on behalf of Mr KAN King-ming, Victor.

2. The Chairman reminded Members that according to section 15(3) of the Tsuen Wan District Council Standing Orders (the “Standing Orders”), “in the course of a meeting of the Council, all persons attending or observing on the meeting at the place of the meeting shall switch off all devices which might emit sound and shall not use

any telecommunications devices for conversation” in order to ensure the smooth progress of the meeting.

II Item 1: Confirmation of Minutes of the 21st Meeting held on 2.5.2019

3. The minutes of the previous meeting were unanimously confirmed by Members without amendment.

III Item 2: Matters Arising from the Minutes of the Previous Meeting

(A) Paragraphs 4 to 7 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Strongly Request the Marine Department and Environmental Protection Department to Stop the Contractors of the Gin Drinkers Bay Public Cargo Working Area from Making Noise Nuisance whilst Operation in order to Safeguard a Quiet Living Environment in the Waterfront Area of Tsuen Wan

4. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of the Marine Department (MD) who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Mr CHEUNG Tit-kwan, Ivan, Marine Manager/Cargo Handling (2) (Marine Mgr/Cargo Handling (2)); and
- (2) Mr MA Chi-ping, Senior Assistant Shipping Master/Cargo Handling (2) (Acting).

5. Marine Mgr/Cargo Handling (2) of the MD said that the MD had carried out measurement of the decibel levels at Rambler Channel in May and June 2019 at regular hours and specific locations. The results indicated that the noise levels did not exceed the noise standards. The contractors would continue to adopt the respective proposed measures which included the provision of sound proof canvas on the engines of the cranes, thickening of materials and placement of gunny sacks at the locations concerned for reduction of noise. The MD would continue to deploy officers for inspections and remind the contractors to carry out regular maintenance of the machinery and apply lubricants to the movable parts of the machinery for noise reduction during operation. The MD and Environmental Protection Department (EPD) had informed the contractor that the working hours on Sundays were from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. The MD found that during the operation hours, only two goods vehicles engaged in unloading activities on average, and a quiet environment could be maintained at the other times.

6. The Chairman enquired whether the amount of unloading activities in the Rambler Channel Public Cargo Working Area (the “PCWA”) referred to by the MD was a regular figure or the result from a single inspection.

7. Marine Mgr/Cargo Handling (2) of the MD responded that the figure reported by the MD was the average over the past six months. As the major activity in the PCWA was the delivery of goods rather than loading/unloading activities, the noise produced was relatively soft. Besides, as the industry saw quieter business in 2019, deliveries of goods by vehicles also decreased year on year.

8. The Chairman said that he hoped that the MD would continue to observe and improve the situation.

(B) Paragraphs 8 to 9 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Installation of IP Camera at the Black Spots of Refuse Disposal by the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

9. The Chairman asked District Environmental Hygiene Superintendent (Tsuen Wan) (Dist Env Hygiene Supt (Tsuen Wan)) of the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) to report the latest status.

10. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported as follows:

- (1) Regarding the situation of the six black spots in Tsuen Wan district where IP cameras were installed, two cases of fly-tipping were identified at the refuse collection points (RCPs) in Gemini Beaches and Lung Yue Road each. The offenders were prosecuted and convicted;
- (2) two cases of fly-tipping were identified at the RCP in Bayside Villas. The offender in one of the cases was prosecuted and convicted;
- (3) 18 cases of fly-tipping were identified at the RCP in Tung Po Tor Monastery. The offenders in seven of the cases were prosecuted and convicted; and
- (4) five cases of fly-tipping were identified at the disposal site of Ting Kau Carpark. The offenders in three of the cases were prosecuted and convicted.

(C) Paragraphs 10 to 16 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Strongly Request the Drainage Services Department to Press Ahead the “Upgrading of Tsuen Wan Sewerage” and Advance the Period of Completion to mid 2019 for Commissioning of the Four Dry Weather Flow interceptors As Far As Possible in order to Prevent the Residents from Suffering the Odour Nuisance of the Seawater Following the In-take of Various New Properties along the Coast at Tsuen Wan West Rail One after Another Starting from 2018

11. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him and the Vice Chairman had not yet arrived to join the meeting, he asked Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris to take over the chair temporarily.

12. The Acting Chairman welcomed the representatives of the Drainage Services Department (DSD) who specially joined the meeting, including:

- (1) Ms LO Sze-yan, Engineer/Tsuen Kwai 2 (Engr/Tsuen Kwai 2);
- (2) Mr TANG Kin-on, Engineer/Consultants Management 13; and
- (3) Mr NG Ming-lau, Resident Engineer of the Atkins China Limited.

13. Resident Engineer of the Atkins China Limited reported that the installation works of the dry weather flow interceptors were progressing at a satisfactory pace and would be completed on schedule. In addition, the installation of the dry weather flow interceptor in Wo Tik Street had been completed and the works of the dry weather flow interceptors in Tsuen Wan Market Street, Chung On Street and Hoi Pa Street, in which

the electrical and mechanical installation was completed, would be concluded in the third quarter of 2019.

14. Mr CHOW Ping-tim said that he had all along requested the DSD to expedite the installation of dry weather flow interceptors, and enquired whether the installation of the dry weather flow interceptors would be completed on schedule or earlier than scheduled according to the current progress. In addition, the drain blockage at the junction of Ma Tau Pa Road and Yeung Uk Road had led to road congestion recently, and the corresponding drainage maintenance works were still underway. In this connection, he enquired whether the drain blockage was related to the installation of the dry weather flow interceptor or the substantial decrease in water flow due to the previous interception around the catchwater.

15. Engineer/Consultants Management 13 of the DSD responded that the four new dry weather flow interceptors had been installed in accordance with different technical requirements. The works of the dry weather flow interceptor in Hoi Pa Street, which involved more electrical works, were anticipated to be completed in September 2019, whereas the works of the remaining dry weather flow interceptors were anticipated to be completed progressively in the third quarter of 2019.

16. Engr/Tsuen Kwai 2 of the DSD responded that the road subsidence which occurred at the junction of Ma Tau Pa Road and Yeung Uk Road on 18 June 2019 was caused by the ageing and collapse of the underground drains at the location concerned and had no relation with the installation works of the dry weather flow interceptors.

17. The views, enquiries and suggestions of the Acting Chairman and Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he enquired about the order of the completion of works of the four dry weather flow interceptors and data on the assessment of their effectiveness after the completion of works (Mr WONG Wai-kit);
- (2) as new residents progressively moving into residential units around the waterfront would suffer from the odour nuisance of the sea water nearby, and the water quality was poor as reflected by the colour of the sea water from the residents' recent observations, she hoped that the DSD would actively tackle the problem of sea water. She also pointed out that the DSD had stated in other meetings that the effectiveness of the dry weather flow interceptor was as high as 70%. Thus, she hoped that the dry weather flow interceptors would be as effective as anticipated (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
- (3) the DSD should disclose the area of the water gathering grounds of the remaining dry weather flow interceptors, expedite the coordination among the departments and collect relevant data for assessment of the effectiveness of the dry weather flow interceptors (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie);
- (4) he hoped that staff of the DSD would install the equipment for measurement of the concentration of hydrogen sulphide with a view to monitoring the effectiveness of the dry weather flow interceptors (the Hon CHAN Han-pan, Ben);

- (5) he enquired whether the dry weather flow interceptors would come into operation separately or en masse upon completion of installation of the four dry weather flow interceptors. He also enquired about the area of the water gathering grounds of the dry weather flow interceptor in Wo Tik Street (Mr CHOW Ping-tim); and
- (6) he enquired whether the dry weather flow interceptors would come into operation only in dry weather, and hoped that the DSD would provide the supplementary information on the arrangement of the commissioning of the dry weather flow interceptors in the information paper (the Acting Chairman).

(Note: Ms CHOW Chin-tung joined the meeting at 2:40 p.m.)

18. Engineer/Consultants Management 13 of the DSD responded that the DSD had completed the works of the dry weather flow interceptor in Wo Tik Street. It was anticipated that the next to be completed would be the works of the dry weather flow interceptors in Tsuen Wan Market Street and Chung On Street, and the last would be the works of the dry weather flow interceptor in Hoi Pa Street. The dry weather flow interceptor in Wo Tik Street was now fully operational, whereas the remaining dry weather flow interceptors would come into operation one by one upon completion of works. As the relevant data concerning the effectiveness of the dry weather flow interceptors involved the issue of water quality and purview of other departments, the data would be prepared and studied with relevant departments. The diagram showing the areas of the water gathering grounds of the dry weather flow interceptors in Tsuen Wan would be submitted after the meeting.

(Note: Mr CHENG Chit-pun joined the meeting at 2:45 p.m.)

19. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(D) Paragraphs 17 to 22 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Request to Enhance the Inspection for and Efforts in Combating the Disposal of Construction Waste Casually in Tsuen Wan District

20. The Chairman said that Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional West)2 (Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2) of the EPD and Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the EPD and FEHD respectively.

(Note: Mr LAM Faat-kang joined the meeting at 2:48 p.m.)

21. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the FEHD had instituted 158 prosecutions from May to June 2019, among which three cases involved illegal dumping of construction waste.

22. Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD reported that the EPD had conducted 146 inspections from April to May 2019, during which one fixed penalty notice was issued and three prosecutions were completed, with fines totalling \$8,500.

23. Mr KOO Yeung-pong said that the number of prosecution was small despite the serious situation of indiscriminate disposal of construction waste at present. He enquired of the EPD about the principle of determining the location, time and frequency for deploying officers for inspections, and suggested that the EPD should conduct inspections on a daily basis.

24. Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD responded that the EPD would deploy two to three officers for every inspection at locations decided according to the situation concerned and complaints. The EPD would also conduct blitz inspections at times during which fly-tipping was common, and take prosecution actions immediately once fly-tipping was identified. At the same time, prosecution could be instituted against vehicles which disposed of construction waste illegally in video footage taken by the four CCTVs installed in Tsuen Wan district.

25. The Chairman said that in view of the serious problem of illegal dumping of construction waste at present, he thought that the effectiveness of law enforcement actions was not satisfactory and urged the departments concerned to review and improve the work.

(Note: Ms CHOW Chin-tung left the meeting at 3:05 p.m.)

(E) Paragraphs 23 to 33 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Request the Government Departments to Seriously Handle the Trespass and Unchecked Parking of Shared Bicycles in Tsuen Wan District and Immediately Put Forth Effective Improvement Proposals to Keep Up With the Objective of Harmonious Sharing of Shared Bicycles among Members of the Public for Maintaining the Public Safety and Clean Environment in Housing Estates

26. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

27. The Acting Chairman welcomed Mr CHEUNG Kim-hung, Michael, Engineer/Tsuen Wan 2 (Engr/Tsuen Wan 2) of the Transport Department (TD) who specially joined the meeting. In addition, the representatives of the departments responsible for giving response were Mr LO Tim-fat, Officer-in-Charge, Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan) (OC Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan)) of the Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF), Senior Land Executive/Control 2 (Sr Land Ex/Control 2) of the District Lands Office, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing (DLO) and Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD.

28. OC Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the HKPF had issued 26 summonses with prosecutions instituted from April to May 2019. The

HKPF would continue to step up law enforcement from time to time at the black spots in the district.

29. Engr/Tsuen Wan 2 of the TD reported that the TD continued to discuss with the operators of Automated Dockless Bicycle Rental Services (ADBRS) the removal of ADBRS bicycles. According to the data, the number of ADBRS bicycles in Hong Kong, Kowloon and Tsuen Wan district had been reduced from about 1 300 in April 2019 to about 760 in June 2019. The TD would deploy officers to conduct inspections in Tsuen Wan district from time to time, and would immediately request the ADBRS operators to arrange the removal of bicycles when ADBRS bicycles were identified.

30. Sr Land Ex/Control 2 of the DLO reported that the DLO had conducted a joint operation in mid-June 2019 and removed a total of 35 bicycles, among which six were ADBRS bicycles.

31. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD reported that the FEHD would continue to participate in the joint clearance operations and provide manpower and vehicles for assisting the operations.

32. Mr LAM Faat-kang said that he found that many ADBRS bicycles were parked on the footbridges at Luk Yeung Sun Chuen and Tai Ho Road. Thus, he enquired whether the said locations were included for inspection.

33. Engr/Tsuen Wan 2 of the TD responded that the inspectors would observe the situation in the district altogether. During the inspection on 18 June 2019, the inspectors did not identify ADBRS bicycles at the said locations, and most of ADBRS bicycles were identified in the vicinity of the noise barriers at Belvedere Garden. The TD would step up inspection at various locations in Tsuen Wan district.

34. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(Note: The Hon CHAN Han-pan, Ben left the meeting at 3:23 p.m.)

(F) Paragraphs 34 to 47 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Construction of Noise Barriers at Tuen Mun Road Return of a Quiet Home Environment for Tsing Lung Tau and Sham Tseng

35. The Chairman said that he welcomed Mr WONG Chi-keung, Brian, Engineer/Monitoring and Projects of the TD and Mr CHAU Wai, Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Assessment & Noise)³ (Sr Env Protection Offr(Assessment & Noise)³) of the EPD who specially joined the meeting. The representative of the department responsible for giving response was Mr LO Tim-fat, OC Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF. The HyD had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

36. Sr Env Protection Offr (Assessment & Noise)³ of the EPD reported that regarding the standard for the measurement of noise, road traffic noise level was currently measured in terms of L₁₀ (one hour), i.e. the noise level during the six minutes when the stipulated maximum was reached within a one-hour period. This was considered a stricter standard because it was not an average number and the assessment was conducted during the one-hour period in which traffic was the busiest. In addition, the EPD had replied to Members' enquiries on the noise problems in Hong Kong Garden and Sea Crest Villa on 5 March and 30 April 2019 respectively.

37. OC Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF reported that the HKPF had conducted 58 law enforcement actions against speeding and illegal modification of vehicles on the road section concerned during April and May 2019. The HKPF would continue to enhance the operations in order to improve the problem.

38. Engineer/Monitoring and Projects of the TD reported that the TD had contacted the supplier regarding the installation of interval-based speed enforcement cameras so as to learn about the device and technical requirements, including the power supply and locations of installation. It was anticipated that the interval-based speed enforcement cameras could be installed at Tsuen Wan Road Flyover in 2020. The TD would report the respective progress to the EHAC.

39. Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris said that the current standard of the measurement of noise and respective measures failed to eliminate the noise problem. Although the result of the measurement of noise at Hong Kong Garden which was 65 decibels did not exceed the noise standard of 70 decibels as set out in the Noise Control Ordinance, residents could still be affected by the noise level. He opined that the EPD did not take into consideration the needs of the residents given its use of a single indicator for assessment. For example, if a vehicle sped past the location concerned at 3:00 a.m., the sleep of the residents nearby might have been affected even though the traffic noise level did not exceed the noise standard L₁₀. Therefore, he once again suggested that the TD should provide additional noise barriers on Tuen Mun Road and the HKPF should enhance the effort in combating illegal modification of vehicles, in addition to the tightening of the standard for the importation of vehicles by the EPD. He thought that the three aforementioned measures could complement each other. The Police would conduct patrol and enforcement actions only at specific hours and additional noise barriers could be provided for solving the problem in the long run. Besides, the EPD had pointed out in its reply that international standards of the importation of vehicles had to be adopted in Hong Kong because there was no production of vehicles in the territory. He therefore enquired whether the EPD would tighten the standard for the importation of vehicles in the light of the situation in Hong Kong.

40. Sr Env Protection Offr(Assessment & Noise)³ of the EPD responded that L₁₀, the road traffic noise standard currently adopted, was already categorised as a stricter standard. As there was no production of vehicles in the territory, it was necessary for Hong Kong to adopt the vehicle noise standards of the European Union (EU) or Japan. The refusal of the adoption of the international vehicle noise standards might constitute

technical barriers to the trade of vehicles. In addition, the EPD had developed the established standards for the installation of noise barriers. Regarding the noise from individual vehicles, regulatory measures and prosecution against offenders were recommended to effectively tackle this single source of noise.

41. Engineer/Monitoring and Projects of the TD added that the noise standard of imported vehicles of the TD was consistent with that of the EPD.

42. The views, enquiries and suggestions of the Chairman and Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) the refusal to establish the vehicle noise standard of Hong Kong on grounds of the creation of trade barriers was mind-boggling. As there was an established noise standard on construction machinery in Hong Kong currently, he enquired about the reason for not applying or studying the application of the respective principle on vehicles (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (2) according to the HyD's written reply received earlier, the construction of noise barriers on the section of Tuen Mun Road at Sea Crest Villa was technically infeasible. He thought that the technical infeasibility mentioned by the HyD referred to the impact on vehicular flow by the provision of additional noise barriers after the completion of Tuen Mun Road. However, if the HyD had proper planning prior to the construction of Tuen Mun Road, the provision of additional noise barriers was technically possible. He also thought that the provision of noise barriers on Castle Peak Road–Tsing Lung Tau in Tuen Mun could cut down a certain extent of noise. He had requested the HyD to provide data and results of the simulation tests to support the HyD's statement that the provision of noise barriers could not cut down noise effectively, but the HyD had not given any response so far. He hoped that the HyD would provide the aforementioned information in the next EHAC meeting (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (3) he enquired of the representative of the HKPF about the number of prosecution instituted against the offending vehicles during the 58 law enforcement actions, if any (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris); and
- (4) given that previously the Government had all along used the average noise level in 24 hours as the standard of measurement, he enquired of the EPD about the room for legislative amendments, if any, so that the average noise level in daytime and nighttime could be measured separately. In addition, complaints about the noise from vehicles in the vicinity of Tuen Mun Road lodged by members of the public continued to be received by Members. This proved that the noise problem had never been solved. It was the Government's responsibility to solve the problem, provide a tranquil living environment for the public, and explain the relevant legislation on vehicle noise so as to facilitate the public's understanding of the Government's policies and measures (the Chairman).

(Note: Mr KOT Siu-yuen left the meeting at 4:15 p.m.)

43. OC Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF responded that during the 58 law enforcement actions, a total of 451 summonses were issued and 4 vehicles suspected of illegal modification were seized.

44. Sr Env Protection Offr(Assessment & Noise)³ of the EPD responded that the function of the barriers on the section of Ting Kau Bridge at Sea Crest Villa was to protect the villages nearby. As the barriers did not face Sea Crest Villa directly, the conversion to sound-absorbing noise barriers would not have a significant effect on reducing the level of noise at Sea Crest Villa. Regarding the standard for the use of construction machinery, it was similar to that of vehicles in that Hong Kong adopted the standards of the EU or Japan in both cases. As long as the construction machinery was compliant with one of the standards, it was authorised to be used in Hong Kong. At present, different control tools were used in the measurement of noise from construction machinery and road traffic and therefore they could not be compared directly. In addition, given that the vehicular flow, of which the two peak periods were 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. in general, was in direct proportion to the noise level, the EPD would assess the traffic noise level during the peak period of traffic flow which was not an average number. The EPD had responded on 30 April 2019 that after the simulation tests and calculation, it was indicated that the conversion to sound-absorbing noise barriers of the barriers concerned could not effectively reduce the noise in the vicinity of Sea Crest Villa. The relevant data and results could be provided after the meeting.

(Note: Mr LAM Fook-chuen left the meeting at 4:26 p.m.)

45. Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris said that the EPD's attitude was conservative. During the committee meeting of the EPD he had attended that morning, the EPD proposed the study of mandatory tools for controlling vehicle pollution, such as promoting the use of electric vehicles among the public for the progressive replacement of vehicles powered by petrol and diesel fuel. He hoped that the EPD would adopt the same open attitude to review the noise pollution in the area concerned. If the representative of the EPD could not respond to the enquiries for the time being, he would like to invite the supervisor of that representative or representative of the respective bureau to attend the next EHAC meeting.

46. The Chairman said that he hoped that the relevant authority would adopt a people-oriented approach, make legislative amendments through which time slots would replace the traffic flow as the basis of the measurement of noise, and loosen the requirement of the decibel level for construction of noise barriers. The EPD should make legislative amendments if Members' proposals were feasible. He also urged the EPD to provide reasons if the aforementioned proposals were unfeasible.

(Note: Mr LI Hung-por joined the meeting at 4:30 p.m. Mr WONG Ka-wa left the meeting at 4:30 p.m.)

47. The Chairman said that as the representative of the HKPF was required to take up other urgent matters, item 2J would be discussed first.

(J) Paragraphs 80 to 88 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Request to Immediately Draw Up the Construction Schedule of Noise Barriers on Tsuen Wan Road and Formulate the Short-term Measures in order to Reduce the Annoyance Caused to the Residents

48. The Chairman said that the representatives of the departments responsible for giving response were Mr WONG Chi-keung, Brian, Engineer/Monitoring and Projects of the TD and Mr LO Tim-fat, OC Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF. The HyD and EPD had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

49. Engineer/Monitoring and Projects of the TD said that the TD would continue to conduct vehicle examinations. If the vehicle, of which complaints were received, produced noise during the annual vehicle examination, it would be classified as failure and could be back on roads only after rectification.

50. OC Task Force Sub-unit (Tsuen Wan) of the HKPF said that the HKPF had conducted a total of 40 law enforcement actions against speeding and vehicles suspected of illegal modification during April and May 2019. The prosecution statistics would be submitted at the next EHAC meeting.

51. The Chairman said that as the representative of Yan Chai Hospital (YCH) was required to take up other urgent matters, item 2M would be discussed first.

(M) Paragraphs 105 to 110 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Request for a Trial Run of Introduction of Collection Boxes for Drugs in Tsuen Wan District

52. The Chairman said that he welcomed the representatives of the hospital and bureau who specially joined the meeting, including Dr CHING Wai-kuen, Hospital Chief Executive of the YCH and Dr ZHAO Quan, Environmental Protection Officer (Waste Reduction & Recycling)111 (Env Protection Offr(Waste Reduction & Recycling)111) of the Environment Bureau (ENB). Besides, the Food and Health Bureau (FHB), Department of Health (DH) and EPD had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

53. Hospital Chief Executive of the YCH said that from the perspective of a public hospital, doctors would only prescribe drugs that the patients needed to take with a view to reducing the wastage of drugs at source. The public hospitals were also currently considering the request for pharmacists to explain the administration of drugs to patients in need of the drugs for the purpose of reducing drug wastage in future. At present, public hospitals had drawn up strict regulations on the classification and treatment of unused drugs so that the unnecessary wastage of drugs could be avoided during the prescription of drugs. This was very different from the case where drugs that remained after a patient's recovery would become domestic waste.

54. Env Protection Offr(Waste Reduction & Recycling)111 of the ENB said that the Secretary for the Environment had given response to a similar issue at the Legislative Council meeting held on 5 June 2019. As household residual medicines were in small quantities at present, they would be collected and handled together with general domestic wastes. In addition, the ENB had established strict procedure for leachate treatment in landfills, and the problem of antibiotics was not serious.

55. The Chairman stated that the captioned item would not be further discussed by the EHAC at the next meeting.

(G) Paragraph 48 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Issue about Recycling Flow of the “Regulated Electrical Equipment”

56. The Chairman said that the EPD had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members’ perusal.

57. Mr TAM Hoi-pong said that in addition to WEEE-PARK and T-PARK at the EcoPark in Tuen Mun, the Government should increase the number of recycling facilities and contractors. He also enquired about the number of contractors which had been issued with a licence for the recycling of regulated electrical equipment (REE) so far. Besides, much REE remained to be disposed of in the refuse collection rooms at present. Given that the EPD had pointed out in the reply that the staff of the EPD would assist in the handling and transportation of the deposited REE to the EcoPark in Tuen Mun if they identified REE during inspection, he enquired of the contractors about the data on the REE transferred to the EcoPark in Tuen Mun upon successful collection in the refuse collection points by the staff of the EPD.

58. Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD said that the Member’s enquiries would be conveyed to the subject officer for follow-up.

(H) Paragraphs 49 to 59 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: The Need for Improving the Way of Tree Planting as Revealed by Typhoon Slashes

59. The Chairman said that he welcomed Ms FONG Wei-king, Luna, Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD who specially joined the meeting. Besides, the Development Bureau and HyD had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members’ perusal.

60. Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD said that the LCSD had replanted the trees according to the guidelines of the Tree Management Office.

61. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) the HyD had displayed slides and stated that it was possible to study different ways of tree replanting. He had proposed that the HyD should stop adopting the methods currently in use in replanting the collapsed trees in the vicinity of Tsing Lung Tau in the previous meeting. Nevertheless, the HyD had replanted the trees at the said location last week in the absence of any

consultation. He understood that the HyD had to replant the trees, but he enquired of the HyD about the reason for not studying other ways of tree replanting. He was disappointed at the HyD's failure to inform Members before replanting the trees because the HyD had mentioned that the consultancy study and preparation of the tree selection guide were underway (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris); and

- (2) he noticed that at present some small trees were transported from the Mainland or country parks under the purview of the LCSD to the locations of tree replanting. He opined that the LCSD had preferred convenience in transportation over the development of the roots of the trees in selecting the size of the root balls, which were too small in this case. The LCSD should strike a balance between the transportation arrangement and the health of trees and choose larger root balls in order to prevent the poor growth of trees and increase in the risks of collapse (Mr TAM Hoi-pong).

62. Dep District Leisure Mgr (Tsuen Wan)² of the LCSD responded that the said areas were under the purview of the HyD and the LCSD was responsible for the management of trees in the said areas.

63. The Chairman said that the study on the size of the root balls for the trees would be put on record. If trees were found to have collapsed due to root balls too small in size, follow-up action would be re-considered. The EHAC would send a letter to the HyD to express the view that the HyD did not attach importance to the EHAC, and urge the HyD to send its representative to attend the next EHAC meeting to give an explanation on the respective details.

(Note: Mr MAN Yu-ming left the meeting at 4:45 p.m.)

- (I) Paragraphs 70 to 79 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Strongly Request the Tobacco and Alcohol Control Office of the Department of Health to Improve and Strengthen the Law Enforcement in Tsuen Wan District for Further Protecting the Residents of Tsuen Wan District from the Secondhand Smoke and Restoring the Government's Legislative Intent of the Designation of No Smoking Areas in Protection of the Public from the Smoking Nuisance

64. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

65. The Vice Chairman welcomed Mr WONG Wai-leung, Edmond, Chief Tobacco and Alcohol Control Inspector, Dr LUI Siu-yun, Medical & Health Officer (Community Liaison)¹ and Mr NG Kwan-lok, Executive Officer I (Enforcement)² of the DH who specially joined the meeting. The FHB and DH had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

66. Chief Tobacco and Alcohol Control Inspector of the DH said that in order to protect public health, it was the Government's established policy to discourage members of the public from smoking, contain the proliferation of tobacco use and

minimise the impact of passive smoke on members of the public as far as possible. In this connection, the Government adopted a progressive and multi-pronged approach to lower the smoking prevalence and prevent the youth from picking up the habit of smoking from various aspects. After years of publicity and law enforcement, the smoking prevalence in Hong Kong had reduced from 23.3% in 1982 to 10.0% in 2017, indicating the full implementation of effective measures by the Government. The Government had amended the Smoking (Public Health) Ordinance (Cap. 371) in 2006 to significantly expand the statutory no smoking areas (NSAs). At present, the smoking ban had been implemented in all indoor working places, public places as well as many outdoor places. In addition, the Government stipulated a further expansion of the smoking ban in phases to 240 public transport facilities (PTFs), including 13 PTFs in Tsuen Wan district and 11 bus interchanges leading to expressways. Besides, the DH had conducted a total of 701 inspections and instituted 157 prosecutions against smoking offences in Tsuen Wan district from January to May 2019. In response to the views received at the previous meeting about smoking at the rear staircases in Pavilia Bay, Tobacco and Alcohol Control Inspectors of the Tobacco and Alcohol Control Office had conducted a blitz inspection at the said location subsequently, but no smoking offences were identified. The DH had relayed the respective situation to the management company and urged implementation of the smoking ban. The DH had also relayed to the FHB Members' proposals on amendment of the percentage of enclosed area concerning the NSAs as well as designation of the footbridges as NSAs, and the FHB had taken note of the views. The DH would continue its efforts in publicity, education and law enforcement, and minimise the nuisance of passive smoke on the public by promoting smoking cessation and other means.

67. Mr CHOW Ping-tim said that as inspection and prosecution were not the main duties of the staff who managed the public pleasure grounds, they did not combat illegal smoking actively. In addition, the prosecution statistics in public pleasure grounds in 2019 was fewer than that in 2018. It indicated that either the number of smokers had reduced or the inspections were ineffective. At present, many customers still smoked in the food premises and the situation was not improved. Thus, he enquired of the DH about the areas of inspection, and thought that prosecution was not as effective as anticipated because many smokers had already left by the time the staff of the DH arrived at the staircases for handling when complaints were received. He hoped that the DH would enhance co-ordination with Members, who could assist in analysing the areas of inspection and suggest locations for inspection, thereby helping the DH to enhance the efficiency of prosecution. Besides, he hoped that the DH would provide the list of the 7 food premises which were prosecuted.

68. Chief Tobacco and Alcohol Control Inspector of the DH responded that the DH arranged for inspections according to the complaints lodged by members of the public. The DH had received 99 complaints about smoking in public pleasure grounds in 2017, some 60 complaints in 2018 and 26 complaints from January to May 2019. As the number of complaints received by the DH decreased, the number of inspection and prosecution cases decreased correspondingly. In addition, as the rear staircases were

areas where smoking was prohibited, the DH would deploy staff for inspection when handling every complaint. Even though no prosecutions had been instituted during the said inspection, staff of the DH had requested the management company to cooperate and implement the smoking ban. The DH would also review the past records and take the initiative to inspect the food premises which had non-compliance records according to the circumstances. The list of the food premises which were prosecuted could not be provided at the meeting because the representatives of the DH at the meeting did not have the information.

69. The Chairman resumed the chair.

(K) Paragraphs 89 to 95 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: How Should the Members of the Public Properly Handle the “Items of Left-out Materials” that are Blocked from Channels for Recycling

70. The Chairman said that he welcomed Mr SIN Wai-kuen, Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Waste Management Policy)³ (Sr Env Protection Offr(Waste Management Policy)³) of the EPD who specially joined the meeting.

71. Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris enquired whether the Government would provide economic incentives to encourage the buying of rechargeable batteries, such as increasing the price of primary batteries or lowering the price of rechargeable batteries.

72. Sr Env Protection Offr(Waste Management Policy)³ of the EPD responded that the awareness of environmental protection of the members of the public was increasing thanks to the EPD’s continuous effort in publicity and education. The smart consumers would in general opt for rechargeable batteries as the replacement of primary batteries after taking into consideration the prices in the long run and environmental factors.

73. The Chairman stated that the captioned item would not be further discussed by the EHAC at the next meeting.

(L) Paragraphs 100 to 104 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Concern about the Greening and Management of the Planters at the Roundabout Next to the Toll Plaza in Ma Wan

74. The Chairman said that he welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including Mr CHEN Kin-tung, Tommy, Senior Estate Surveyor/Central (Sr Estate Surveyor/Central) of the DLO, Ms FONG Wei-king, Luna, Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)² and Mr LEUNG Yiu-nam, Assistant District Leisure Manager (Tsuen Wan) ⁴ of the LCSD. Dist Engr/G(3) of the HyD would give response to Members’ enquiries on behalf of the HyD.

75. Sr Estate Surveyor/Central of the DLO said that after checking the records, it was found that the consultants of the developer of Park Island proposed that the HyD should enhance the greening standard of the greening zone in 2002 and agreed that the owner of the lot concerned would undertake the maintenance work of the greening

zone as an agent for the LCSD. In 2008, the HyD took over the management of the hardware structures on the road, except for the greening zone on the road. The developer invited the LCSD to take over the greening zone at the roundabout only in 2015. However, as the developer failed to provide the LCSD with the record of the Government's approval for the design of the greening zone in the planters at the roundabout, the LCSD refused to take over the plants in the planters. Given the background of the said case, the DLO had sent a letter to the developer to state the stance of the Government which held that the management of the greening zone should remain to be undertaken by the owner of the lot concerned at present. Although the developer disagreed that the responsibility for the management of the greening zone lay with it in its reply, it had expressed its willingness to undertake the follow-up action to meet the requirement for the LCSD to take over before returning the greening zone to the Government. The LCSD had in response stated that it was willing to take over the management of the greening zone at the roundabout as long as the developer could meet the LCSD's requirement. In addition, the engineering consultants of the developer had submitted technical advice and held that it was technically infeasible to provide water supply at the viaduct for watering the plants. The LCSD was still coordinating with various departments, hoping that the said greening zone would be handed over to the LCSD for management in the future.

76. Dist Engr/G(3) of the HyD said that the HyD received the study by the consultant company commissioned by the developer via the Lands Department (LandsD), in which an assessment of the feasibility of laying water pipes at the existing viaduct had been conducted. The findings indicated that the proposal was not viable. Therefore, the HyD considered that the proposed works would affect the structure of the viaduct and did not recommend the proposal.

77. Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)² of the LCSD said that in 2002, the LCSD and the developer had agreed on the locations of the greening zones in Ma Wan area to be taken over by the LCSD, which excluded the planters at the roundabout next to the Toll Plaza in Ma Wan. Nevertheless, if the developer could supply water for watering the plants at the said location, the LCSD was willing to take over the maintenance work of the plants in the planters. The LCSD had conducted a site visit at the planters and identified signs of irrigation devices that remained. It was estimated that the developer had used the devices before 2014 for maintenance of the plants so that the plants remained healthy.

78. The views, enquiries and suggestions of the Chairman and Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) generally speaking, the land owner would implement the proposal submitted to the LandsD upon acceptance by the LandsD. Thus, he enquired about the roles of the LandsD, such as whether the LandsD was satisfied with and accepted the report submitted by the developer, whether the feasibility of other proposals had been explored before acceptance of the proposal and the reason for the acceptance of the report by the LandsD (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);

- (2) he hoped that the HyD would disclose the contents of the report submitted by the developer and the justifications of the acceptance of the report by the HyD. He had suggested using a hose which would be provided across two lanes or connecting the water pipe from the bottom of the viaduct to the planters for watering the plants. In this connection, he enquired whether the aforementioned proposals were examined in the developer's study report; if not, the reasons for that. He also pointed out that a large hose was used and laid across two lanes for watering the plants in the planters at the roundabout in Ma Wan before 2015. He had enquired of the LCSD whether it would accept the same arrangement of watering the plants, and the LCSD stated that it was not acceptable at that time. Thus, he enquired whether the LCSD held the same view at present (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (3) he thought that if the water pipe was connected from the hillside to the planters via the footpath and across the slow lane of the road, the water pipe would stay on the slow lane of the road and would not pass through the structure of the viaduct. Thus, he hoped that the HyD would review carefully the tricky parts in the report (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (4) he pointed out that the developer might consider the proposal in which the property management office could apply for a water meter for excavation from the hillside and laying of water pipe from the footpath so that it would not be necessary to lay the water pipe through the viaduct (Mr CHAN Sung-ip); and
- (5) he enquired of the LCSD about the feasibility of using a water wagon for watering the plants at the roundabout. As the developer had expressed its willingness to bear the costs, the LCSD could provide the developer with the estimation of the use of water wagon for watering the plants (the Chairman).

79. Dist Engr/G(3) of the HyD responded that granting approval to the plumbing installation was not the HyD's purview. According to the developer's study report, the proposal in which the pipelaying works were implemented inside the viaduct structure and consequently affected the structure of the viaduct was unacceptable.

80. Dep District Leisure Mgr (Tsuen Wan)² of the LCSD responded that the use of a hose running across the road for watering the plants involved the consideration of occupational safety and health. To ensure the safety of workers, the LCSD did not agree to using this method. As the LCSD had identified some signs of sprinkler installation in the planters, it wanted to learn about the situation from the developer. Using a water wagon to water the plants would involve the application for a permit and additional costs. If no other feasible methods were available and other departments or the developer was willing to bear the costs, the LCSD could take over the maintenance work.

81. The Chairman said that the EHAC would continue to follow up on the captioned item under the matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.

(N) Paragraphs 111 to 118 of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019: Strongly Request the Environmental Protection Department to Enhance the Inspection of the Daily Operation of Tsuen Wan Slaughterhouse to Ensure its Compliance with the Daily Cleansing of Lairage at least Eight Times under the Agreement and Maintain the Hygiene Control of Pigs Delivery Vehicles, with a view to Preventing the Residents of Riviera Area from being Affected by the Aggravating Swine Odour.

82. The Chairman said that as this agenda item was raised by him, the Vice Chairman would take over the chair temporarily.

83. The Vice Chairman said that he welcomed Ms CHAU King-mui, Alice, Senior Health Inspector (Contract Management) Sheung Shui Slaughterhouse (Sr Health Insp (Contract Mgt) Sheung Shui Slaughterhouse) of the FEHD who specially joined the meeting.

84. Sr Health Insp (Contract Mgt) Sheung Shui Slaughterhouse of the FEHD said that the trade had reached a consensus with the Government to impose a daily clearance arrangement in the slaughterhouse, i.e. all live pigs would be slaughtered within 24 hours when they arrived at the Tsuen Wan Slaughterhouse (TWSH) and all the lairages would undergo thorough cleansing and disinfection. Recently, the number of imported pigs decreased and live pigs handled in the TWSH also decreased correspondingly due to the two African Swine Fever cases occurred in Hong Kong. It was believed that the odour caused by pigs could thus be greatly reduced.

85. Mr CHOW Ping-tim enquired whether the aforementioned arrangement was a short-term measure or regular one because the problem could not be thoroughly solved if it was only a short-term measure. As the FEHD had pointed out that additional barriers were installed at the windows facing Riviera Gardens, he enquired whether the FEHD could provide relevant photographs taken recently to show that the facilities of the TWSH had been properly maintained.

86. Sr Health Insp (Contract Mgt) Sheung Shui Slaughterhouse of the FEHD responded that the FEHD was currently studying the long-term improvement plan concerning the said measure which was introduced lately and implemented after the trade had reached a consensus with the Government. The FEHD would submit the photographs of the facilities provided for reduction of odour at the side of the TWSH facing Riviera Gardens after the meeting.

87. The Chairman resumed the chair.

IV Item 3: Installation of Internet Protocol (IP) Camera at Refuse Deposit Blackspots (EHAC Paper No. 19/2019)

88. The Chairman said that the FEHD submitted the paper and introduced Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD who would brief on the agenda item.

89. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD introduced the paper.

90. The views, enquiries and suggestions of the Chairman and Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) the installation of IP cameras at Vista Cliff and Lung Yue Road substantially improved the situation of illegal refuse deposit and yielded good results. As there would be an alteration to the locations of the IP cameras, he was concerned about the recurrence of illegal refuse deposit at the former blackspots once the IP Cameras were removed. Thus, he enquired whether the FEHD would consider replacing the relocation plan of IP cameras with the provision of additional IP cameras, and about the difference in the cost of the two arrangements (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris);
- (2) he enquired whether the enforcement figures set out in the paper were equivalent to the prosecution figures or number of inspections. He also pointed out that items 6 and 7 in the priority list were both located in Hau Tei Square near Lamp Post No. FC0259, and enquired about the reason for the installation of two IP cameras, and whether the priority for installation was related to the average daily amount of refuse deposit, complaint figures and enforcement figures (Mr LO Siu-kit); and
- (3) he pointed out that items 8 and 9 on the priority list were spots with a significant volume of refuse deposit and the subjects of numerous complaints. Given that the FEHD had previously stated that the priority was arranged in the descending order of the complaint figures, he enquired about the reason for the lower priorities accorded to the locations with a larger volume of refuse deposit in the list (the Chairman).

91. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded as follows:

- (1) the FEHD employed the strategy of the relocation of IP cameras for installation at other locations. If the situation of illegal refuse deposit aggravated at individual black spots after the relocation of IP cameras, the IP cameras at the locations concerned could resume operation at any time where necessary because the hardware facilities were retained;
- (2) the enforcement figures set out in the paper as enquired about by Members were the number of successful prosecution and were not the number of inspections. The priority of the installation of IP cameras was determined by a basket of factors such as the average daily amount of refuse deposit, complaint figures and prosecution figures at the corresponding blackspots; and
- (3) items 6 and 7 in the priority list were located at the two ends of Hau Tei Square near the respective lamp post.

92. The Chairman said that the EHAC unanimously agreed to the priority set out in the list provided by the FEHD.

V Item 4: Concern about the Shooting Activity Scraps in Shing Mun Reservoir scattered from the Gun Club
(EHAC Paper No. 20/2019)

93. The Chairman said that Mr TAM Hoi-pong submitted the paper, and welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including Ms NGAR Yuen-ngor, Senior Country Parks Officer (Management 2) (Sr Country Parks Offr (M2)) of the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department (AFCD) and Mr NG Ki-yiu, Engineer/New Territories West (Headworks 3) (Engr/NTW (Headworks 3) of the Water Supplies Department (WSD). Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD and Sr Estate Surveyor/Central of the DLO would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the FEHD and DLO respectively. Besides, the AFCD had submitted a written reply on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

94. Mr TAM Hoi-pong introduced the paper.

95. Sr Country Parks Offr (M2) of the AFCD responded that parts of the Hong Kong Gun Club (HKGC), whose west side was Tai Lam Country Park and east side a catchwater, overlapped with the said country park. The AFCD had put forward a proposal on the reduction in area of the HKGC, which was established in the 1960s and earlier than the designation of Tai Lam Country Park in 1979. However, after consulting the relevant departments, the AFCD learnt that the overlapping area was designated as a buffer zone for safety reasons and visitors should not enter this area because it was expected that the shooting activity scraps could scatter over the said area even though the said area was not part of the venue for shooting activity.

96. Engr/NTW (Headworks 3) of the WSD responded that the WSD received complaints from members of the public about the shooting activity scraps identified in the vicinity of Shing Mun Catchwater and Shing Mun Reservoir in March 2019. After investigation, the WSD found that the shooting activity scraps were likely originated from the HKGC. The WSD then immediately contacted the HKGC and sent officers to conduct on-site inspections at the HKGC's premises in early April 2019, as well as investigation and collection of evidence in accordance with the Waterworks Ordinance, which included meeting and taking statement from relevant persons. At the current stage, the WSD had completed the investigation and collection of evidence, and was seeking legal advice for the next course of action. The WSD had also arranged for its contractor to clean up the shooting activity scraps identified in the vicinity of Shing Mun Catchwater and Shing Mun Reservoir right after the collection of evidence. Currently, most of the scraps were removed. Meanwhile, the HKGC had developed preventive measures at the WSD's request to prevent the shooting activity scraps from entering the catchwater, including enlargement of the mesh barriers in the shooting range, installation of screen barriers at the site boundary along the catchwater and engaging a cleaning company for regular clean-up of the shooting activity scraps. The WSD would continue to follow up on the implementation of these preventive measures.

97. Sr Estate Surveyor/Central of the DLO responded that the HKGC was granted the Private Recreational Lease early in 1969 and had been in operation since then with the continuous support from the Home Affairs Bureau. The lease of the HKGC stipulated that the use of the rifle, handgun and clay target shooting ranges as well as the participation in other related recreational activities were limited to HKGC members, and had to be compliant with the articles of association. The lease also required the shooting activities of the HKGC, including the possession, storage and handling of arms and ammunition as well as the use of shooting ranges to be compliant with the Firearms and Ammunition Ordinance and the request of the Commissioner of Police. As the site in question, parts of which overlapped with the Tai Lam Country Park and adjoined to the water gathering grounds, there were relevant terms and conditions which required that the land use by the land owner should comply with the requirements of the departments concerned. The DLO had sent a letter to the land owner to follow up on the said issue in respect of the recent enquiries and complaints. The DLO also noticed that the departments concerned were dealing with the cases concerning the corresponding ordinance and terms of reference, and would collaborate with the departments concerned on matters about the lease.

98. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD did not receive complaints from members of the public for the time being. Besides, the staff responsible for providing cleansing service did not identify shooting activity scraps when working in the public roads in the vicinity of the HKGC.

99. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he enquired what shooting activity scraps referred to and about the reason for the shooting activity scraps to travel across the buffer zone (Mr CHAN Chun-chung, Jones);
- (2) he enquired of the WSD whether the direction of shooting of the HKGC members was regulated by the Government, and whether the provision of additional mesh barriers could effectively stop the shooting activity scraps from travelling across the buffer zone (Mr TAM Hoi-pong);
- (3) he enquired whether the shooting activity scraps were confirmed to be the belongings of the HKGC, and hoped to learn about the operation of the HKGC in details (Mr KOO Yeung-pong); and
- (4) he pointed out that he had participated in the activities of the HKGC, and the direction of shooting of the HKGC members was west (Mr LAM Fook-chuen).

100. Sr Country Parks Offr (M2) of the AFCD responded that when complaints were received in 2018, the AFCD had sent officers to the scene to learn about the situation and contacted the person-in-charge of the HKGC. It was confirmed that there was indeed a possibility of the scattering of shooting activity scraps over the buffer zone on the slopes to the southwest and south of the HKGC. The shooting activity scraps accumulated at the locations concerned because the locations had not been cleaned up for many years. The AFCD had thus requested the HKGC to clean up immediately and submit a proposal on regular clean-up.

101. Engr/NTW (Headworks 3) of the WSD responded that the WSD was currently seeking legal advice and conducting investigation, and believed that other departments had made rules on the direction of shooting. The HKGC members mainly used shotguns, and the shooting activity scraps identified by the WSD comprised mainly three categories: fragments of clay targets and lead shots which were heavier and identified in the catchwater, and the lighter plastic wads which flowed into Shing Mun Reservoir following the stream.

102. The Chairman hoped that the representative of the AFCD and Members would provide maps or photographs at the next meeting to facilitate discussion. The EHAC would send a letter to the HKPF to enquire about the direction of shooting of the HKGC. The EHAC also hoped that the departments would continue to conduct inspections and follow up the improvement measures.

VI Item 5: Request for the Comprehensive Improvement of the Environment of the Passenger Waiting Area of the Minibus Terminus in Tsuen Wan Multi-Storey Car Park

(EHAC Paper No. 21/2019)

103. The Chairman said that Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris submitted the paper, and welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including Mr WONG Wai-ki, Constant, Senior Building Supervisor (Property Management) New Territories (Sr Bldg Supr (Property Mgt)NT) of the Government Property Agency (GPA), Mr YAU Wai-yip, Senior Property Services Manager/Sham Shui Po & Tsuen Kwai (Sr Property Services Mgr/Sham Shui Po & Tsuen Kwai) and Mr CHAN Hei-yeung, Vincent, Property Services Manager/Tsuen Wan of the Architectural Services Department (ArchSD). Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD and Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan) of the LCSD would give response to Members' enquiries on behalf of the FEHD and LCSD. Besides, the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department and TD had submitted the written replies on the captioned matter prior to the meeting for Members' perusal.

104. Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris introduced the paper.

105. Sr Bldg Supr(Property Mgt)NT of the GPA responded that the GPA had contacted the ArchSD for the study of the feasibility of the demolition of the ventilation grilles as regards the ventilation problem on the mezzanine as mentioned by Members.

106. Sr Property Services Mgr/Sham Shui Po & Tsuen Kwai of the ArchSD responded that the ArchSD was currently liaising with the GPA and TD to study different handling approaches, including the demolition of the ventilation grilles and replacement with grilles of better ventilation effect in order to improve the ventilation on the mezzanine. The TD would give further consideration given its role as the management department. Regarding the construction of an access to the minibus stand of green minibus (GMB) Route No. 96M, the ArchSD held that it was

structurally feasible despite of its possibly insufficient headroom after study, and had submitted the respective proposal to the TD for approval.

107. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD deployed staff to conduct anti-mosquito and anti-rodent work at the location concerned twice a week. The FEHD would continue to closely keep in view the situation and step up the work where necessary.

108. Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)² of the LCSD responded that the LCSD had carried out pruning for the plants in the planters on 20 June 2019 and advised the contractor to carry out pruning for the plants in the planters more frequently to prevent mosquito breeding.

109. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) he thought that the replacement with grilles of better ventilation effect was more desirable than the demolition of the existing grilles. He also pointed out that plastic panels could still be found in many places in the car park such as the waiting area of the minibus stand of GMB Route No. 96M in Diagram 2 of the paper which had a poor ventilation. He suggested replacing these plastic panels altogether for achieving better ventilation (Mr LI Hung-por);
- (2) he pointed out that he had all along received complaints from members of the public about littering on the air duct by pedestrians previously, but no departments undertook the clean-up action. In the end, Members assisted in cleaning up, whereas the departments installed plastic panels to solve the problem. He thus opined that the departments should decide on the installation works, including the parties responsible for clean-up and modification of the grilles, only after defining their respective scopes of work. Otherwise, pedestrians would litter on the air duct again. He hoped that the departments could solve the problem. In addition, the ArchSD had replaced some of the plastic panels with iron grilles about two to three years ago, but it did not arrange a full replacement at that time. Thus, he hoped that the ArchSD could give more thorough consideration in the future to the overall improvement of the waiting environment (Mr LO Siu-kit);
- (3) he suggested providing triangular devices on top of the air ducts to reduce the space available for littering and prevent the accumulation of waste. He had conveyed to the TD the use of sound-absorbing ceiling for separating the blowers and waiting areas of the pedestrians so as to reduce the impact of noise on passengers who were waiting. The TD expressed its willingness to coordinate and handle the matter with the GPA and ArchSD, but he did not know if the TD had discussed it with the departments concerned. Regarding the construction of an access to the minibus stand concerned, he thought that an access on the ground level could be considered as an option for solving the problem of insufficient headroom. In his opinion, the TD was contemplating an access from the footbridge to the mezzanine out of its concern that the direction of pedestrian flow would be affected by the provision of an access on the ground level. He did not want to give up the

construction works because of the problem of insufficient headroom (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris); and

- (4) the TD was responsible for the management of the ground level, whereas the GPA was responsible for the management of the mezzanine. Therefore, it was the TD's responsibility to request the ArchSD to install the sound-absorbing ceiling (Mr NG Hin-lung, Norris).

110. Sr Bldg Supr(Property Mgt)NT of the GPA responded that the GPA would study other feasible proposals and the demolition of the grilles was just one of them.

111. Sr Property Services Mgr/Sham Shui Po & Tsuen Kwai of the ArchSD responded that the ArchSD had received proposals for the use of grilles of lower density and demolition of the plastic panels from the GPA and TD. If the ArchSD studied the said proposals and held that they were feasible, it would put forward the proposals to the two management departments for consideration and decision-making.

112. Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD responded that the noise control initiatives specified in the Noise Control Ordinance and the Technical Memorandums related to the Ordinance mainly aimed to ensure that any persons at the noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) were free from noise nuisance. The NSRs included domestic premises, school and library, with the exception of bus stop.

113. The Chairman said that he hoped that the ArchSD would submit different proposals together with photographs and scientific data for Members' decision-making. As the original purpose of installing plastic panels was to prevent the public from fly-tipping, he hoped that the problem would not resurge after the demolition of the grilles. He also regretted that a more comprehensive discussion was not possible when some of the departments concerned did not send their representatives to attend this EHAC meeting. In addition, Members had mentioned that members of the public could not make clear voice calls on their mobile phones at the waiting areas. Thus, he enquired whether the EPD had monitored the noise from the blowers. The EHAC would also send a letter to the TD to urge for follow-up on the situation concerned .

VII Item 6: Introduction of the New Mosquito Trapping Device in Tsuen Wan District
(EHAC Paper No. 22/2019)

114. The Chairman said that the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael and Mr CHENG Chit-pun submitted the paper. The representatives of the departments responsible for giving response were Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD and Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)2 of the LCSD.

115. The Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael and Mr CHENG Chit-pun introduced the paper.

116. Dist Env Hygiene Supt (Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that the FEHD was currently carrying out a trial test on the new mosquito trapping device in different districts and laboratories. If the new mosquito trapping device was found to be highly effective during the test conducted in this quarter, the FEHD would consider

introducing it in all districts. He would also relay to the Headquarters of the FEHD the need to expedite the installation of the new mosquito trapping device in Tsuen Wan district. The Headquarters of the FEHD stated that it had all along been keeping in view new products and technologies for mosquito control and elimination. If suitable products and technologies were identified, the Headquarters of the FEHD would make an attempt to introduce them and conduct tests to determine whether they were suitable for use in Hong Kong.

117. Dep District Leisure Mgr (Tsuen Wan)² of the LCSD responded that the LCSD was currently procuring the new mosquito trapping devices. The respective trial test would last for six months and would be launched in late July 2019 at five venues, including Jockey Club Tak Wah Park, Tsuen Wan Tin Hau Temple Garden, Phase I of Kwok Shui Road Park, Hoi Shing Garden and Sha Tsui Road Playground. The LCSD had installed 67 mosquito trapping devices in Tsuen Wan district, including 33 LPG mosquito trapping devices, 12 electric mosquito trapping devices and 22 solar mosquito trapping devices. The LCSD would continue to monitor the effectiveness of the mosquito trapping devices and conduct timely review of the arrangements of the mosquito trapping devices.

118. The views, enquiries and suggestions of Members were summarised as follows:

- (1) as the new mosquito trapping device was found to be effective in many districts, he hoped that the departments concerned would coordinate their work and stop working in silos so as to carry out installation work at locations where there was a demand for the device as soon as possible. He also urged the EHAC to send letters to the departments to request the installation of suitable mosquito trapping devices at all public places where mosquito infestation was identified as soon as possible (the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael);
- (2) he hoped that the LCSD would consider installing the new mosquito trapping devices in Shing Mun Valley Park (Mr CHAN Chun-chung, Jones);
- (3) she enquired about the reason for the more severe mosquito infestation in 2019 as compared to 2018, and thought that the mosquito trapping devices should be further promoted if they were effective. She was also concerned about the immunity developed by mosquitoes and enquired about new ways to tackle mosquito infestation with a view to eliminating the mosquitoes at source (Ms LAM, Lam Nixie); and
- (4) he suggested installing the new mosquito trapping devices at Belvedere Garden, Hon Man Tsuen and the vicinity of Greenview Terrace (Mr WONG Wai-kit).

119. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD responded that in light of the rainy season and warm weather in 2019 which came earlier than previously, the FEHD had tried its utmost to allocate more resources earlier for the anti-mosquito work.

120. The Chairman said that the EHAC would send letters to the departments to request the comprehensive installation of the new mosquito trapping devices in Tsuen Wan district as soon as possible.

VIII Item 7: Request the Government to Provide the Potted Plants off Skyline Plaza
(EHAC Paper No. 23/2019)

121. The Chairman said that Mr KOO Yeung-pong submitted the paper. The representatives of the departments responsible for giving response were Assistant District Officer (Tsuen Wan) (ADO(TW)) of the Tsuen Wan District Office (TWDO) and Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)² of the LCSD.

122. Mr KOO Yeung-pong introduced the paper.

123. Dep District Leisure Mgr(Tsuen Wan)² of the LCSD responded that the LCSD had conducted a feasibility study near Skyline Plaza in response to the captioned request and had submitted a proposal to the TD and TWDO. If the proposal was accepted, the LCSD would provide potted plants near the entrance of Skyline Plaza on the ground floor and at the pedestrian refuge. The provision works were expected to be completed in early August 2019.

124. ADO(TW) of the TWDO responded that the TWDO preliminarily did not object to the proposal submitted by the LCSD.

125. Mr KOO Yeung-pong said that he welcomed the provision of potted plants in the short run, and hoped that the departments would take note of the greening situation of the district during the planning of Tsuen Wan for long-term considerations.

(Note: The Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael left the meeting at 5:43 p.m.)

IX Item 8: Maintenance Projects of Minor Environmental Improvement Programme in 2019/20
(EHAC Paper No. 24/2019)

126. Senior Inspector of Works of the TWDO introduced the paper.

127. The EHAC approved the maintenance project as follows:

<u>Maintenance Project</u>	<u>Estimated Expenditure (\$)</u>
(1) Renovation Works of the Rain Shelter at Wing Shun Street near Shak Chung Shan Memorial Catholic Primary School in Tsuen Wan	100,000.00

X Item 9: Work Report on Environmental Hygiene in Tsuen Wan District
(EHAC Paper No. 25/2019)

128. The Chairman welcomed the representatives of the government departments who specially joined the meeting, including:

(1) Mr CHU Tung-fai, Senior Health Inspector of the Joint Office (JO)/FEHD;

- (2) Mr FUNG Man-hon, Professional Officer 2-1 (Professional Offr 2-1) of the JO/BD, and;
- (3) Mr KAN Ka-man, Engineer/New Territories West (Customer Services) Inspection of the WSD.

129. The Chairman asked the representatives of the JO to report on the follow-up situation of water seepage in buildings in the district.

130. Professional Offr 2-1 of the JO/BD reported that as at late May 2019, the JO received a total of 932 reports on water seepage in Tsuen Wan district, among which 544 cases had been processed. Among the processed cases, 321 cases were concluded, and 223 cases were concluded. Among the concluded cases, the number of cases in which water seepage ceased during investigation was 57, the source of water seepage was identified for 139 cases, and the source of water seepage could not be identified for 27 cases. The JO had initiated prosecution for seven cases, issued a total of seven “Notices of Intention to Apply for Warrant of Entry” but did not file any application to the court for the “Warrant of Entry into Premises”.

131. Dist Env Hygiene Supt(Tsuen Wan) of the FEHD introduced the Work Report on Environmental Hygiene in Tsuen Wan District.

XI Item 10: Work Report on Environmental Pollution Control in Tsuen Wan District (April 2019 to May 2019)
(EHAC Paper No. 26/2019)

132. Sr Env Protection Offr(Regional W)2 of the EPD introduced the Work Report on Environmental Pollution Control in Tsuen Wan District.

XII Item 11: Progress Report on Minor Environmental Improvement Programme and Maintenance Projects in 2019/20
(EHAC Paper No. 27/2019)

133. Senior Inspector of Works of the TWDO briefed on the progress of the projects. As the underground spaces were congested with pipes and cables and there was not enough space for the construction of foundations, he suggested cancelling three projects including the provision of rain shelter and bench at Wing Shun Street near Waterside Plaza Shopping Arcade and Lamp Post FA5237 in Tsuen Wan, provision of rain shelter at Hoi Kwai Road near Clague Garden Estate and Lamp Post GC1014 in Tsuen Wan, and extension of rain shelter at Castle Peak Road near Si Yuen School of the Precious Blood in Tsuen Wan.

(Note: Mr KOO Yeung-pong left the meeting at 5:55 p.m.)

134. The EHAC unanimously endorsed the above proposal.

XIII Item 12: Application for Funds for Community Participation Initiative on Environmental Protection Projects Co-organised by Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community and Local Organisation
(EHAC Paper No. 28/2019)

135. The Secretary introduced the paper and said that the Chairman, Mr MAN Yu-ming, Ms LAM Yuen-pun, Phyllis, the Hon CHAN Han-pan, Ben, Mr KOO Yeung-pong, Mr TAM Hoi-pong, Mr WONG Ka-wa and Mr WONG Wai-kit were the Members of the Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community, so as to indicate that they had made declaration of their membership.

136. The Chairman stated that he had made declaration of his membership of the Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community. As it was only a nominal post title, he could continue to chair the meeting and to participate in the discussion, decision-making and voting of the matter after the declaration of interest. Besides, Members who were concurrently the Members of the Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community could participate in the discussion, decision-making and voting of the matter in accordance with section 48(12) of the Standing Orders.

137. The Chairman asked if any Members needed to make declaration of other interests. Mr CHAN Sung-ip made declaration of his Vice Chairmanship of the Tsuen Wan Safe and Healthy Community Steering Committee. Mr YAU Kam-ping and Mr LO Siu-kit made declarations of their membership of the Tsuen Wan Safe and Healthy Community Steering Committee. Mr WONG Wai-kit made declaration of his Chairmanship of the Tsuen Wan Youth Association.

138. The Chairman stated that he determined that Mr CHAN Sung-ip, Mr YAU Kam-ping, Mr LO Siu-kit and Mr WONG Wai-kit should remain silent during the discussion of the applications concerned and could not speak on or participate in the decision-making of the matter in accordance with section 48(12) of the Standing Orders.

139. The EHAC endorsed the funding applications as follows:

	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Applicant Organiser/Co-organiser</u>	<u>Amount</u> <u>Approved (\$)</u>
(1)	Green Dream Workshop 2019	Tsuen Wan Youth Association	62,000.00
(2)	“All Green Dishes” Community Promotional Activity	Tsuen Wan Safe and Healthy Community Steering Committee	38,000.00

XIV Item 13: Application for District Council Funds for Tsuen Wan District Council Environmental and Health Affairs Committee Report (2016-2019)
(EHAC Paper No. 29/2019)

140. The Secretary introduced the paper and said that the Chairman, Mr CHENG Chit-pun, Mr MAN Yu-ming, the Hon TIEN Puk-sun, Michael, Mr NG Hin-lung,

Norris, Mr LI Hung-por, Ms LAM, Lam Nixie, Mr CHAN Chun-chung, Jones, Mr CHAN Sung-ip, Mr KOT Siu-yuen, Mr YAU Kam-ping, Mr LO Siu-kit, Mr TAM Hoi-pong, Mr LAM Faat-kang, the Hon CHAN Han-pan, Ben, Mr KOO Yeung-pong, Mr WONG Ka-wa and Mr WONG Wai-kit were the Members of the EHAC, and Mr CHUNG Ho-yin, Ms CHOW Chin-tung, Mr LAM Fook-chuen and Mr LAM Kwok-on were the Co-opted Members of the EHAC, so as to indicate that they had made declaration of their membership or co-opted membership.

141. The Chairman stated that he had made declaration of his membership of the EHAC which was a nominal post title. Therefore, he could continue to chair the meeting and to participate in the discussion, decision-making and voting of the matter after the declaration of interest. Besides, Members and Co-opted Members of the EHAC could participate in the discussion, decision-making and voting of the matter in accordance with section 48(12) of the Standing Orders.

142. The EHAC endorsed the funding application as follows:

<u>Activity</u>	<u>Applicant Organiser/Co-organiser</u>	<u>Amount Approved (\$)</u>
(1) Tsuen Wan District Council Environmental and Health Affairs Committee Report (2016-2019)	Tsuen Wan District Council Environmental and Health Affairs Committee	18,000.00

XV Item 14: Work Progress Report of Working Groups under Environmental and Health Affairs Committee

(A) Monitoring Group on Environmental Protection and Green Community

143. The Secretary reported that the Monitoring Group and the Women's Association of Tsuen Wan Kwai Tsing District would co-organise the "Workshop on Revitalisation and Greening" in July and August 2019. The workshop aimed to give residents in the district an insight into the development of the revitalisation of industrial buildings through visits to revitalised industrial buildings in Tsuen Wan district, and enhance residents' awareness of environmental protection by training them to become environmental mentors and promote green initiatives in the district. In addition, the Monitoring Group and the Tsuen Wan Youth Association would co-organise the "Green Dream Workshop 2019" in August and September 2019, which aimed to cultivate the awareness of environmental protection among the residents in Tsuen Wan district through activities such as training of volunteers, exchange of used books and exhibitions as well as to enhance the alertness of environmental protection and awareness of waste reduction at source among the residents through organising green handicraft workshops. The Monitoring Group would also liaise with the Tsuen Wan Safe and Healthy Community Steering Committee to co-organise the "All Green Dishes" Community Promotional Activity from July to September 2019, which aimed to promote among the residents in Tsuen Wan district the lifestyle of "Use Less, Waste Less" through reducing wastage of resources and use of chemicals that were harmful to the

environment.

(B) Monitoring Group on Public Health and Food Safety

144. The Chairman reported that the Monitoring Group and the Residents Association of Tsuen Wan Hoi Bun District would co-organise the “First Thing is Health 2019” which included the District Service Scheme cum Carnival and Precaution against Virus Infection and Awareness of Food Safety cum Extravaganza in Tsuen Wan District in August 2019, with a view to enhancing Tsuen Wan residents’ concern over deadly viruses, awareness of healthy eating and joint efforts to build a healthy community through activities promoting the theme of “Precaution against virus infection and Forming good eating habits”.

(C) Task Force on Environmental Pollution and Improvement

145. Mr LO Siu-kit reported that the Task Force and the Tsuen Wan District Parent Teacher Association Federation Limited would co-organise the “Parent-child Beach Clean-up in Tsuen Wan 2019” at 3:00 p.m. on 15 September 2019. The Task Force would also co-organise the “Cleaning Programme for Rural Areas in Tsuen Wan District 2019” with the Tsuen Wan Rural Committee in August 2019. Members would be informed of the progress of the activities at that time. He invited Members to take part in the activities enthusiastically.

XVI Item 15: Any Other Business

146. The Chairman said that the Civil Aviation Department (CAD) invited the EHAC to conduct a site visit to the aircraft noise monitoring terminals in Tsuen Wan district for a better insight into the CAD’s work in monitoring aircraft noise. If Members were interested in joining, he would request the Secretariat to follow up with the CAD the arrangement of the site visit.

(A) Information Paper

147. Members noted the contents of the following information papers:

- (1) Financial Statement of the Funds Allocated to Environmental and Health Affairs Committee as at 18 June 2019 (EHAC Paper No. 30/2019);
- (2) Odour Prediction Assessment at the Riviera Gardens and Tsuen Wan Slaughter House (April 2019 to May 2019) (EHAC Paper No. 31/2019);
- (3) Work Report on the Problem of Sea Water Pollution of the Rambler Channel, Tsuen Wan (April and May 2019) (EHAC Paper No. 32/2019, submitted by the EPD);
- (4) Noise Monitoring Result of MTR Tsuen Wan Depot (April 2019 to May 2019) (EHAC Paper No. 33/2019, submitted by the EPD);
- (5) Items Endorsed by Circulation by Environmental and Health Affairs Committee during the Period from 10 May to 27 May 2019 (EHAC Paper No. 34/2019);

- (6) FEHD Strategy and Work on Improvement of Environmental Hygiene of Hong Kong
(EHAC Paper No. 35/2019, submitted by the FEHD); and
- (7) Phase II of Anti-rodent Campaign 2019
(EHAC Paper No. 36/2019, submitted by the FEHD).

XVII Adjournment of Meeting

148. The Chairman reminded Members that the next meeting was scheduled at 2:30 p.m. on 5 September 2019 (Thursday) and the deadline for submission of paper was 21 August 2019.

149. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:03 p.m.

Tsuen Wan District Council Secretariat

2 August 2019