

Minutes of the 7th Meeting of the
Housing Committee under the
7th Term of Wong Tai Sin District Council

Date: 9 January 2025 (Thursday)
Time: 2:30 p.m.
Venue: Conference Room, Wong Tai Sin District Council,
6/F, Lung Cheung Office Block,
138 Lung Cheung Road,
Wong Tai Sin, Kowloon

Present:

Chairman:

Ms. TAM Mei-po WTSDC Member

Vice Chairman:

Mr. POON Cheuk-bun WTSDC Member

Member:

Mr. CHAN Wai-kwan, Andie, MH	WTSDC Member
Mr. CHAN-ying, Leonard, MH	WTSDC Member
Mr. FUNG Kin-lok	WTSDC Member
Mr. LAI Wing-ho, Joe, MH	WTSDC Member
Mr. LEE Tung-kong	WTSDC Member
Mr. LEUNG Tang-fung	WTSDC Member
Ms. LUI Kai-lin, Wendy, MH	WTSDC Member
Mr. MOK Kin-wing, MH	WTSDC Member
Mr. NGAI Shi-shing, Godfrey	WTSDC Member
Mr. YEUNG Nok-hin	WTSDC Member
Ms. YEW Yat-wa, Deannie	WTSDC Member
Mr. YUEN Kwok-keung, MH	WTSDC Member
Mr. YUET Ngai-keung	WTSDC Member
Mr. CHIU Kwok-wai	HC Co-opted Member
Mr. MA Chak-wa, MH	HC Co-opted Member
Mr. MOK Ka-kit	HC Co-opted Member
Ms. WONG Lok-yin, Koby	HC Co-opted Member

In Attendance:

Mr. WU Kui-wah, Thomas, JP	WTSDC Chairman and District Officer (Wong Tai Sin)	WTSDO	
Miss HO Wing-tung, Bonnie	Assistant District Officer (Wong Tai Sin)	WTSDO	
Ms. CHAN Wai-chi, Ida	Senior Executive Officer (District Council)	WTSDO	
Mr. LAI Man-ho, Chris	Structural Engineer/C6-4	BD	
Mr. LEUNG Chung-man, Tera	Senior Health Inspector (Regional Joint Office) Kowloon 5	Joint Office (BD / FEHD)	
Mr. LAM Chi-leung	Professional Officer 4-3/Joint Office 4	Joint Office (BD / FEHD)	
Ms. LEUNG Bik-san	Senior Housing Manager/WTT1	HD	
Mr. LEUNG Yu-sun	Senior Housing Manager/WTT2	HD	} Re: Item III, VIII & IX
Ms. CHOW Wai-fun	Housing Manager/WTT6	HD	} Re: Item VI
 <u>Secretary:</u>			
Mr. CHUI Man-fei, Caleb	Executive Officer (District Council) 5	WTSDO	

The Chairman welcomed all Members and representatives of government departments to the 7th meeting of the Housing Committee (“HC”) under Wong Tai Sin District Council (“WTSDC”).

I. Confirmation of Minutes of the 6th Meeting of the Housing Committee under Wong Tai Sin District Council held on 12 November 2024

2. Members did not suggest any amendments to the minutes. The minutes were confirmed.

II. Progress Report of the 6th Meeting of the Housing Committee under Wong Tai Sin District Council

(WTSDC HC Paper No. 1/2025)

3. Members noted the Paper.

III. Proposal on Staging an Exhibition of Artifacts as an Attraction under the Concept of “Tourism is Everywhere”

(WTSDC HC Paper No. 7/2025)

4. Members presented the Paper.

5. The views and enquiries of Members and the representative of the Wong Tai Sin District Office (“WTSDO”) are summarised as follows:

- (i) Members said that Wong Tai Sin District, being home to rural villages such as Tai Hom Village and Ngau Chi Wan Village as well as aged public housing estates such as Choi Hung Estate and Choi Wan Estate, had rich cultural and historical characteristics. Members therefore hoped that the Housing Department (“HD”) would seize the opportunity of the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate and stage an exhibition to showcase the history of the Estate and the distinct facet of life in Hong Kong’s public housing estates. By launching a pilot project in Choi Hung Estate, it aims to promote Wong Tai Sin’s cultural uniqueness to tourists and the public, transforming the District into a cultural tourist attraction of Hong Kong;

- (ii) Noting that many tourists visited Choi Hung Estate to patronise the speciality shops and take photos since the promulgation of its redevelopment plan, Members suggested that the department could organise an exhibition of artefacts prior to the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate, so that residents of the estate and tourists could learn about the history of the Estate. Members also suggested that the department could collaborate with internet celebrities by producing short videos and organising guided tours of Choi Hung Estate in order to promote the distinctive landmarks of the Estate and attract tourists;
- (iii) Members suggested that the department could retain the “Rainbow Life Exhibition” after the redevelopment to display memorable and iconic artefacts of the Estate. Also, Members suggested that the department should retain some speciality shops in the new buildings during the redevelopment to preserve the characteristics of Choi Hung Estate;
- (iv) Members said that Choi Hung Estate might lose some of its allure as a cultural landmark of the district after the redevelopment. Therefore, Members suggested that the department could organise activities to gather residents of the former Choi Hung Estate to look back and share memories of their old days in the Estate;
- (v) Members suggested that the department could model on the operation of museums of artefacts abroad. Apart from selling admission tickets, the museum could also collaborate with local shops to sell souvenirs and speciality food to subsidise the cost of antique maintenance and operation. Also, Members suggested that the department could consider promoting special cultural attractions near Choi Hung Estate, such as the proposed Confucius Temple; and
- (vi) WTSDO’s representative said that Wong Tai Sin had rich cultural resources. Citing the example of the “Wong Tai Sin Run” jointly organised by WTSDO and the Antiquities and Monuments Office,

he said that the event had fully demonstrated the profound traditional cultural heritage of Wong Tai Sin District by allowing participants to visit attractions with rich cultural characteristics in the district such as Wong Tai Sin Temple, Nan Lian Garden and Choi Hung Estate. Pointing out that Choi Hung Estate was one of the cultural treasures of the district, WTSDO's representative hoped that HD would discuss with local stakeholders before the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate how to preserve Choi Hung Estate's characteristics, as well as the location and exhibits of the artefacts exhibition. WTSDO's representative also asked HD about the consultation schedule for Phase 2 of the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate and hoped that HD's representative would convey Members' views and suggestions to the section responsible for the redevelopment .

6. The representative of HD noted Members' views and suggestions and gave a consolidated reply as follows:

- (i) HD agreed that many artefacts in Choi Hung Estate with cultural and commemorative value were worth preserving. The department would therefore consider staging a "Rainbow Exhibition" in the new Choi Hung Estate; and
- (ii) HD was preparing for the next round of consultation and would invite DC Members and local stakeholders to share their views. HD would convey the attendees' views to the section concerned.

7. Members noted the Paper.

IV. Report on Unauthorised Building Works in Wong Tai Sin
(WTSDC HC Paper No. 2/2025)

8. The representative of the Buildings Department ("BD") presented the Paper.

9. The views and enquiries of Members are summarised as follows:

- (i) Members asked whether the department had reviewed each and every case that involved outstanding removal orders for Unauthorised Building Works (“UBWs”) in order to assist households facing difficulties in complying with the orders;
- (ii) Noting that Lung Ha Sub-district should fall under Central Area, Members enquired about the coverage of Central Area in the “Report on Unauthorised Building Works in Wong Tai Sin” (“the UBWs Report”). Members also noted that the Independent Checking Unit (“ICU”) of the Housing Bureau (“HB”) had issued removal orders for unauthorised canopies to some households of Lower Wong Tai Sin (1) Estate. Therefore, Members would like to learn about the details of the relevant cases and the number of households involved;
- (iii) The representative of WTSDO noted that the number of UBWs in South West Area was significantly higher than that in other areas and enquired whether the UBWs in South West Area as shown in the Paper were mainly found in industrial buildings or private buildings in San Po Kong;
- (iv) Members noted that while the UBWs Report showed that the number of UBWs in East Area was zero, BD had previously conducted patrols on the canopies in Ngau Chi Wan Village. Members therefore enquired whether the department had issued removal orders for these structures or whether the relevant canopies were in fact authorized structures;
- (v) Members noted that the department had written to the owner of the music studio on the roof of Shing King Industrial Building in San Po Kong, urging them to arrange for the removal of the concerned UBWs by themselves as soon as possible. Members enquired whether the letter was equivalent to a removal order and when the UBWs concerned was due for removal;

- (vi) Noting that the data currently set out in the UBWs Report were the total numbers of cases accumulated over the years, Members suggested that the department should provide the data for recent months in the UBWs Report so that Members could grasp the changes in the number of UBWs in the district; and
- (vii) Members asked BD to state the proportion of South West Area's UBWs that were found in industrial buildings and private tenement buildings.

10. The representative of BD and HD noted Members' views and suggestions and gave a consolidated reply as follows:

- (i) If owners had difficulties in complying with the orders, the department would arrange for social workers to assist them in doing so. For cases where the removal orders were long outstanding, the department would set up a special task force to handle them;
- (ii) HD would provide the data on cases where removal orders regarding unauthorised canopies were issued by HB's ICU to households in Lower Wong Tai Sin (1) Estate after the meeting;

[Post-meeting note: HD added after the meeting that Central Area covered Lung Tsui, Lung Sheung, Fung Wong, Fung Tak and Lung Sing Sub-districts.

HD had contacted ICU to learn about the matter. ICU said it had informed the Owners' Corporation of Lower Wong Tai Sin (1) Estate in writing in early 2023 that ICU would patrol the estate. During the patrol at Lung Fung House and Lung Chak House in Lower Wong Tai Sin (1) Estate in late 2024, ICU found that about 140 units had UBWs on their external walls and ICU had to write to the owners concerned to demand rectification. ICU had recently written to the owners' corporation to inform it of the findings of the patrol.]

- (iii) There were many industrial buildings in San Po Kong and industrial buildings were larger in size. As a result, San Po Kong had recorded a higher number of complaints and most UBWs in South West Area were concentrated in San Po Kong;
- (iv) While the data in the UBWs Report mainly covered subdivided units and UBWs on rooftops and podiums as well as those in yards and courtyards, the only removal orders issued in East Area by BD were about unauthorised canopies. Therefore, the UBWs Report did not cover such data and the number of UBWs in East Area was shown as zero in the UBWs Report;
- (v) The letter issued by the department to the owner of the music studio on the roof of Shing King Industrial Building in San Po Kong was an advisory letter instead of a removal order; and
- (vi) BD would provide the proportion of South West Area's UBWs that were found in industrial buildings and private tenement buildings after the meeting.

[Post-meeting note: BD said after the meeting that the department did not maintain the relevant statistics and was therefore unable to provide the relevant information.]

11. Members noted the Paper.

V. Investigation Report of Water Seepage Complaints in Wong Tai Sin
(WTSDC HC Paper No. 3/2025)

12. The representative of the Joint Office (“JO”) of BD and the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (“FEHD”) and the representative of HD presented the Paper.

13. The views and enquiries of Members are summarised as follows:

- (i) Members asked whether the water seepage complaints in Kai Chuen Court was included in the number of water seepage complaints in Lung Sing Sub-district in the “Investigation Report of Water Seepage Complaints in Wong Tai Sin” (“the Water Seepage Report”); and
- (ii) Noting that the number of prosecutions conducted for failure to comply with Nuisance Notices and the cumulative number of court orders applied in 2023 were both “1”, Members enquired about the calculation method of the cumulative number of court orders applied and JO’s criteria for applying for court orders and conducting prosecutions.

14. The representative of JO and HD gave a consolidated reply as follows:

- (i) As Kai Chuen Court was a public rental housing estate and a housing estate under the Green Form Subsidised Home Ownership Scheme managed by HD as the Deed of Mutual Covenant Manager, the number of water seepage complaints in Kai Chuen Court was set out in the “Investigation Report of Water Seepage Complaints in Public Rental Housings of Wong Tai Sin District”, Annex II of the Water Seepage Report; and
- (ii) According to the Water Seepage Report, the cumulative number of court orders applied in 2023 and 2024 should be “2” and “1” respectively. JO would follow up on the exact cumulative number of court orders applied after the meeting. If the occupants under complaint refused to let JO’s staff enter their flats for investigation, JO would apply for court orders to enter these flats to carry out investigations. The court order was not a factor for consideration in prosecuting the relevant occupants. If JO had issued Nuisance Notices to the occupants under complaint and the occupants had failed to comply, JO would consider prosecuting the relevant occupants for failure to comply with the Nuisance Notices provided that the seepage source was indeed located in their flats. Also, the Environment and Ecology Bureau was reviewing environmental

hygiene-related legislations, including making it an offence to not comply with the “Notice of Intended Entry” issued by government officers, so that government officers could enter flats suspected of causing public health nuisance (including water seepage in buildings) as soon as possible to conduct investigations.

15. Members noted the Paper.

[Post-meeting note: The Secretariat confirmed with JO on 5 February 2025 that in the Water Seepage Report, the cumulative number of court orders applied in 2023 should be “2” and the cumulative number of court orders applied in 2024 should be “1”. The Secretariat had arranged for the corresponding amendment.

HD added after the meeting that the “Investigation Report of Water Seepage Complaints in Public Rental Housings of Wong Tai Sin District” only included water seepage cases in public rental housing flats for which HD, as the owner, bore maintenance responsibility.]

- VI. Enforcement Action against Illegal Parking in Public Rental Housing Estates in Wong Tai Sin
(WTSDC HC Paper No. 4/2025)

16. The representative of HD presented the Paper.

17. Members noted the Paper.

- VII. Report on the Management and Maintenance of Kai Chuen Court
(WTSDC HC Paper No. 5/2025)

18. The representative of HD presented the Paper.

19. The views and enquiries of Members are summarised as follows:

- (i) Members noted that some drain grates near the kindergarten in Kai Chuen Court were fractured and of uneven thickness, and suggested that the department should make plans to rectify the problem;
- (ii) Members enquired about the progress of the permit application regarding the restricted roads at Kai Chuen Court;
- (iii) Members suggested that HD should arrange to clear all manholes in Kai Chuen Court and cleanse the floor of the estate with high-pressure water jets in order to effectively remove the stains on its surfaces;
- (iv) Members found that the refuse collection chambers of Kai Chuen Court Block 4 and Block 5 were emitting foul odour, which might affect residents' health. Members suggested that HD should consider enhancing the ventilation system of the refuse collection chambers in order to eliminate the odour. Members also hoped that HD could improve the design of Kai Chuen Court in order to provide residents with a better living environment;
- (v) Having learned that Wang On Group had terminated its tenancy for the market of Kai Chuen Shopping Centre earlier, Members enquired about the tendering status regarding the market. They also noticed that the rent for the market was high, which had in turn made the goods expensive. Therefore, they suggested that HD should introduce a rent ceiling to make it easier for tenants to operate. Members also suggested that HD should consider improving the ancillary facilities of the market of Kai Chuen Shopping Centre in order to attract more people flow;
- (vi) Members enquired whether HD would punish underperforming management companies by, for example, terminating their contracts. Members urged HD to strengthen the monitoring of management companies and provide reports in this regard;

- (vii) Regarding the rodent infestation at Kai Chuen Court, Members suggested that HD could place rodenticides at appropriate locations to yield greater effectiveness and seal the door gaps at the entrances of all blocks of Kai Chuen Court with panels to prevent rodents from entering the estate;
- (viii) Members hoped that HD could invite the section responsible for the works of Kai Chuen Court to give a briefing about the falling of external rendering from some flats in the estate. Members were concerned about the incidents and asked who would be responsible for the repairs. They also hoped that HD would instruct the contractor to assist in repairing the damaged external walls; and
- (ix) Members and WTSDO's representative were glad to learn that HD had already conducted a comprehensive inspection of the facilities of Kai Chuen Court. They suggested that HD should keep conducting comprehensive inspections in a timely manner and resolve the various management issues of Kai Chuen Court at root by taking measures such as stepping up the cleaning of public areas, upgrading the ventilation system of refuse collection chambers, improving the management of the market, following up on the telecommunications network installation at the basement, and installing metal drain grates for the drains near the kindergarten in Kai Chuen Court. Furthermore, Members also hoped that HD could provide a timetable for the repair works for Kai Chuen Court and report on the relevant progress in a timely manner. The department could also invite Members to inspect some of the repairs that had already been completed.

20. The representative HD noted Members' views and suggestions and gave a consolidated reply as follows:

- (i) HD had replaced the old drain grates of the drains at the road off the kindergarten with new and lighter ones, so that cleaners could remove the construction wastes and sundries that were wrongly dumped in the drains more easily, and thereby preventing floods

caused by blocked drains in that area;

- (ii) TD was still processing HD's application regarding the restricted road in Kai Chuen Court. HD was following up with TD about the progress of the application;
- (iii) HD would arrange to clear all manholes in the estate before Lunar New Year and display a notice at the ground floor lift lobbies of the three blocks to inform residents about the clearance result. Besser blocks and tactile tiles had just been repaved at some locations in Kai Chuen Court, and these locations (including the area outside the kindergarten) would not be cleansed with high-pressure water jets. The cleaning work for other locations would be completed before Lunar New Year. Currently HD would clean all floors of each block using floor cleaning machines every month. The department would review the effectiveness of such practice and at the same time explore other feasible cleaning methods such as trying different detergents;
- (iv) HD suspected that the source of the foul odour was the ventilation system of the big refuse collection chamber on the ground floor of Blocks 4 and 5. The management office of Kai Chuen Court would explore improvement measures;
- (v) HD had taken over the market of Kai Chuen Shopping Centre on a temporary basis. The department was arranging a tender exercise for tenants and would report on the relevant progress in due course;
- (vi) HD would continue to work with FEHD to monitor the performance of the management office of Kai Chuen Court on rodent control. HD would also actively review the effectiveness of the existing rodent control measures with the management office of the Estate and explore more effective alternative measures;
- (vii) HD said that if the flat owner had never carried out renovation works for the pipes and connections at the spot where external rendering

had fallen, the contractor would undertake the relevant repair works within the Defects Liability Period. However, if the pipes or connections concerned had been moved/altered, causing the external rendering at the location of the pipe connection to fall, or if the falling of external rendering did not involve defective designs or poor works quality on the part of the contractor, then the repair responsibility would fall on the owner. Therefore, HD would review each incident of fallen external rendering on a case by case basis and follow up accordingly; and

- (viii) HD had been actively following up on problems regarding the facilities and environmental hygiene of Kai Chuen Court and would report on its follow-up in a timely manner. Moreover, the introduction of lighter metal drain grates and the telecommunications works at the basement were both expected to be completed in late January 2025.

21. Members noted the Paper.

[Post-meeting note: HD stated that the department would issue questionnaires to 15% of the occupants to collect their views on the management company's performance in various areas such as security, cleaning, facilities, etc. The ratings given by residents would be reflected in the quarterly evaluation report of the management company and affect the management company's eligibility for tendering for contracts of Hong Kong Housing Authority ("HKHA").]

VIII. Making Good Use of Public Housing and Land Resources and Optimising the Rehousing Arrangement for Choi Hung Estate
(WTSDC HC Paper No. 6/2025)

22. Members presented the Paper.

23. Members thanked HD for submitting a written reply on the issue (Annex I).

The views and enquiries of Members are summarised as follows:

- (i) Members hoped that HD could provide details of the overall plan for the Choi Hung Estate redevelopment project, including the rehousing arrangements for residents, designs for the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate, the relocation arrangements for schools in the Estate and land use and traffic planning, so that government departments and local stakeholders could exchange views on the plan and work together to optimise it; and
- (ii) Members noted that the Choi Hung Estate Redevelopment Office (“CHERO”) was already in operation and had received enquiries from some residents affected by the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate on rehousing matters. Therefore, Members suggested that HD should refer the enquiries to the Choi Hung Estate Office of HD for follow-up.

24. The representative of HD noted Members’ views and suggestions and gave a consolidated reply as follows:

- (i) Regarding traffic planning, HD would continue to maintain close communication with TD and work with the relevant government departments so that the road improvement works could commence as soon as possible. In addition, HD would prepare for the next round of consultation on the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate to exchange views with local stakeholders on the redevelopment plan for Choi Hung Estate. HD would convey Members’ views to the section concerned; and
- (ii) HD would instruct CHERO to refer the enquiries from residents of Choi Hung Estate regarding the rehousing applications to Choi Hung Estate Office of HD for follow-up.

25. Members noted the Paper.

IX. Expediting the Redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate and Making Better Use of Vacant Public Housing Units
(WTSDC HC Paper No. 8/2025)

26. Members presented the Paper.

27. The views and enquiries of Members are summarised as follows:

- (i) Noting that all residents affected by Phase 1 of the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate would have moved out by 2029, Members suggested that HD could renovate these vacant units and lease them to public housing applicants on short-term tenancies to make better use of public housing resources. In addition, Members suggested that if some family members of residents affected by the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate had applied for public rental housing and were about to be allocated with public housing units, the department could consider allocating them with units in the new Mei Tung Estate;
- (ii) Members enquired about the expected completion date of the new Mei Tung Estate and whether the Choi Hung Estate redevelopment project could be expedited; and
- (iii) Members enquired whether the number of units reserved by HD in the new Mei Tung Estate was sufficient to accommodate all residents affected by Phase 1 of the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate. Members also suggested that HD should carry out a questionnaire survey to gather data about the rehousing preferences of these residents.

28. The representative of HD noted Members' views and suggestions and gave a consolidated reply as follows:

- (i) Families or members under the same household would only be allocated the same public housing unit. If there were any special

circumstances, residents could ask the Choi Hung Estate Office of HD about the rehousing arrangements;

- (ii) The new Mei Tung Estate was expected to be completed between 2028 and 2029 and would be used as a reception estate for Phase 1 clearance of Choi Hung Estate. HKHA would allocate the remaining units in the new Mei Tung Estate to various types of public housing applicants as soon as possible to alleviate the pressure on the public housing waiting list. HD would review the progress of the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate in a timely manner; and
- (iii) About 2 030 households would be affected by Phase 1 of the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate. HD had reserved about 2 450 units in the new Mei Tung Estate for these households, which should be sufficient to house them all. Also, residents' household particulars might change before the rehousing registration, so the department was unable to compile accurate statistics on residents' demand for different types of rehousing units.

29. Members noted the Paper.

[Post-meeting note: HD added after the meeting that according to the usual practice, after the clearance and rehousing arrangements for the relevant phases of the estate redevelopment plan were approved, HKHA would stop leasing vacant units of the affected buildings in the estate so that it would not have to move new tenants out again in a short time and cause hindrance and delay to the clearance and redevelopment. Also, the vacant units would have to be renovated before reallocation, so it would not be cost-effective if the lease period was too short. Notwithstanding this, HKHA would review the use of vacant units left behind by households that had moved out under Phase 1 of the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate before the clearance.

HD then pointed out that according to the current calculations,

although some units would still be available in the new Mei Tung Estate after the households affected by Phase 1 of the redevelopment of Choi Hung Estate had been accommodated, the remaining units would not be sufficient to accommodate residents of another building. When planning redevelopment projects, HD preferred to adopt a block-by-block approach to minimise the impact on residents and simplify the rehousing process. As a result, Phase 1 clearance and rehousing would only cover the areas that had been announced so far. Residents of Choi Hung Estate affected by Phase 2 and 3 clearance would be rehoused to the new Choi Hung Estate after the redevelopment.]

X. Any Other Business

30. Members said HD had stated that some lights on the rooftop of Fu Keung Court Carpark were out of use from 2020 to 2022, and the electricity consumption of the carpark was lower than usual as a result. However, Members found that the lights on the rooftop of Fu Keung Court Carpark had not been put out of use during that time. Therefore, Members hoped to conduct a site visit together with HD and the owners' corporation of Fu Keung Court to find out the reason behind the increased electricity bill of Fu Keung Court Carpark. Members also enquired whether the Environmental Protection Department ("EPD") had replied to HD and explained why it had requested that the Smart Food Waste Recycling Bin ("SFWRB") in Wang Tou Hom Estate be relocated to the nearby shopping centre under Link.

31. The representative of HD replied that some outdoor leisure facilities on the rooftop of Fu Keung Court Carpark were closed between 2020 and 2022. These facilities had already been reopened and therefore Fu Keung Court Carpark was facing a higher electricity charge than before. HD would ask the owners' corporation of Fu Keung Court about the reason for the increased electricity bill of Fu Keung Court Carpark. Moreover, EPD had sent a reply to Members on 28 November 2024 to explain why EPD had requested HD to relocate the SFWRB in Wang Tou Hom Estate to the nearby shopping centre under Link.

[Post-meeting note: HD stated that the department was still awaiting confirmation on the date of the site visit from the owners' corporation of Fu Keung Court.]

XI. Date of the Next Meeting

32. The 7th Meeting of HC would be held at 2:30 p.m. on 11 March 2025 (Tuesday) in the Conference Room of WTSDC.

33. The meeting was adjourned at 4:35 p.m.

Wong Tai Sin District Council Secretariat
March 2025

Chinese Version Only

(Please refer to:

https://www.districtcouncils.gov.hk/wts/doc/2024_2027/tc/committee_meetings_minutes/HC/HC_M7_M.pdf)